

Senate SPC Committee – 3/28/12 – Computer Science Conference Room

Attending: Sanders, Lloyd, Popov, Kolajo, MacComb, Packard, Ishoy, Gerhardt, Anderson, Goodson

Meeting called to order by Chair Rob **Sanders** at 1:07pm.

Minutes of the previous meeting approved (moved by **Packard**, seconded by **MacComb**).

Subcommittee reports

MISSION (Lloyd): need to revise current Strategic Plan, will be discussed later in the meeting

QEP (MacComb): same as last month, there has been planning in the English Dept. for partial implementation in the Fall. Anderson suggests opening up the final document to everyone for comments. Some of the other pieces are moving forward, such as Summer Bridge. At some point the Senate will need to adopt the document, could push it into April. We could have a meeting on April 11th to approve our final document, so that there is time to submit it for the April 20th Senate Meeting.

Popov: many of the QEP plans require funding, when will we know about that? **Anderson**: don't have to implement all of it immediately. Documents are due to SACS late Summer 2013, off-site review Fall 2013. On-site review will focus almost exclusively on the QEP, which we will submit shortly before the on-site review.

SACS (Packard): Anderson has not required anything else, so all fine.

QEP Naming: we have to make an official recommendation to the Senate, which they must approve. **WRITING IN A WHOLE NEW DIRECTION** was the chosen name, are we satisfied with that? **Popov**: Seems to fit with current branding, and feels appropriate to accede to the students' choice. **Lloyd** moved to accept chosen QEP name, seconded by **Popov**. Passed unanimously.

Revisions to current Strategic Plan (Lloyd): [.pdf document follows] These are revisions to the current document, NOT what the University-wide Committee has been working on-- based on what Kolajo presented several months ago. **Kolajo** suggests leaving out the word "significantly" in Goal 2, since it's non-quantifiable—should specify a number. Consensus was to omit **significantly**, but not to specify a number at this point. Some discussion about the wording of Goal 3, whether to include or not include the reference to doctoral programs; this was previously discussed at great length by the group that originally wrote the document, and removing the reference would be (in Lloyd's opinion) a very significant change. Consensus was to leave as is. Moved by **Packard**, seconded by **Kolajo** to accept with the minor text revision above.

Committee will meet again in 2 weeks on April 11th, same time and same place. After we've endorsed it, Sanders will submit for April 20th Senate Agenda.

New Business? No

Meeting adjourned at 1:41pm.

[Notes by Carol Goodson]