

Faculty Senate Draft Meeting Minutes

April 15, 2022

Approved April 22, 2022

1. Call to Order

Chair Williams called the meeting to order at 1:04pm.

2. Roll Call

Present:

Barrett, Boumenir, Branyon, Brandenburg, Brown, Carmack, Chambless, Cheng, Corley, DeWeese, Edelman, Elman, Erben, Evans, Gault, Gordon, Graffius, Green, Hadley, Hester, Ivory, Jara-Pazmino, Khan, Kniess, Kramer, Lee, Ly, MacKinnon, Mason, McClenny, McLean, Nickell, Olivieri Parker, Pazzani, Penco, Richter, Scullin, Self, Skott-Myhre, Snipes, Swift, Sykes, Talbot, Towhidi, Weber, Wei, Williams, Wofford, and Yoder

Absent:

Fuentes, Kellison, Kimbrel, and Shoemake

3. Minutes

A) The March 18, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Minutes were approved electronically on March 25, 2022.

4. Administrator Reports

A) Report from the President

- *President Kelly recently attended a Board of Regents meeting at Albany State University. The Master's Degree in Integrated Wellness was approved, and is currently with the SACSCOC awaiting approval. All USG institutions, including UWG, were also approved to offer in-state tuition to all students living in Alabama, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Florida within a three-year sunset. This change in policy was mentioned throughout the meeting as one of the things that will help us improve our declining enrollment.*
- *Every faculty and staff member employed as of July 1, 2022 through to the present will see the \$5000 raise in their April paycheck with the first of two lump sums that will be divided between April and May. FY23 contracts will show the raise as part of our yearly salaries. The President stated that they are trying to leverage those \$5000*

increases to lift up UWG, reset baselines, and create the most competitive compensation platform that we can for the institution.

- *President Kelly shared a high level summary of the data from one of the surveys conducted by a third party research firm in service to our contract with Crawford Strategy, which is our integrated marketing communication firm. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 00:27:16) This baseline survey measured five audiences: current students, prospective students, employees, alumni, and community. Each of these groups are seeking a stronger relationship with the university; yet, none of the stakeholder groups had a great way of telling the UWG Story. Our customer service with prospective students and their families outpaces that of other USG institutions. Resources available to students were viewed positively, as was the overall experience with many specifically citing helpful faculty and staff. The on-campus social experience was not viewed as robust, but as offering opportunities for students to connect and engage. Carrollton's small town feel was cited as an asset. Alumni, however, do not feel as engaged as some of our other stakeholders. Employees provided mixed feedback, which President Kelly stated was on par with other surveys of this type. Overall, the survey provided one major takeaway that people know that UWG is here, but our story is lacking from that awareness. There is a challenge in how we direct our marketing and communication efforts towards making certain that we can deepen people's understanding of the value that UWG has with these five audiences. This survey was a discovery survey, and we will conduct another in two years with the same stakeholder groups to compare data to see how much we have moved the needle over the course of 24 months. In the meantime, we will be implementing new student-centric strategies with the help of Studer Education and analyzing data through targeted and regular student experience surveys every 90 days so that we can provide data in a consistent, summarized fashion. Rather than release that data university-wide, the data will go to the units specifically mentioned. For instance, if the Momentum Center, UWG Athletics, or Theater were mentioned, that data would be shared with them specifically. President Kelly stated further that a high level written summary of this baseline survey is being prepared and will be distributed soon.*
- *President Kelly stated that he intends to share enrollment summaries regularly like the one shared most recently to articulate the priorities and approaches we are taking*

because those approaches are constantly evolving. He stressed that it is important that we not share these publicly because we are in a highly competitive environment within the education marketplace.

- *The Georgia legislative session is over and none of the higher education legislation moved forward outside of conceptualization except for the budget. Governor Kemp insisted and budgeted for us to eliminate the special institutional fee and provided the USG with enough state funding to increase state funding substantially while eliminating that expense for students so we will be held harmless as a result of that action. UWG students paid just under \$200 a semester due to that fee, and will benefit from a huge savings thanks to the elimination of that fee.*
- *President Kelly also spoke of the importance of the Mental Health Parity Act advocated by the Georgia Speaker of the House David Ralston, noting Carrollton's role in localized law enforcement working with trained mental health professionals while responding to calls and how this significantly de-escalates highly volatile situations and lowers instances of arrest. Carroll County mental health advocates in partnership with city and county law enforcement are adding a second unit and President Kelly shared that UWG is activating some of our intellectual capital and resources to be a part of that, because we are a part of the community and many of those calls are with UWG students.*
- *President Kelly reminded everyone of his upcoming University Address on Wednesday, April 20, at 3 pm in the Coliseum, as well as the upcoming employee recognition event scheduled for Thursday, May 12, at 11 am in the University Stadium.*
- *Spring 2022 Commencement will take place over three ceremonies on Saturday, May 14, 2022. You can find the full schedule of ceremonies and times [here](#). Students are allowed an unlimited number of tickets now that graduation is broken into three separate ceremonies.*

B) Report from the Provost

- *Dr. Mike Dishman has been named Dean of the College of Education, effective June 1, 2022. Many thanks to Dr. Laura Smith for her work as Interim Dean.*

- *Thanks also to Dr. Meg Pearson for her work as Dean of the University College since its formation. As she has accepted a position at SUNY-Plattsburg, Dr. Karen Owen is currently serving Interim Dean of University College as of April 1, 2022.*
- *The Partnership, the oldest privately held agency in Atlanta, and its CEO, UWG alumna Amanda Lucey '06, have pledged \$50,000 in support toward the transformation of the student-led public relations firm bluestone in UWG's School of Communication, Film, and Media.*
- *The Townsend Center received its first endowment from alumnus Zach Steed '89, a UWG Foundation Trustee, in memory of his late father who had a passion for the performing arts. The Michael Steed Endowment for the Performing Arts will support programming at this nexus point for community engagement on our campus, allowing them to return to pre-pandemic levels of performances.*
- *Chief Wellness Officer Bridgette Stewart is doing an excellent job connecting our students with counseling services and other wellness initiatives from units such as the Center for Economic Education and Financial Literacy led by Kim Holder.*
- *Dr. Anne Gaquere-Parker is working with UWG faculty and the Education Abroad Advisory Council to restart the Education Abroad program, expertly dealing with increased resource challenges, planning, and risk management. We had a successful study abroad trip to Italy over Spring Break. The Office of Education Abroad is also working on creating global experiences and perspectives here at UWG.*
- *The Provost had the opportunity to visit and engage with UWG's archaeological excavation site led by Dr. Nathan Lawres. Students and faculty will be working through the month of June excavating the site with the plan of bringing artifacts back into the lab in July. The Provost was excited to see another example of the fantastic experiential learning opportunities that our students have here at UWG, and stated that this was the only on-campus archaeology site in the state of Georgia.*
- *The Provost also attended the USG Board of Regents meeting in Albany alongside the President this week, and he congratulated Dr. Brian Mosier and his colleagues in the Department of Sport Management, Wellness, and Physical Education on the approval of the Master's and embedded certification in Integrative Health and Wellness.*

- *With regards to the \$5000 cost of living salary adjustment recently approved by the BOR, the Provost stated that they are still working on the final details about how this salary increase will relate to our summer salaries. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 00:58:37) He knows that this is of great interest to faculty, and he is personally advocating for UWG to utilize the increased salary as the basis for our summer payment. This is still being discussed and finalized, and more information will be shared on whether this cost of living increase will be added to our summer salaries when it becomes available.*
- *The Provost emphasized that our academic roadmap for the upcoming year was well received by the system office and we have some fantastic programs in the coming year. These are very much tied to workforce needs in the state of Georgia and connecting our students to options for studies in high demand areas.*
- *With regards to how faculty receive credit for teaching XIDS classes and other classes that may not have the prefix of their home program, the Provost stated that the credit hour production report shared last month was meant to focus our attention on enrollment areas rather than workload areas, in particular, with our approach to infinite waitlists. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:00:14) His message to the Deans has been to add new sections and hire adjuncts and part-time faculty to cover those sections or pay a stipend above what faculty are currently earning as needed. The credit hour decline that we are experiencing is a result of market shifts and overall enrollment, particularly in the area of General Education courses, and not a result of students not being able to enroll in sections currently being offered as we have solved that issue with the addition of new course sections and the waitlisting option. He commends the work of faculty members teaching XIDS and HONR courses, and courses with a prefix outside of their home program, stating that the vacancy left by faculty teaching those courses can be filled by another faculty member or a part-time hire.*
- *With regards to the the BOR-mandated Post-Tenure Review and annual evaluation policy changes currently in progress, the Provost commended the work of Dr. Patrick Erben, Chair of the Faculty Senate Faculty Development Committee, Vice-Provost Ralitsa Akins, the members of the FDC, and the faculty liaisons on all of their hard*

work to date. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:02:33) The Provost stated that he has submitted the revisions found in [Figure 5](#) to the system office for consideration and feedback, and he is looking forward to the upcoming vote at Faculty Senate here at UWG. He expects feedback relatively soon in time for the scheduled Faculty Senate June 10 meeting. The Provost stated that our hard work has put us in a good position to meet the BOR's expectations. He reinforced and clarified that faculty going through the promotion, tenure, and/or post-tenure process in Fall 2022 will not be held to the new criteria, as that would be unfair. Instead, there will be a two-year fade in process, with faculty applications for P&T or PTR expected to fully comply with the new guidelines beginning with the start of the 2024-2025 Academic Year.

- With regards to the SACSCOC reaffirmation process, we are looking at an on-site visit on April 1-4, 2024. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:04:56) While there is a lot of work that goes into preparing for that visit, we just finished our third quarter strategic 90-Day sprint and we are tracking the details of what people are doing across the university in real time. Our Comprehensive Analysis of Programs is a part of this preparation as well. These efforts make our self-reporting that much easier as we begin to implement the recommendations and changes that result from our quarter sprints.
- The Provost is pleased with the QEP Proposal that was chosen as well as the broad, inclusive approach to soliciting and reviewing proposals. He deferred further to the Vice-Provost and her upcoming report for specifics on the topic that was selected.
- The Provost shared many events that have happened in recent weeks.
 - We've just concluded our first academic year with eSports at UWG, and our Varsity team competed at the Peach Belt Conference Championship last month.
 - We hosted the Careers Beyond the Console event this week with members of the Hawks Talon GC, the official NBA 2K Esports team of the Atlanta Hawks, in attendance. This Q&A session featured a panel composed of the HTGC team, staff, and UWG staff showcasing the various career paths within eSports (both collegiately and professionally).

- *UWG just hosted the UWG Hackathon with 24 participants this past weekend, including 18 mentors. The event was well attended, as was the French Alumni Panel hosted by Drs. Lynn Anderson and Rob Kilpatrick.*
- *Dr. Thomas Peterson recently hosted the SPARK Celebration Banquet. Spark Mentoring is a community based program designed to ignite hope in youth known as being high-risk, while preparing aspiring teachers at the University of West Georgia to better meet the challenges they will face in contemporary classrooms*
- *The Provost encouraged faculty to attend the Sunbelt Jazz Festival this weekend on campus, hosted by Dr. Ben Geyer, Director of UWG's Jazz Studies program. The festival features UWG own Jazz Ensemble alongside other local and regional artists.*
- *In addition to highlighting the President's upcoming address, Employee Recognition Day, and commencement programs mentioned earlier by President Kelly during his remarks, the Provost reminded everyone about the upcoming Spring 2022 General Faculty Meeting scheduled for Friday, April 29, 2022 in University Center Ballroom, with refreshments starting at 2pm. The meeting will begin at 2:30 pm.*

C) Report from the Vice-Provost

- *The Vice-Provost was happy to share the selected topic for the next Quality Enhancement Plan (QEP): Experiential Learning and Career Readiness. You may read more about this topic [here](#). The Vice-Provost extended her congratulations to the authors of the project proposal and thanked the team that selected the project, as well as everyone who provided feedback. A second team will begin work on the implementation phase of the project.*

D) Q&A:

- *The first pre-submitted question requested an update on the renovations to the Humanities Building. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:12:58) President Kelly stated that construction costs are increasing due to supply chain delays and demands. While the Humanities renovations are not in danger of halting, this has added to the expense of the renovations and complicates the timetable to completion. This has also triggered a Value Engineering Study, which is normal during times such as these and a process that the USG participates in along with architects and engineers. They were able to find areas of savings, and the report*

has been submitted to the Chancellor. Hopefully this will be discussed at the BOR May meeting. If approved, we will largely keep to the same timeline, depending on the construction company and arrival of ordered supplies.

- *In a follow-up question regarding the survey summary President Kelly presented earlier in the meeting, Chair Williams asked if there were specific takeaways and/or concerns that the President could elaborate on for the body. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:19:21) President Kelly returned to the subject of employee feedback having mixed results. For example, whereas student satisfaction was upwards of 81%, employee satisfaction was around 45%, with an additional 10-15% having no opinion. Specific comments mentioned anxiety and dissatisfaction, as well as extreme satisfaction. With regards to placing value on UWG as an institution, 80-90% of current and prospective students placed high value on UWG, and an even higher number of the community placed high value on UWG at 96%. Only 10% of alumni and 25% of employees placed high value on UWG as an institution. President Kelly stated that mixed results like these are typical, noting that complexity in result data is a good thing. He stated that he would discuss action items related to these results in greater detail at his Presidential Address on Wednesday, April 20. President Kelly also spoke in greater detail about several wellness initiatives in progress and the work that Chief Wellness Officer Bridgette Stewart is doing, with specific focus on the Mental Health Resources available for faculty and staff. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:28:43) In a follow-up, Chair Williams asked how this years' results compared to previous years. President Kelly stated that the questions asked this year were different from those asked in past years, and the last survey was conducted nearly seven years ago. Furthermore, the world is quite different from what it was seven years ago with the pandemic. He has not compared the data as yet.*
- *The next pre-submitted question asked about end of the year spending, whether there were available funds for end of the year purchases, the best way faculty can request funds, and how purchases will be prioritized. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:34:59) The Provost responded that they implemented a system in FY21 that asked various units across Academic Affairs to strategically spend their budget throughout the fiscal year, and that same strategy is*

being implemented this year in FY22 with the goal of maximizing the effectiveness and efficiency of what we are spending. Academic Affairs is also looking to invest across the institution and maximize the student experience, so if there are items that people want they should continue to pass those requests on to their Chairs by April 30. In a follow-up, the Provost agreed with Dr. Farooq Khan that instrument maintenance was important and should be prioritized, especially since this relates to the QEP topic of Experiential Learning and Career Readiness. The Provost also discussed how this related to student success as an investment in the overall student experience at UWG. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:41:09)

- *A question from the chat asked the Provost to elaborate on the two-year fade in process for the BOR-mandated revisions to the promotion, tenure, and post-tenure review process and guidelines. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:37:08) The Provost responded by saying that the USG has asked us to meet a particular deadline for our institutional P&T and PTR updates, giving us an extended grace period for the fall term. During that period, all units are asked to refine and implement the approved revisions to the guidelines (see [Figure 5](#)) by the end of the 2022 Calendar Year. The Provost stated further that it would be unreasonable for someone preparing a portfolio in the summer of 2023 for review in Fall 2023 to be held to the new guidelines. People putting their portfolios together in summer 2024 would be the earliest that the new guidelines would be used during the review process.*
- *With regards to student credit hours and how programs are to be evaluated, a pre-submitted question asked, as a general principle, will the Provost err on the side of faculty protection when dealing with the ramifications of the disturbing changes regarding enrollment? (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:45:47) The Provost stated that if it is a momentary blip and it is localized to a year or so then that is one thing, but 33% decline in some of our top areas needs to be addressed and we need to make adjustments as market trends and student interests change. The messaging that he has shared with the Deans and the Faculty Senate Budget Committee is if we grew by 10,000 credit hours in an area then he would expect faculty and Deans to advocate for additional lines. If we are declining by 10,000 credit hours in an area then we need to address why, because the university system holds us accountable in resourcing based on our enrollment metrics.*

President Kelly added that we have a lot of intellectual capital that is capable of more than what we are doing right now. He stated further that we must anticipate the changing academy and focus on what can be created that creates value for others. Furthermore, we need to remain competitive in a changing economy and look beyond decline. President Kelly stated that we have a lot of capacity to provide learning in a wide variety of ways, and we must challenge ourselves to understand that if we aren't proactive then the BOR will make decisions on our behalf.

The Provost stated that there are opportunities to invest in improving certain areas, citing University College Dean Meg Pearson and CACSI Dean Pauline Gagnon's work in looking at First Year English and First Year Mathematics programs and shifting workloads to focus some of our lecturers and senior lecturers in the UC to build core shells to add resources to improve what we are already offering to set ourselves up for increased capacities in the future. He stated that it is beyond looking at numbers and making personnel adjustments, but it is also how we can improve value and leverage resources both financially and in personnel to improve the institution and do things that are going to drive even more interest in enrolling at UWG.

- *The final question focused on faculty concerns regarding spending on campus, specifically in the area of national searches for administrators and growth in administrative hires during a time when faculty and staff numbers are declining. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 1:53:19) President Kelly responded that this was reconfiguration rather than growth, and they reorganized and reallocated because we need different outcomes in the leadership structure for UWG. He stated that all hires must be approved by the BOR and he is held accountable for making certain that every single dollar spent is spent in an attempt to create the most value possible. He stated that it was his responsibility to achieve outcomes that allow us to grow and advance the university in fundamental ways. Additionally, he added, we have gone through two of the toughest years in the last 100 both in academia and in the country as a whole. Finally, President Kelly stated that they are trying to make strategic investments at every level of the university and he believes that we are aligning our resources in the right way.*

5. Committee Reports

Committee I: Undergraduate Programs Committee (Karen Graffius, Chair)

Action Items:

A) College of Arts, Culture, and Scientific Inquiry

1) Department of Art, History, and Philosophy

a) [ART 4211 – Japanese Art](#)

Request: Add

b) [ART 4215 - Art of the African Diaspora](#)

Request: Add

Items a and b were approved as a block with 37 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

c) [Art, Art Education, B.F.A.](#)

Request: Modify

d) [Art, B.A.](#)

Request: Modify

e) [Art, B.F.A.](#)

Request: Modify

Items c-e were approved as a block with 38 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

f) [PHIL 4386 - Internship](#)

Request: Add

Item approved with 36 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

2) Department of Natural Sciences

a) [Physics Minor](#)

Request: Modify

Item approved with 36 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

3) Department of Anthropology, Psychology, and Sociology

a) [Social and Behavioral Health, B.S.](#)

Request: Modify

Item approved with 3y in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

4) Department of English, Film, Languages, and Performing Arts

a) [Stand Alone Interdisciplinary Certificate in Musical Theater](#)

Request: Modify

Item approved with 36 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

B) Richards College of Business

1) Department of Economics

- a) [Economics, B.B.A.](#)

Request: Modify

Item approved with 37 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

C) University College

1) Department of Civic Engagement and Public Service

- a) [CRIM 4270 - Death Penalty](#)

Request: Add

- b) [CRIM 4275 - Serial Murder](#)

Request: Add

- c) [CRIM 4290 - Criminal Mind](#)

Request: Add

- d) [CRIM 4295 - Sex Offenders](#)

Request: Add

- e) [CRIM 4296 - Violence Against Women](#)

Request: Add

Items a-e were approved as a block with 35 in favor, 1 opposed, and 3 abstentions.

- f) [Criminology, B.S.](#)

Request: Modify

- g) [Criminology, B.S.](#)

Request: Modify

Items f and g were approved as a block with 36 in favor, 1 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

2) Center for Interdisciplinary Studies

- a) [XIDS 2100 – Intro to Lat Am/Carib/Latinx](#)

Request: Add

Item approved with 36 in favor, 0 opposed, and 4 abstentions.

Information Items:

- A) The UPC approved 40 courses to receive the undergraduate research HIP designation.

(**Figure 1**)

- B) The UPC approved the XIDS first year seminar/cornerstone topics for 2022-23. (**Figure 2**)

Committee II: Graduate Programs Committee (Dena Kniess, Chair)

Action Items:

- A) College of Education

- 1) Department of Counseling, Higher Education, and Speech Language Pathology
 - a) [CEPD 7160 - Gender and Sexuality](#)

Request: Add

Item approved with 35 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

- b) [CEPD 7163 - Trauma Counseling](#)

Request: Add

Item approved with 33 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

- c) [CEPD 8195 - Special Topics in Professional Counseling Studies](#)

Request: Add

Item approved with 37 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

- 2) Department of Educational Technology and Foundations

- a) [Instructional Technology, Media and Design, M.Ed., Concentrations in Instructional Technology, School Library Media](#)

Request: Modify

- b) [Instructional Technology, Media and Design, Ed.S., Concentrations in Instructional Technology, School Library Media](#)

Request: Modify

Items a and b were approved as a block with 37 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

- 3) Department of Leadership, Research, and School Improvement

- a) [Post-Baccalaureate Certificate in Data Analysis and Evaluation Methods](#)

Request: Modify

Item approved with 35 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

- 4) Department of Literacy and Special Education

- a) [Special Education, M.Ed.](#)

Request: Modify

Item approved with 39 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

- B) Richards College of Business

- 1) Department of Management

- a) [Georgia WebMBA®](#)

Request: Modify

- b) [Master of Business Administration, M.B.A.](#)

Request: Modify

Items a and b were approved as a block with 35 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

- c) [Master of Business Administration, M.B.A.](#)

Request: Modify

Item approved with 37 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions.

C) University College

1) Civic Engagement and Public Service

- a) [Post-Baccalaureate Certificate in Public Management](#)

Request: Modify

- b) [Post-Baccalaureate Certificate in Nonprofit Management and Community Development](#)

Request: Modify

Items a and b approved as a block with 34 in favor, 1 opposed, and 1 abstention

Committee III: Academic Policies Committee (Jennifer Edelman, Chair)

Action Items:

- A) History Program Request to Eliminate Exemption Exam Options for HIST 2111 and 2112

As no student has requested either exam in the past twenty years and there is a CLEP exam on file with the testing center, there will be no negative impact on students.

Item approved with 36 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

- B) Dual Enrollment Admissions Changes (**Figure 3**)

These changes include the addition of the Accuplacer Exam for 11th and 12th grade dual enrolled students, as well as an adjustment of the GPA, SAT, and ACT requirements. These modifications place us in alignment with our peer institutions.

Item approved with 34 in favor, 2 opposed, and 3 abstentions.

Information Items:

- A) High Impact Practices (HIPs) Committee: Work-Based Learning Designation for Courses (**Figure 4**)

Work-Based Learning (WBL) is the third High Impact Practice (HIP) drafted by the campus HIPs (LEAP West) Steering Committee and approved by the APC. The criteria in [Figure 4](#) will be used to assign a service learning attribute to courses in Banner. Courses that meet the criteria will be sent to the Faculty Senate Undergraduate Programs

Committee for review and approval. A website housed in Academic Affairs is currently in development to provide information on HIPs criteria and processes.

- B) Brandy Chambless will serve as Chair of the Academic Policies Committee for the 2022-2023 Academic Year.

Committee IV: Faculty Development Committee (Patrick Erben, Chair)

Information Items:

- A) DRAFT Changes of UWG Handbook following BOR-mandated Post-Tenure Review and Annual Evaluation Policy Changes. (**Figure 5**)

The revisions in [Figure 5](#) incorporate feedback from the Provost as well as Faculty Senators in attendance at the March 18, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting. The FDC also received feedback from faculty via email, which was also added. There are no substantial changes from the draft presented at the March 18 Senate Meeting. Chair Erben wanted to make this revised version available for faculty as it is the draft endorsed by the Provost and currently with the Board of Regents. The FDC is awaiting feedback from the BOR, and will make any requested changes before presenting a final draft to the Faculty Senate for a vote at the June 10, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting.

- B) Farooq Khan will serve as Chair of the Faculty Development Committee for the 2022-2023 Academic Year.

Committee VI: Facilities and Information Technology Committee (Yvonne Fuentes, Chair)

Action Item:

- A) Vote on a Faculty Communication Listserv (**Figure 6**)

At the March 18, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting, the FITC recommended the creation of a moderated all-faculty listserv that any adjunct, lecturer, clinical, tenure-track, or tenured faculty member may post to as a means of maintaining open faculty communication. Each message must be approved by a moderator (to be determined at a later date), and must fall within the purposes of the listserv, which are to share potential research or funding opportunities, recruitment for research participants, opportunities for students, upcoming campus events, retirements, and other newsworthy items deemed acceptable by the moderator. When asked who might serve as the moderator, it was suggested that perhaps the Ombuds, as they are unbiased. While the written version of the FITC's proposal in [Figure 6](#) mentioned the Faculty Senate Chair as a potential moderator, the ballot measure presented allowed the FITC to select the moderator. It was also noted that the AAUP's Google Group

would be an appropriate space for discussion of institutional policy and other forms of speech not allowed on this listserv.

Chair Williams then called the question for a vote on the creation of an all-faculty listserv to be moderated by the Faculty Senate chair or other person whom the FITC designates, and that will be governed by the rules listed in the FITC's proposal (see [Figure 6](#)). The item was approved with 34 in favor, 3 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

Committee IX: Rules Committee (Angela Branyon, Chair)

Action Item:

A) UWG Policies and Procedures Manual

1) By-Laws of the Faculties and Faculty Senate: Article III.F. Quorum ([Figure 7](#))

Request: Modify

Item approved with 39 in favor, 0 opposed, and 2 abstentions. This item will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote in August 2022 according to Article IV, Section 3 of the UWG Policies and Procedures Manual.

2) Article IV, Section 2.J.

a) 6. Facilities and Information Technology Committee ([Figure 8](#))

Request: Modify

Some in attendance shared their concerns that more administrators were being added to Faculty Senate Standing Committees, while others questioned who constituted a voting member of the committee. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 2:30:06) It was agreed that the Faculty Senate By-Laws are unclear on this front, and this should be clarified. Chair Branyon agreed with the suggestion that this clarification could occur in the broader By-Laws description of committee membership and voting rather than modify each Standing Committee's charter. Chair Williams asked the Rules committee to add this to their docket for their next meeting.

Chair Williams then called the question, and the modifications to Article IV, Section 2.J.6 were approved with 32 in favor, 4 opposed, and 3 abstentions. This item will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote in August 2022 according to Article IV, Section 3 of the UWG Policies and Procedures Manual.

b) 7. Student Affairs and Intercollegiate Athletics Committee ([Figure 9](#))

Request: Modify

Item approved with 33 in favor, 3 opposed, and 2 abstentions. This item will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote in August 2022 according to Article IV, Section 3 of the UWG Policies and Procedures Manual.

Committee XI: Teaching, Learning, and Assessment Committee (Jason Swift, Chair)

Action Item:

A) Vote on Proposed SEI Instrument Revision Timeline and Process Guidelines (**Figure 10**)

While the instrument itself was approved at the March 18, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting, this vote concerns setting a goal to revise SEIs on a seven-year cycle, which allows instructors to collect data over several iterations, even allowing three iterations of data for courses on every-other-year cycles. This is the first time that UWG has set a timeline and process for SEI Instrument revision.

The SEI Instrument revision timeline and process guidelines were approved with 37 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

6. Old Business

A) Appeal of President Kelly's Response to the Proposed Amendment to the By-Laws on Faculty Senate Proxies (**Figure 11**)

After a brief summary of the modifications to UWG Policies and Procedures Article III.A approved at the January 22, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting, as well as President Kelly's veto of this line item on February 21, 2022, Chair Williams opened the floor for discussion of whether the Faculty Senate would like to let the matter rest or appeal President Kelly's veto of the modifications. (See [April 15, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Zoom Recording](#) beginning at 2:40:55) Seeing no discussion, Chair Williams called for a motion to reintroduce the modifications to UWG Policies and Procedures Article III.A approved at the January 22, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting to allow for faculty proxies to attend a Faculty Senate Meeting as a voting member in place of the Senator for whom they are serving as a faculty proxy.

The motion was seconded and the vote to reintroduce the modifications to UWG Policies and Procedures Article III.A was approved with 32 in favor, 2 opposed, and 3 abstentions.

7. New Business

A) Executive Secretary Nomination: Laura McCloskey Wolfe

Nomination accepted unanimously. This nomination will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote after the April 29, 2022 General Faculty Meeting.

8. Announcements

- *UWG Theatre Company's production of Heathers: The Musical will be performed on the Townsend Center Mainstage April 19-24, 2022. [Visit this site for more information.](#)*

9. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 3:44pm.

Respectfully submitted by Colleen Vasconcellos

Professor of History and Executive Secretary

Figure 1

DATE: March 28, 2022

TO: Faculty Senate Undergraduate Programs Committee (UPC)

FROM: Dr. Jeffrey Zamostny, Director of the Office of Undergraduate Research, on behalf of the Undergraduate Research Committee

Dear Colleagues on the UPC:

The Undergraduate Research Committee met on March 15, 2022 to review courses that were submitted by faculty members to receive the Undergraduate Research High Impact Practice attribute in Banner. Courses were submitted and reviewed by the committee in accordance with the guidelines set out in [this document](#), as approved by the Faculty Senate in Fall 2021.

The following table indicates the Undergraduate Research Committee's recommended designations for each course. Per the previously linked document (especially Appendix B and Appendix C), each course must receive three designations:

- The first, UR1-4, indicates the course's level as determined by UWG guidelines in Appendix B of the document.
- The second (ZURP) is common to all courses and is the USG-mandated code that means "Undergraduate Research course meets institution's criteria as a High Impact Practice for Undergraduate Research" (Appendix C).
- The third (ZUR1-4) is the USG-mandated code that corresponds to the number of contact hours the student is engaged in undergraduate research. This is based on information self-reported by faculty. Per Appendix C, ZUR1 involves research or a creative project requiring 30 or fewer contact hours, ZUR2 requires 31-50 contact hours, ZUR3 requires 51-100 contact hours, and ZUR4 requires 101 or more contact hours.

Per page 2 of the guidelines, the Undergraduate Research Committee is now submitting its recommendations to the Faculty Senate Undergraduate Programs Committee for review and approval, after which approved designations will be forwarded to the Registrar.

Course Prefix and Number	Course Title	Submitting Faculty Member	All or some sections? (CRNs indicated where necessary)	Recommended UWG UR designation	USG ZUR designation (contact hours)
ART 2201	History of Western Art I	Nathan Rees	All future sections	1	1
ART 3210	Non-Western Art	Nathan Rees	Fall 2022 CRN: 82373	2	2

BIOL 4427	Conservation Biology	Andrew Edelman	All future sections	3	2
BIOL 4727	Essentials of Immunology	Sara Molesworth-Kenyon	All future sections	2	2
BIOL 4729	Medical Virology	Sara Molesworth-Kenyon	All future sections	2	2
BIOL 4730	Emerging Pathogens	Sara Molesworth-Kenyon	All future sections	3	3
CHEM 4083	Faculty Directed Research	Megumi Fujita	All future sections	4	2
CHEM 4909L	Chemistry Senior Capstone	Megumi Fujita	All future sections	4	3
CHEM 4910L	Tools/Apps in Chem Resr & Prac	Megumi Fujita	All future sections	4	2
COMM 4484	Mass Comm Research Methods	Kyle Lorenzano	All future sections	2	3
ECON 3460	Forecasting	Michael Sinkey	All future sections	3	2
ENGL 1102	English Composition II	Julia Steed	Fall 2022 CRNs: 81482, 82156, 82885	1	1
ENGL 3000	Research and Methodology	Maria Doyle	All future sections	3	2
ENGL 4002	British Literature II: Victorian Hauntings	Margaret Mitchell	Fall 2022 CRN: 81081	2	2
ENGL 4002	British Literature II: Anglophone South Africa	Maria Doyle	Sections specifically on this topic (no AY 22-23 CRNs)	2	1
ENGL 4190	Film as Literature	Erin Lee Mock	All future sections	3	3
ENGL 4384	Senior Seminar	Maria Doyle	All future sections	4	3

FREN 3210	La chanson française et son héritage	Robert Kilpatrick	Fall 2022 CRN: 83320	2	1
GEOG 2083	Intro to Geographical Analysis	Jung Eun Hong	All future sections	2	2
HIST 2302	Methodology	Stephanie Chalifoux	All future sections	2	3
HIST 3500	Junior Historiography Seminar	Tim Schroer	All future sections	3	2
HIST 4285	Special Topics in European History	Nadya Williams	All future sections	3	2
HIST 4385	Special Topics in World History	Nadya Williams	All future sections	3	2
HIST 4400	Intro to Public History	Keri Adams	All future sections	3	3
HIST 4403	Intro to Museum Studies	Keri Adams	All future sections	3	2
HIST 4455	Soviet History	Elaine MacKinnon	Fall 2022 CRN: 83448	3	2
HIST 4484	Senior Seminar	Stephanie Chalifoux	All future sections	4	3
HIST 4486	Public History Internship	Keri Adams	All future sections	3	4
HONR 3102	Junior Colloquium: Engagement	Jeffrey Zamostny	All future sections	3	3
MKTG 3808	Business Research	Beheruz Sethna	Fall 2022 CRNs: 80523 80524 81965	2	2
NURS 3400	Nursing Research & Evidence-Based Practice	Kathleen Morales	All future sections	3	3
PHIL 4300	Senior Seminar	Robert Lane	All future sections	4	4
POLS 2601	Intro to Political Science Inquiry	J. Salvador Peralta	Fall 2022 CRN: 80468	2	4

POLS 3401	Comparative Politics	J. Salvador Peralta	Fall 2022 CRN: 81446	3	4
SPAN 4200	Hispanic Film & Literature	Jeffrey Zamostny	Spring 2023 CRN: 13083	3	3
SPMG 4680	Applied Research Methods in Sports Management	Young Suh	All future sections	3	2
THEA 4412	The Business of Acting	Shelly Elman	All future sections	3	3
XIDS 2300	Intro to Linguistics	Claire Ezekiel	Spring 2023 CRN: 13075	2	2
XIDS 3000	Interdisciplinary Methods	Andy Walter	All future sections	3	2
XIDS 4000	Interdisciplinary Capstone	Andy Walter	All future sections	4	4

Figure 2

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22			
Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
Where No One Has Gone Before: Star Trek & Anthropology	Allen	Keri	Using Star Trek: The Next Generation episodes as a frame and reference, we will explore concepts in cultural anthropology including religious diversity, marriage practices, family makeup, sexual orientation & gender identity, politics & social control, climate change, globalization, language, how people make a living, etc.
WDYKA: Failure or Failing towards Success	Arrington	Logan	This class combines perspectives from history, psychology, and education to present an interdisciplinary approach to addressing the concept of failure. Within each of the lenses described above, the students will explore the various elements through research and writing. Students also keep a failure journal where they respond to various prompts. This allows them to practice reflection and their writing skills. For the less personal items, students will present to the class in teams to develop professional communication skills. Within the course, we consistently return to important concepts related to failure (i.e., growth mindset, productive failure, etc.) as we discuss methods for responding to struggles in our personal, professional, and academic lives.
How I Can Make the World A Better Place	Barrett	Kathleen	Many students are anxious to make a change to the current situations but frequently feel powerless to do so. However, there are some advocacy methods that students, individually or as a group, can use effectively. This course will help students identify ways that they can advocate to promote social justice and human rights by raising their awareness of the issues and underlying causes and teaching them advocacy methods. As part of the class, students will be asked to design and execute an advocacy effort.
Navigating Relationships in College	Barron	Brittney	Often, families are complex and dynamic not only in terms of personalities and beliefs but also in terms of who makes up the family unit. Everyone has a different idea of what family is. Families can be made up of a mother and father figure, same-sex couples, single parents, grandparents (or other family members) who step in to help raise children, chosen families, and even couples without children. Apart from families being formed naturally, alternative methods such as egg or sperm donation, adoption, fostering, and IVF (In vitro fertilization) may be used to create families. No matter what your family structure is or what group you belong to, you are loved. A family is defined by love, regardless of how it forms.
STEM: DreamBig:Engineer&Science	Basu-Dutt	Sharmistha	This course introduces you to the concept of leadership. We will study leadership from the ways in which you, as a leader, can develop a team from the group assigned to you. There will be an experiential component in this course. Each XIDS student is required to practice and observe leadership. Each student will maintain a journal devoted to thoughts and experiences of the semester as they relate to leadership and has the option of writing a final paper tying together these experiences and the theories presented in class and the text. The other option is a project.
CREATIVE WRITING: What Do You Really Know about Creative Writing?	Chaple	Katie	This class gives students a creative voice and asks them to take their inner thoughts, emotions and creativity to the page. They'll read and engage with Stephen King's craft book ON WRITING (a student favorite), and across the semester students will write in response to creative prompts in several genres (such as poetry, fiction, creative nonfiction, music lyrics, even screenwriting). Students will read their efforts to the group. Towards the end of the semester, students will workshop short creative pieces. We'll begin connecting how editing, and even publishing intersect with the art of writing, and in what ways and at what stages they influence, transform and even determine (and whether or not they should) literature and the artist.
BUSINESS: Cross-cultural Communication	Chwialkowska	Agnieszka	This course focuses on various topics about cross-cultural communication and diversity and implications for a variety of disciplines. This is an activity-based course that helps students understand where their behaviors come from, to encourage them to reflect on their lives and the sources of their deeply held assumptions. This course explores how the way we communicate, perceive the world, behave, and what we believe in is shaped by culture. In-class activities simulate cross-cultural experiences, and short readings and mini cases discussed in class encourage academic exploration of cross-cultural issues such as communication, managing diversity, international negotiations, and conflict resolution. In-class activities encourage students to see the differences among us as a source of synergy rather than a hindrance and introduce the concept of cultural values in a fun and engaging way to help students discover how culture affects our decisions.

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
Are You Woke Yet? An Examination of Social Activism in the United States	Crenshaw (Smith)	Andrea	Higher education is the great engine of our democracy, and the fuel for that engine is the opportunities students have to engage in activism. Taught in conjunction with effective college transition and critical thinking skills, this seminar will provide a space for students to examine democratic citizenship through the lens of 'Woke' ideology. It will explore how people act collectively to challenge the status quo of powerful political, social, economic, and cultural systems that resist change. Social movements that challenge such systems vary widely in terms of their group identities, social locations, strategies for action, particular demands, and tactics. In order to better understand social movements, we will begin broadly with some key questions: What are social movements and how do we approach the task of defining them? What tools do we need to analyze how movements work? And how can we appreciate how and why some movements are more successful than others? The course will focus on political activism to reflect on practices, beliefs, and ongoing conversations in social justice culture. Our study of social movements will move back and forth between abstract concepts and particular case studies that entail student involvement. Our primary empirical case studies are: 1) The U.S. Civil Rights movement of the 1950s and 60s; 2) the Women's Rights Movement; 3) Identity-focused movements that emerged from the 1960s, especially the gay rights and LGBTQ movements, 4) The Tea Party movement and its challengers; and 5) #BlackLivesMatter and the Movement for Black Lives. Also embedded in the seminar is a study of the relevance and utility of an undergraduate degree for social change within modern society, including critical thinking about the impact of obtaining a degree, inquiry learning, effective communication, and engagement within the UWG community.
How Government Works ... and Sometimes Doesn't	Drammeh	Sheikh Tijan	Governments have a significant impact on citizens, sometimes positive and sometimes negative. After the events of Summer 2020 and January 2021, many may be asking if there is a better form of government. This course will critically explore various forms of government of government around the world. It will build on the Council on Foreign Relations World 101 Forms of Government model and challenge students to identify what factors make life better for their citizens and why.
WDYKA Horror	Ellison	Amy	This course will explore different sub-genres of horror in art, film, and literature. As we go through the semester, we will examine--as audience members and as critical thinkers--the elements used to manipulate the viewer as well as create a central commentary. Units include body horror, found footage, psychological, supernatural, and comedic horror. Course expectations include participation in discussion, written short responses, critical reading and journaling, and a final presentation on an individually chosen horror text.
Identity, Authenticity, and the Media	Glazier	Jacob	How do you know who you are? This fundamental question might seem self-evident. Yet, most of us struggle finding ourselves in the spiderweb of images, symbols, and representations expertly constructed for us by various forms of media. Such an endeavor is becoming increasingly more important since the rise of social networks and the so-called post-truth world. Disinformation and even conspiracy theories abound, not to mention pictures and images that have been manipulated using editing software to convey a specific standard of beauty. The question continues: How can we become more authentic if the information around us isn't true? In this seminar, we will explore the relationship between your own self-identity and how this has become co-constructed, or not, by different kinds of media.
Game Theory and Squid Game: Cracking the Code for Success	Grant	Phillip	I propose a course in which students survey topics in game theory such as the Prisoner's Dilemma, the Office Phone Problem, and the voting paradox. Game theory dates back to the 16th century with Girolamo Cardano's Books on Games of Chance. Since then, game theory has evolved into a legitimate scholarly field. In this course, students will learn about strategic games and identify strategy dilemmas that exist in the hit Netflix series Squid Game. Throughout the semester, students will read an introductory text on game theory, identify games in popular culture, and eventually create novel games that could be played in future seasons of Squid Game.

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
BUSINESS: Georgia's Industries	Green	Kim	This seminar will explore the unique industries of Georgia. We will examine how clusters of businesses create an ecosystem. Through both activities and discussions, students will have opportunities to explore concepts such as scale, location, and supporting infrastructure for business ecosystems. The state of Georgia is home to a variety of vibrant industries comprised of small and large companies. Just to name a few, we have agriculture (poultry, pecans/peanuts, Vidalia onions), carpets (clustered around Dalton), food and beverage (Coca-Cola, Waffle House), transportation/shipping (world's busiest airport, UPS), automobiles (Kia, Porsche, Mercedes), bioscience and healthcare (CDC), entertainment (movies, music), tourism/convention, and more. In a class project, students will individually collect data about businesses in various industries and we will compile those findings into a class dataset to analyze together as a class. Students will gain practice with spreadsheets and with basic statistics and analytical methods for research.
Won't you be my neighbor: A course on kindness, encouragement, and self-worth	Green	Katherine	This course will take a dive into the life and lessons of Mr. Fred Rogers, an iconic TV personality who taught kindness, love, and self-worth through his show: Mr. Rogers' Neighborhood. The students will explore the teachings of Rogers through videos, articles, discussion, and collaborative activities. Students will analyze the weekly concepts, articles, videos, and current events related to the teachings. Students will complete a collaborative service-learning project. Past examples included anti-bullying campaigns, compliment boxes in dorms, etc. The course schedule will be broken down by weekly concepts. The students will explore the history of Mr. Rogers' Neighborhood through interactive lectures, videos, and articles. The documentary "Won't You Be My Neighbor" will be shared. Each week a different lesson will be highlighted such as inclusiveness, self-discipline, encouragement, the importance of helping others, love, and self-worth. Each concept will be shared with the class with follow-up, interactive activities.
Learning Leadership through Sport	Haase	David	In any profession or life venture, the attitude and overall culture of a team is a direct reflection of its leadership. In the environment of sport there are many different approaches that have been proven effective. The primary goal of this course is to help current and aspiring leaders gain a better understanding of effective leadership, to provide tools in developing effective leaders, and to provide networking opportunities with individuals across various disciplines. The course is designed to provide the student an opportunity to learn and to apply leadership principles in a variety of settings.
Origin of Life in the Universe	Hansen	John	How life originated on earth has long intrigued people. The first scholarly attempt at answering this question was published in a monograph by the Russian biochemist, A. I. Oparin, during the 1930s. In 1952, Stanley Miller and Harold Urey at the University of Chicago simulated conditions on the early Earth and tested the hypothesis of a chemical origin of life. Within a vessel containing water, ammonia, methane and hydrogen, applying heat and continuous electrical discharges they produced over 20 different amino acids. During the 1960s, meteorites were found containing a vast array of amino acids and nucleotides. Until his death in 1996, the astronomer Carl Sagan was a leading proponent for searching out life in the universe. This course taught by a professor of chemistry (with background in biochemistry and astronomy) will examine planetary conditions, chemistry, and biology thought necessary to foster the abiotic origin of life in the universe.
Yoga & Writing: Mindfully Approaching College	Harte	Jenna	Traditionally, we think of yoga as someone doing a lot of bendy, flexible poses, but yoga has a philosophical history that goes back thousands of years. "Asana," or the physical practice of yoga, is only one "limb" of eight. This class will draw connections between the mindfulness required in both yoga, writing and being a college student.

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
AAMI: Multicultural Achievement	Hester	Michael	This course is designed to help incoming first-year students succeed at UWG, academically as well as socially and personally. The primary focus of the course is developing "cross-cultural competency in a multicultural society," which recognizes that academic achievement in today's world requires a full understanding of how to thrive within a diverse society. In order for students to succeed in higher education, course material will center on critical thinking and research skills, with the course providing an overview of and experience with campus resources, including subjects that cut across the academic and nonacademic lines of school such as time management, college student skills, and computer and portal skills. As part of the Multicultural Achievement Program learning community curriculum, the course is designed to support students in their quest to become scholars and enhance the skills necessary to achieve that goal.
BUSINESS: Shark Tank and Beyond: What You Need to Know in the Real World	Hollingsworth	Alison	This course would include the following topics and activities: 1) Workshop on study habits and tips for transitioning into college life including a budget overview and Zero-based Budget project, 2) Introduction to entrepreneurship through viewing Shark Tank episodes and participating in group discussions on each episode. 3) Student electronic portfolios with Resume', cover letter, sample project work, presentations, etc. 4) Participation in mock job interviews with members of UWG Career Services serving as interviewers who would evaluate each student on their interview and provide constructive feedback. 5) Personal Elevator Pitch Presentation 6) Guest speakers (Todd Anduze, etc.) 7) Career coaching 8) Monopoly Project Accounting Cycle Overview 9) Business Plan Challenge 10) Tour of the Burson Center and Tinker's Box 11) How to Prepare for an Internship
BUSINESS: Dilbert Teaches You the Business	Hopper	Michael	Drawing on resources both conventional and otherwise, this course will help the business major begin to develop the skills needed to succeed in a business environment. We will focus on time management and self-organization skills useful in college and afterward. From Buzzword Bingo to actual meaningful terminology, the student will learn to "right-size" his or her business vocabulary. We expect to devote substantial time to developing financial responsibility, touching on topics such as personal debt management and the "time value of money," all of which will lead to a focus on some aspects of business-appropriate math and an introduction to Excel. Finally, we will spend some group time working on the interpersonal skills necessary to thrive in a world occasionally populated by Accounting Trolls and Pointy-Haired Bosses.
The Walking Dead: Surviving College	Isaacs	Shawn	This course will be taught on one day in a 100 minute block. Prior to each class each student will be required to watch an episode of The Walking Dead, starting with season one. Students can currently access The Walking Dead via a \$7.99 Netflix subscription, if they do not already have access to Netflix. Each week I will highlight specific moments in each episode that examples both good and bad communication and critical thinking. It will definitely focus on characters who meet their demise, and analyze how that could or could not have been averted. At the end of season one, six episodes, each student will pick a character and write a paper on how that characters decisions and communication affected the season outcome. Additionally, students will write were there any extenuating circumstances that prevented decisions or communication?

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
College Seen Through Movies	Jackson	Kristopher	<p>We live in a globalized and mediated world where the movies we watch provide insight into the places where we work, eat, study, sleep, communicate, and dream. Analyzing film allows us to take a closer look at the past, present, and future of our country and the environment.</p> <p>In this class, we'll look at a broad range of movies and examine how movies define a group of people or society within a certain time period. We will also craft or select a cinema's version of today's society. This course will help students think about how films can help us to understand our own positions in space and time. A secondary goal of the class is to introduce you to critical issues in film studies that relate to issues for their generation. Some issues that will be seen and discussed are socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, sexuality, and race. Thus, we will examine how these issues are viewed in the film and represent a particular decade. In this class will watch movies from decades of the 80s, 90s, 00s, and today. Class time will be devoted to lectures, intensive writing, discussion, and group activities, where we will explore such questions as: What does the movie teach us about society and culture of that specific time period? Is the film still relevant today? Movies that may be selected are Pretty Woman, The Matrix, Mean Girls, and Breakfast Club. Warning: some of these films have an adult rating and may be offensive. Throughout the course, students will be asked to think critically and utilize some class lessons in their everyday college experience.</p>
Playing and Watching Esports Games	Jang	Wooyoung (William)	<p>The esports industry has developed rapidly in recent years. Esports consumption among those aged 25 and younger have surged such that traditional sport organizations, such as ESPN, a US sports television channel, have invested in esports and have begun organizing and airing programs centered on esports events in order to attract younger sport consumers. Also, esports has attracted interest from the academic domain and is becoming increasingly accepted as a form of sport, and it would behoove sport management academics to evaluate research pertinent to esports, as it is likely to provide future guidance to the sport industry. We will experience and watch esports games and learn more about esports in diverse aspects.</p>
Sources of Energy and the Existential Threat of Climate Change	Khan	Farooq	<p>Climate change, as evidenced by floods, hurricanes and wildfires, poses a global existential threat that impacts habitats and food production. Essential human activities (production of energy and transportation) contribute significantly to climate change. This course will utilize reliable current media (New York Times, PBS and NPR) to discuss sources of energy that include fossil fuels, solar energy, wind energy and nuclear power. Topics include: Sources of energy (locally, in the U.S., and world-wide); Geo-political considerations for fossil fuels; Impact on the environment including climate change; Environmental activism (for example, by Greta Thunberg); and public policy in the United States.</p> <p>The course (taught by a chemist) will also feature a professor of Economics and an entrepreneur active in Carrollton. In large part, students will utilize available resources to give short presentations and discuss various aspects of energy and climate change, from the personal level to a global level.</p>
Oh, the Thinks You Can Think!	Larson	Juli	<p>Think you have out grown Dr. Seuss? Think again! In this course, we revisit many of these childhood favorites by conducting literary analyses of several books by Theodor Geisel (Dr. Seuss). In our analyses, we identify themes relevant to the life of a developing adult. Books are read during class (also accessible in CourseDen) since they are all relatively short and they will provide the prompt for analysis to determine the focus for each week's lesson. Topics for study include diversity, inclusion, mental health, advocacy, risk-taking, decision-making, responsibility, sustainability, and more. Assignments used include class discussion, reflective journaling, literary analysis paper, favorite book presentation, and writing, illustrating, and presenting their own children's book. Additionally, the topics that are covered provide opportunities to highlight several campus resources such as the library, Counseling Center, Center for Diversity and Inclusion, Heath Services, and Center for Academic Success.</p>

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
Multicultural Achievement Program	Lewis	Ashley	This course is designed to help incoming first-year students succeed at UWG, academically as well as socially and personally. The primary focus of the course is developing "cross-cultural competency in a multicultural society," which recognizes that academic achievement in today's world requires a full understanding of how to thrive within a diverse society. In order for students to succeed in higher education, course material will center on critical thinking and research skills, with the course providing an overview of and experience with campus resources, including subjects that cut across the academic and nonacademic lines of school such as time management, college student skills, and computer and portal skills. As part of the Multicultural Achievement Program learning community curriculum, the course is designed to support students in their quest to become scholars and enhance the skills necessary to achieve that goal.
Harry Potter and College Experience	Liverman	Dawn	I'd like to develop a course around the World of Harry Potter using themes and examples from the books/movies to serve as a way to view the transition into higher education...from the acceptance letter, to moving on campus, to navigating services and coursework, to finding food, to attending extracurricular/sporting events, to joining clubs and organization, to going home for the summer, and all that goes along with it. Students will be asked to write reflections on activities happening on campus and how those relate back to situations in the series and discuss the relevancy of those experiences to their acclimation and familiarization with campus. The series will also be used to springboard into conversations around diversity, justice, and bias.
YOLO (You Only Live Once)	Macke	Hailey	The course content is to help students of all populations make the most out of their college career and build on life skills that are applicable during and after graduation. Learning how to find resources on campus and where to look if you can not find the resources are skills for any college student. This course covers a wide variety of "adulting" topics from car care, emotional intelligence, how to make friends, conflict, and much more. Finding experts and being a lifelong learner is a common phrase in this course and can translate from college skills to adulting skills. This course will help any student find the college path that best fits them and set them up for success at UWG and beyond.
The Materials that Make Our World	McPhail	Martin	From the advent of iron, glass, and cement to the development of polymers and semiconductors, the introduction of new materials has repeatedly altered human civilization. These technologies have brought great benefits to humanity while also carrying many associated ills—conflicts to secure rare resources, pollution of the environment, and exploitation of workers to name a few. Using current articles, videos, and in-class discussions, students will explore the historical impact of materials on human technology and how materials shape the modern world. The lifecycle of modern materials from acquisition through production to waste management will be analyzed. Current challenges related to the politics, ethics, sustainability, and environmental impacts of modern materials will be discussed. Students will apply this knowledge to develop an original project (video, poster, podcast, etc.) analyzing the materials in a consumer product of their choosing.

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
History of Information	Olivieri	Blynn	<p>This course explores the production and evolution of information and associated technologies from clay tablets to the internet. The course starts with the building blocks of what information is, who creates it, how it is distributed, and for what purposes. We will then go into the histories and impacts of specific technologies, and students will have a hands-on workshop to bind their own book. Throughout the course students will have the opportunity to critically consider and discuss how information technologies affect society and how society responds to and shapes those information technologies in return.</p> <p>Information is foundation of learning. The History of Information first-year seminar will engage students with why information has been created, how it was disseminated, and for what intent. Students will learn how information technologies leverage materials, recent inventions, and the skills of people in the spread of data, whether it be financial tallies of agricultural yields, religious texts, or news about your neighbor next door. This course touches on numerous academic disciplines including visual arts (book illustrations and visual literacy), economics (costs and revenues of information technologies), anthropology (oral cultures), computer science (how information is transmitted in the digital age), sociology (how information technologies change society) and psychology (how individuals interact with, and are affected by, digital content). Students will emerge with a critical understanding of information technologies and an awareness of how the information they use and create impacts their lives and the broader world, which sets the stage for critical thinking in their college career and in life-long learning.</p>
The Psychology of College	Pius	Toyosi	<p>This class will be geared towards self-awareness in college. Students will be introduced to how understanding themselves plays a key role in their success in college. They will be learning about conformity, perspectives and shifting, persuasion, the idea of power in numbers or weakness in numbers, curiosity, and what it is to be humanly human.</p>
#adulting: learning life skills in a digital world	Ponder	Terrie	<p>As UWG students transition into the world of adulthood, they must learn the most basic "need-to-knows" of being an adult. With present-day technologies, students can easily navigate the world and learn how to do almost anything. Yet, are they receiving the correct information? Are they distracted? Do they know what questions to ask? #adulting will help students navigate the world by practicing and mastering life skills essential to everyone. Some of the hashtag topics of the course include #techguru, #fakenews, #socialresponsibility, #diversityinclusion #beprofessional, #realjob, #budgetingbasics, #ineedcredit, and #lifehacks. This course will teach these skills while integrating the most current technologies, apps, and other digital tools designed for each specific purpose. As a cumulative project, students will reflect upon their areas of #adulting expertise and will digitally create a blog, website, or videos to be published online to the public.</p>
The War on Drugs and Pop Culture	Primuth	Richard	<p>While the "war on drugs" was officially announced in the 1970s by the Nixon administration, there has been an "unofficial" battle played out in the culture for over 100 years. There have been films from "Reefer Madness" in the 1930s to the more recent "Scarface" that portray the "evils" of drug use. On the other hand we have seen films like the "Harold and Kumar" series glamorize drug use. Music such as "Cocaine" by Eric Clapton does the same. Recent media such as HBO's "Euphoria" try to show the reality. I hope to explore all sides and have some good discussions on whether the war is winnable or has been lost.</p>
Navigating Race and Gender Issues	Ramsay-Jordan	Natasha	<p>Taught in conjunction with effective college transition skills, this course will include special topics about how underrepresented students in Higher Education can overcome stigmas and implicit biases stemming from gender and race-based disparities. Topics will include comparative perspectives on current higher learning experiences of historically marginalized groups and the extent to which these groups have been excluded in academia. Also embedded in the seminar is a study of the relevance and utility of an undergraduate degree for social change within a modern society, including critical thinking about the impact of obtaining a degree, active learning, effective communication, and engagement within the UWG community.</p>

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for AY22

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
Community, Leadership and Volunteerism	Reed	AnneMarie	A core part of the mission at the University of West Georgia has always been to develop strong civic minded leaders. In order to successfully meet the objectives of this goal students need to not only participate in civic engagement but also learn how to create opportunities for themselves and others. This course will allow students to engage in a broad range of programs and learn from multiple speakers. Each student will participate in community development activities, engage with community leaders and build a frame work for future involvement. The course will include in class discussion based on the reading and engagement materials. It will also include a community group project and individual projects to gain insight into building lifelong civic engagement.
The Value of Peer Leadership	Richardson	Emily	My course titled, "What do you know about: Academic success" will be based on sharing academic success strategies, reflection on past academic experiences in high school as it relates to the collegiate experience, and an overall institutional connectedness. Over the course of the semester, I will instruct students on different academic success strategies and students will have an opportunity to hear from other students at UWG about what they have learned about their own academic success.
Walt Disney and the American Century	Samples	Clint	From my current course description: Walt Disney (1901-66) can arguably be considered one of the most influential Americans of the 20th Century. This class explores the life of Disney as a historical figure, creative visionary and his impact on animation, architecture, culture, leisure, and entertainment. Coursework includes weekly readings from Disney's biography and discussions over related material, such as movies and documentaries. Students will give a ten-minute oral presentation after researching a Disney-based topic as one of their final assignments.
Do It for the Gram: Build Your Image	Scott	Shelby	To focus on integrating and exploring self care and positivity throughout different parts of the college experience and academics. Students would learn about researching methods, methods across different countries, and how self-care and positivity can be integrated into every aspect of college and more importantly, life.

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for Fall 2022

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
FILM: What Do You Know About Film?	Sewell	Josh	<p>Our film learning community (FLC) consists of four interconnected core-level classes taught over the 2020-21 academic year. In each course, the primary goals include helping you become a better reader, thinker, and communicator, both verbally and in writing. All of these skills are essential for success in any college classroom on any college campus. Additionally, honing these skills will help you beyond the campus community in the working world you seek to join. Our subject – the study of film – will enable us to develop analytical skill sets as we practice reading, thinking, and communicating about assigned films and short readings each week. Certainly, one major aim is to understand film studies as an area of academic and career focus, but the skills you gain here and in the other core classes in the community are a “moveable feast,” meaning that you can use them in any core or major course where professors ask you to solve problems, discuss complex issues, or observe before interpreting.</p> <p>To analyze film, we begin with the foundational premise that it has overwhelming power in artistic, economic, academic, political, religious, and mainstream or “secular” arenas. Film embodies our cultural standards, challenging or even creating them; the movies, as cultural critic Henry Giroux contends, “[do] not simply reflect culture but actually [construct] it.” To know films and film production deeply is to know a great deal about culture’s core beliefs and values, its anxieties and aspirations. So, for this class and going forward, movies are never “just” entertainment.</p> <p>Our work in this semester’s course will involve (re)viewing major motion pictures from different decades, learning the strategies production and filmmaking teams use, discussing literary structure and character development in film, and, of course, examining the deep cultural impact film possesses.</p>
Intro - Live Sports Video Production	Speights	Corey	<p>The course will provide with hands on experiential learning opportunity in the world of digital media production. Students will work in positions of producer, director, camera, replay, and audio operation.</p>
You Can Do Anything (It's All In You Mind)	Steed	Julie	<p>Thoughts control our feelings, feelings control our actions, actions drive results. Armed with this knowledge, and the power of communication, we can achieve anything we set our minds to.</p> <p>Students will set a personal goal at the beginning of the class and work to achieve that goal all semester. They will report about their progress through weekly journal entries and presentations to classmates. Journal prompts serve a dual purpose: to hold students accountable and to practice persuasive writing. Here is an example prompt: using a well-developed paragraph, persuade me that your most recent failure was really a success. Use your experiences as evidence and analyze how those experiences propelled you forward towards your goal.</p> <p>All assignments include some form of writing, typically journaling and reflection, and include: how to set goals, fail, solve problems, take action, ask compelling, motivating questions and more.</p>

XIDS 2002: First-Year Seminar/Cornerstone Courses for Fall 2022

Course Title (this can be tweaked later):	Instructor Last Name:	Instructor First Name:	Describe your course idea below (100-150 words or less):
Identity in American Cinema	Theobald	Duane	Have you ever watched a film and found that it speaks to you? Filmmakers often create movies that allow viewers to learn more about who they are through the experiences of others. In this seminar, we will examine what it means to construct identity & how we craft our identities—initially through readings that incorporate different disciplines & approaches. Then, through a broad range of films (such as The Godfather, Boyz n the Hood, and Lady Bird), we'll consider how who we are can be found in American cinema and why that matters.
Business in Everyday Life	Udombon	Hope	This course is ideal because it offers a different insight to how business can be applied in everyday life from personal, professional to academics.
Representations of Southern Cuisine	Vaughan	Arielle	This course is rooted in the concept that the very food we eat to survive connects us to our region, culture, and identity. Through focused, inquiry-driven activities and materials, students will learn to examine cultural artifacts to reveal historical and personal connections and identify ways in which representations of Southern cuisine may shape the ethos of the region for better or worse. Course materials will include passages from Eudora Welty's Delta Wedding, Michael Twitty's The Cooking Gene, and Marcie Cohn Ferris's The Edible South. We will also examine films such as Fried Green Tomatoes, photography from the Southbound gallery catalog, print and video advertisements, and poetry from the Southern Foodways Alliance collection, Vinegar and Char. Students will engage in the high impact practices of intensive writing, undergraduate research, and diversity perspectives in several planned activities such as personal reflection journals, response papers, and a class-composed, research-based cookbook that will allow students to take part in the cultural conversation surrounding Southern food.
Finding Your Why	Bronkema	Ryan	<p>This course is designed for first-year students with the intention of orienting students to college—both from a student success angle, but also through introducing them to academic topics. As a part of this overall experience, students will complete the one-credit "Finding Your Why," which anticipates the range of challenges first-year students are met with and seeks to provide support throughout their college experience.</p> <p>Students will complete work based on the following topics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Clarifying Career Purpose: Assists students in confirming that their majors are appropriate and well-suited for the outcomes they expect to receive. 2) Fostering Growth Mindset: Helps students practice optimism when faced with unexpected challenges and unknown problems. In other words, perceived failure can lead to greater success. 3) Developing Curricular Plans: Help students understand the curriculum, the advising process, and program maps, all necessary components to registering for classes and completing required coursework for graduation in a timely and effective manner. 4) Practicing Academic Skills: Guides students towards a program or programs on campus that will assist them in being successful at UWG.

Figure 3

Dual Enrollment Admissions Requirements

Memorandum

Subject: Dual Enrollment Admissions Requirements

To: Faculty Senate

From: Office of Admissions

Date: 02/01/2022

Below, please find the proposed admission requirements for dual enrollment admissions. To better serve our community, the Office of Admissions, with support from the Vice President of Enrollment Management, Kimberly Scranage, supports the following changes. The following admission requirements will help ensure our institutions remain competitive within our tier. With guidance from the University System of Georgia, our office can support the following requirements. Additionally, students who fit these criteria have proven, not only to the System of Georgia, but also, the Office of Admissions, that these students will be successful in their academic endeavors. Our peer institutions have the same opportunity afforded to their students. Their students are able to take advantage of the ACCUPLACER for admission into their dual enrollment programs. As of today, UWG does not allow the ACCUPLACER as an alternative for admission into our dual enrollment program. The proposed requirements below will allow our office to follow our peer institutions and allow the office to admit students who are academically qualified. Below, you will find our proposed admission requirements, along with our current admission requirements.

Proposed:

11th and 12th Grade Dual Enrollment Requirements

GPA: 3.0,

SAT Composite: 1050 or ACT Composite: 20

SAT EBRW: 480 or ACT English or Reading: 17

SAT Math: 440 or ACT Math: 17

Accuplacer Reading: 237, Math: 258, WritePlacer: 4

10th Grade Dual Enrollment Requirements

GPA: 3.0

SAT Composite: 1200 or ACT Composite: 26

SAT EBRW: 480 or ACT English or Reading: 17

SAT Math: 440 or ACT Math: 17

Current:

11th and 12th Grade Dual Enrollment Requirements

GPA: 3.0,
SAT Composite: 1050 or ACT Composite: 20
SAT EBRW: 480 or ACT English or Reading: 17
SAT Math: 450 or ACT Math: 17

10th Grade Dual Enrollment Requirements

GPA: 3.5
SAT Composite: 1250 or ACT Composite: 25
SAT EBRW: 630 or ACT English or Reading: 26
SAT Math: 670 or ACT Math: 26

Should the faculty senate have any questions, comments, or concerns about the above criteria, please feel free to direct those to Dillon Montes de Oca, Interim Director of Admissions.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Office of Undergraduate Admissions

Figure 4

Course Attributes for High Impact Practices (HIPs)

Work-Based Learning Attributes

HIPs Attributes Process

The University System of Georgia has requested that all institutions develop criteria and a process for assigning attributes for High Impact Practices (HIPs) in Banner. Information on the USG criteria and definitions for all eleven HIPs can be found [HERE](#). The criteria in this proposal is based on USG Guidelines for qualifying a Work-Based Learning course as a HIP.

[The LEAP West Committee](#) is charged by the Provost with guiding the successful design and implementation of High Impact Practices (HIPs) at the University of West Georgia. Campus work to develop and expand HIPs and other experiential learning opportunities for students is known at UWG as *LEAP West!* In 2015, the Faculty Senate endorsed a [resolution](#) to support The University of West Georgia's inclusion in Georgia's petition to become a LEAP State. The petition was formally approved by the University System of Georgia and the American Association of Colleges and Universities (AAC&U) in 2016. The University of West Georgia is a member of the [LEAP State Georgia Consortium](#), which is affiliated with the [University System of Georgia's](#) initiatives to expand student access to HIPs. Additional information about AAC&U's LEAP initiatives can be found [HERE](#).

In Fall 2021, the LEAP West Committee submitted a [proposal](#) to the Academic Programs Committee of the Faculty Senate that outlined the campus process for assigning attributes to courses in Banner. The proposal was approved by the Faculty Senate in September 2021. The proposal outlines two stages in the HIPs implementation process:

- 1) The LEAP West Committee will develop in consultation with faculty representatives from each of the academic colleges and schools criteria for assigning HIPs attributes in Banner to courses. These criteria will be submitted to the Academic Programs Committee for review, revision, and approval before being sent forward to the Faculty Senate for final approval.
- 2) Once criteria have been approved, the LEAP West Committee will communicate and coordinate with faculty and academic programs on submitting course materials (typically, a syllabus) for the assignment of HIPs attributes. Courses will then be submitted to the Undergraduate Programs Committee for approval. Once final approval by the Faculty Senate has been received, the LEAP West Committee will coordinate with the Office of the Registrar to have attributes assigned.

How Course Attributes Benefit Students, Faculty, and Academic Programs

Work-Based Learning (WBL) is an important High Impact Practice that the USG has identified for inclusion in Banner. The University of West Georgia aspires for every student to have the opportunity to engage in work-based learning. The WBL course designation would allow students to identify courses in the schedule of classes in Banner that include a work-based learning component. The attributes would also enable faculty to list courses that have received a WBL or other HIPs designation as an evidentiary source in their

teaching portfolios. Once attributes are assigned to courses, academic units could also establish program or degree requirements aligned to Work-Based Learning or other HIPs that meet their specific educational goals. Assigning attributes to courses in Banner does not capture all HIPs occurring on campus, since some student involvement in HIPs takes place in co-curricular or extra-curricular settings. How UWG captures these experiences will be addressed in the next stages of this work.

Work-Based Learning Definition

Work-Based Learning (WBL) is a broad term used to describe experience-based learning activities that are connected with employment or professional work. It is usually assessed by a faculty member and supervised by an employer who is not a faculty member. The student may or may not be paid for this experience. Usually Work-Based Learning is connected to a class or a sequence of classes, and the student receives academic credit. However, Work-Based Learning can occur in non-credit, co-curricular and extracurricular settings. For example, with appropriate learning outcomes and organizational support, Work-Based Learning can be connected to student-employment on campus. Work-Based Learning can include such things as internships, student teaching, clinical education, practicums, community-based research, and field work at both the undergraduate and graduate levels. The goal with each of these is to provide students with direct experience in a work setting—usually related to their career interests—and to give them the benefit of supervision and coaching from professionals in the field. If the internship is taken for course credit, students complete a project or paper that is approved by a faculty member. Another key element is some type of guided reflection. The mission of this experience may be to support the integration of theory and practice, explore career options, or foster personal and professional development. Finally, Work-Based Learning can sometimes be connected to other forms of experiential learning, such as undergraduate and graduate research, service-learning, and study abroad / study away.

Identifying Work-Based Learning

The University System of Georgia has established codes in Banner for institutions to assign to courses that meet the institution's criteria for Work-Based Learning and has provided guidelines for institutions in designating a course as a High-Impact Practice (See Appendix B).

Process for Having a Course Designated as a WBL Level course

The campus LEAP West Faculty Committee or a designated faculty subcommittee will periodically throughout the year issue invitations for faculty to submit courses to receive the Work-Based Learning designation. As part of this process, faculty would submit an application form (see appendix A) along with the course syllabus to the faculty committee. The committee would then evaluate the syllabus according to the Work-Based Learning criteria (see appendix A), recommend changes to the syllabus to fully meet the criteria if needed, and recommend the Work-Based Learning level designation. All courses submitted and the committee's recommendations would be sent to the Undergraduate or Graduate Programs Committee of the Faculty Senate for approval. Once a course has been approved, the Office of the Registrar would assign the attribute.

Course Requirements for Work-Based Learning Designation

- 1) At least one learning outcome that defines how Work-Based Learning is incorporated into the course.

2) A designated number of work-related contact hours per semester. The USG identifies the following designation levels:

Work-based component requires 30 or less contact hours
Work-based component requires 31-50 contact hours
Work-based component requires 51-100 contact hours
Work-based component requires 101 or more contact hours

3) A required critical reflection assignment where students are invited to reflect upon their learning experience and any new knowledge or skills they have acquired or strengthened further.

4) Meet one of the following levels of Work-Based Learning engagement:

WB L1	Work-Based Learning experience focuses primarily on work or field observation and reflection on professional and career opportunities instead of active contribution to work, for example, job shadowing.
WB L2	Work-Based Learning experience focuses primarily on completing work-assigned tasks under the guidance of a work-based supervisor with extended opportunities for critical reflection on skills formation and professional preparation.
WB L3	Work-Based Learning experience focuses primarily on independent assignments and projects that the student leads with the support of a work-based supervisor with extensive opportunities for critical reflection on skills formation and professional preparation.

In addition to these required elements, the following instructional strategies are *recommended* for all High Impact Practices (HIPs)¹ since they help to support learning at the highest level:

¹ AAC&U, [High Impact Educational Practices](#), Figure 2, p. 4.

- Direct experience in a work setting related to their career interests
- Supervised and coached by professionals in the field
- Project or paper on work-based experience approved by a faculty member
- Performance expectations set at appropriately high levels
- A significant investment of time and effort over an extended period of time.
- Interactions with faculty and peers about substantive matters
- Experiences with diversity, wherein students are exposed to and must contend with people and circumstances that differ from those with which students are familiar
- Feedback that is frequent, timely and constructive
- Periodic and structured opportunities for students to reflect on and integrate learning
- Opportunities to discover the relevance of learning through real-world applications
- Public demonstration of competence

Examples of Learning Outcomes for Courses Designated as Work-Based Learning

To receive the Work-Based Learning attribute, a course must include at least one learning outcome that defines how Work-Based Learning is incorporated into the course. This can be an approved course learning outcome or a learning outcome that the instructor specifically designs to meet the Work-Based Learning objective. Below are examples of Work-Based Learning outcomes at different course levels. NOTE: The specific outcomes below are not required:

Learning Outcome Example I: Students apply what they have learned in program related courses to the work-based setting.

Learning Outcome Example II: Students will critically reflect upon the content knowledge and professional skills they have either acquired or further developed as a result of their work-based experience.

Learning Outcome Example III: Students demonstrate discipline-specific knowledge and technical skills.

Learning Outcome Example IV: Students adhere to employer expectations in communication and project completion.

Learning Outcome Example V: Students evaluate their work in the workplace and connect it to course outcomes, for example: teamwork, adaptability, problem-solving, etc. (Henderson and Trede, 2017)².

² Henderson, A., & Trede, F. (2017). Strengthening Attainment of Student Learning Outcomes during Work-Integrated Learning: A Collaborative Governance Framework across Academia, Industry and Students. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Cooperative Education*, 18(1), 73–80.

WBL Appendix A

Application

Course Approval for Work-Based Learning Designation

Application will be submitted through Qualtrics

Name of person responsible for this submission:

Program (e.g. Accounting), if applicable:

Program Director, if applicable:

Department:

Department Chair:

Course Number (e.g. ACCT 4390):

Course Title:

Brief Course Description:

Course Learning Outcome Related to Work-Based Learning:

Will all sections of the course receive the Work-Based Learning designation? Yes No

If no, list all instructors whose section/s will receive the designation:

Will multiple sections of this course be taught in any given semester: Yes No

For the following categories, please select the activity that most closely aligns with what will be expected in this course:

Contact Hours Associated with the Work Site:

30 or less contact hours
31-50 contact hours
51-100 contact hours
101 or more contact hours

Are students required to complete a critical reflection assignment? Yes No

Dissemination of the critical reflection assignment:

Shared with the faculty member and/or Work Supervisor

Shared with a small group (cohort)

Shared in class

Publicly shared (outside of class, e.g., conference presentation)

Publicly shared (publication)

Faculty / Work Supervisor Feedback:

None

General and Limited

Specific to course project but not iterative

Specific to course project and iterative

Extensive, specific, and iterative

What is the work-based learning outcome associated with the course?

Does the course meet one of the following levels of Work-Based Learning engagement (select level):

WB L1	Work-Based Learning experience focuses primarily on work or field observation and reflection on professional and career opportunities instead of active contribution to work, for example, job shadowing.
WB L2	Work-Based Learning experience focuses primarily on completing work-assigned tasks under the guidance of a work-based supervisor with extended opportunities for critical reflection on skills formation and professional preparation.
WB L3	Work-Based Learning experience focuses primarily on independent assignments and projects that the student leads with the support of a work-based supervisor with extensive opportunities for critical reflection on skills formation and professional preparation.

Do students experience any of the following (list all that apply as required elements of the course):

Direct experience in a work setting related to their career interests

Supervised and coached by professionals in the field

Project or paper on work-based experience approved by a faculty member

Performance expectations set at appropriately high levels

A significant investment of time and effort over an extended period of time.

Interactions with faculty and peers about substantive matters

Experiences with diversity, wherein students are exposed to and must contend with people and circumstances that differ from those with which students are familiar

Frequent, timely and constructive feedback from faculty member and/or work supervisor

Periodic and structured opportunities for students to reflect on and integrate learning

Opportunities to discover the relevance of learning through real-world applications

Public demonstration of competence

WBL Appendix B

University System of Georgia Identifying Work-Based Learning Course Sections as a High Impact Practice for Inclusion in Banner

What is a High Impact Practice?

The American Association of Colleges & Universities has established a set of High Impact Practices that encourage postsecondary institutions to adopt and scale. High Impact Practices are teaching and learning practices that have been widely tested and have been shown to be beneficial for college students from many backgrounds. These practices take many different forms, depending on learner characteristics and on institutional priorities and contexts.³

Guidelines for Qualifying a Work-Based Learning Course Section as a High Impact Practice

The University System of Georgia (USG) institutions should consider the following guidelines as they engage in a review process to identify whether a Work-Based Learning course section should be categorized as a High Impact Practice in the Banner Student Information System. The guidelines were developed in consultation with USG institution representatives involved in the implementation of Work-Based Learning courses. These guidelines expand upon those articulated by the American Association of Colleges & Universities to provide just-in-time answers for USG institution faculty:

³ AAC&U, <https://www.aacu.org/leap/hips>

Characteristics of Work-Based Learning Courses as a High Impact Practice⁴

- Direct experience in a work setting related to their career interests
- Supervised and coached by professionals in the field
- Project or paper on work-based experience approved by a faculty member
- Performance expectations set at appropriately high levels
- A significant investment of time and effort over an extended period of time.
- Interactions with faculty and peers about substantive matters
- Experiences with diversity, wherein students are exposed to and must contend with people and circumstances that differ from those with which students are familiar
- Feedback is frequent, timely and constructive
- Periodic and structured opportunities for students to reflect on and integrate learning
- Opportunities to discover the relevance of learning through real-world applications
- Public demonstration of competence

Identifying Work-Based Learning Courses for Inclusion in Banner

Institutions have the sole authority to establish a process and criteria for the review of Work-Based Learning course sections to determine if they qualify as a High Impact Practice. The USG does *not* make the determination but provides these guidelines to promote system-wide consistency. The institutional process for qualifying courses and course sections as High Impact Practices may include a review committee of faculty and teaching staff at the college or academic department level. Institutions should develop a process for faculty, teaching staff or academic departments to submit course sections for review. Review committees can determine the process for submitting course section information and artifacts to be reviewed. Artifacts might include a course syllabus and lesson plan. With the assistance of the Guidelines for Qualifying a Work-Based Learning course section as a High Impact Practice, each institution will develop its own criteria for qualifying a course section as meeting the standards of a High Impact Practice. The final decision for approving a Work-Based Learning course section as a High Impact Practice rests at the institution-level.

The institution may qualify non-course, non-credit based experiences as High Impact Practices. Campuses have the discretion to identify these experiences in Banner as a non-credit based course option in a manner that is consistent with institutional practice. If non-course, non-credit based experiences are entered into Banner, they must use the High Impact Practice codes included in this document.

Banner Code Categories

The Banner Codes for qualified Work-Based Learning Course sections will include the following categories

Primary Codes: Must have one primary code

Code	Description
ZWLP	Work-Based Learning course section meets institution's criteria as a High Impact Practice for Work-Based Learning

⁴ AAC&U, <https://www.aacu.org/leap/hips>

Contact Hour Codes: Include code indicating number of hours student is engaged in Work-Based Learning, if applicable

Code	Description
ZWL1	Work-based component requires 30 or less contact hours
ZWL2	Work-based component requires 31-50 contact hours
ZWL3	Work-based component requires 51-100 contact hours
ZWL4	Work-based component requires 101 or more contact hours

Linked Course:

Code	Description
ZHIL	Course section is linked to other course sections that are grouped as a common High Impact Practice experience for students enrolled in the course section.

Required Course Codes: For each course section that meets the following institution criteria

Code	Description
ZHIR	Course section meets a High Impact Practice requirement established by the institution.

The following scenarios must be met in order to successfully pass the data validations in Banner.

- Each course section must have one primary code.
- Each course section must have one contact hour code, where applicable. If not applicable, leave blank.
- Each course section must include a code to indicate it is a required course by the institution, if applicable. If not, leave blank.
- Each course section must use all required course codes if the course meets the criteria associated with the code.
- Campuses have the option to develop additional institution-based codes and establish criteria for using the codes for their Work-Based Learning experience courses.

Primary Code: *Work-Based Learning course section meets institution’s criteria as a High Impact Practice for Work-Based Learning*

Contact Hour Code: *Number of hours student is engaged in Work-Based Learning activities as defined by the institution.*

Linked Course: *Course section is linked to other course sections that are grouped as a common High Impact Practice experience for students enrolled in the course section.*

Required Course Code: *Course section meets a High Impact Practice requirement established by the institution.*

Courses that meet an institutional requirement that graduates complete a minimum number of courses or non-course-based experiences designated as a High Impact Practice. The requirement, to include the type and number of student experiences, is determined by the institution.

The USG may add additional codes, as necessary.

Figure 5

FACULTY HANDBOOK

University of West Georgia

[FDC Draft 2 in Response to USG-Mandated Policy Changes Presented to the Faculty Senate as an Information Item on Friday, April 15, 2022.]

NOTES:

- 1) This version incorporates feedback provided to the FDC by faculty at the March 18, 2022 Senate meeting and/or conveyed via UWG email; it also incorporates changes requested by Provost Dr. Preston.
- 2) This version was submitted by Provost Dr. Preston to the USG-BOR for their required review; by the Senate agenda deadline of Friday, April 8, 2022, no feedback from the USG-BOR has been received.]

[Red Font: New and Revised Language; ~~strikethrough black font~~: struck/deleted language from existing UWG Handbook]

Revised August 12, 2021

Foreword

University of West Georgia is a unit of the University System of Georgia and is governed by the Board of Regents through the Chancellor and Staff of the Central Office of the University System. Details concerning the general policies of the Board of Regents are published periodically in the Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia. Information regarding policies adopted for particular institutions or the exact wording of a specific policy is contained in the official minutes of the Board. These documents are available to the faculty and staff upon request.

The University is also governed by statutes which have been approved by the Board of Regents. These statutes contain official statements of general policy, rules, regulations, procedures, organizations, and governance at the University of West Georgia. The Board of Regents retains the power to modify, amend, or repeal the statutes of the University.

A copy of the organizational chart of the University of West Georgia has been inserted into this Handbook.

This handbook is a compilation of general policies and procedures which affect the professional activities of the faculty and staff at the University of West Georgia.

This handbook and its provisions do not constitute an employment contract or agreement, nor any part thereof, between the University and any employee.

The rules and regulations within this handbook are subject to change by appropriate action of the faculty and/or administration. Such changes become effective on the date they are approved by the President of the University.

Section 100 BASIC POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY TO FACULTY 5

101 Appointment 5

102 Reappointment 8

103 Procedures and Criteria for Promotion and Tenure 10

104 Evaluation 28

105 Dismissal Process of a Faculty Member 48

106 Right to Redress 49

107 Dispute Resolution and Grievance Procedures 49

108 Non-renewal of Non-tenured Faculty During Times of Financial Exigency 62

109 Academic Freedom, Responsibility, and Professional Ethics 64

110 Faculty Transcripts and Verification of Degrees 65

111 Employee Benefits 66

112 Educational and Professional Leave 70

113 Faculty Compensation for Summer School Teaching 72

114 Outside Activities 72

115 Communications with the Board of Regents and/or the Central Office of the University 73

System of Georgia 73

116 Legal Matters 73

117 Legal Holidays 74

118 Admission to Student Activities 75

119 Enrollment of Faculty and Staff in Courses and Instructional Programs 75

120 Disruptive and Obstructive Behavior 75

121 Sexual Harassment Policy 76

122 Sexual Misconduct 80

123 Personal Relationships 81

124 Political Activity 81

125 Emeritus Status for Faculty and Administrative Officers 82

126 Drug-Free Workplace Policy 82

127 Faculty Workload 83

128 Resignation/Retirement Letters 84

Section 200 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATED TO TEACHING RESPONSIBILITIES 84

201 Classroom Procedures 84

202 Record of Student Absences/Attendance 86

203 Class Rolls 86

204 Reporting Grades and Withdrawal Policy.....	87
205 Final Examinations	89
206 Academic Honesty/Dishonesty	89
207 Academic Appeals	90
208 Faculty Office Hours.....	97
209 Field Trips.....	97
210 Procedures for Effecting Curriculum Changes	98
211 Policies Governing Textbook Selection and Ordering.....	98
212 Faculty Absences	99
213 Faculty Liability	99
214 Release of Information about Students	100
215 Faculty-Student Relationships	100
SECTION 300 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATED TO SERVICE RESPONSIBILITIES.....	100
301 Advisement.....	100
302 Counseling	101
303 Orientation	101
304 Participation in College Affairs	101
305 Participation in Civic Affairs	102
306 Participation in Convocations and Commencement Services.....	102
SECTION 400 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATED TO PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES.....	102
401 Research, Research Grants, and Sponsored Operations Projects.....	102
402 Intellectual Property Policy.....	103
403 The Institutional Review Board.....	114

Revised August 12, 2021

Section 100 BASIC POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY TO FACULTY

101 Appointment

101.01 General Provisions

101.0101 Recommendations for Appointment.

All appointments and reappointments of members of the Faculty shall be made by the President of the University of West Georgia with the approval of the Board of Regents. Recommendations for positions on the General Faculty will, except in the case of Department Chairs, Deans, and Vice Presidents, originate at the level of the Department Chair following a review of applicants by a Search Committee selected by the faculty of the department by whatever means the faculty of the department shall determine. Any committee thus formed and the process by which it is formed must meet the requirements of Board of Regents' policies and any other constraints with which the institution must comply (e.g. Affirmative Action). Recommendations for positions on the General Faculty shall be presented to the Department Chair by the department Faculty Search Committee. The Department Chair shall then present a recommendation through the appropriate deans or directors of activities and then through the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs to the President for his or her consideration. When a search is being conducted to fill the position of a department chair, the Search Committee will channel its recommendations through the Dean. Recommendations for appointments to positions as Dean or Vice President will be made by Search Committees appointed by the President.

101.0102 Employment of Relatives

No individual shall be employed in a department or unit which will result in the existence of a subordinate superior relationship between such individual and any relative of such individual through any line of authority. As used herein, "line of authority" shall mean authority extending vertically through one or more organizational levels of supervision or management. (BOR Minutes, 1989-90, p. 250).

For the purpose of this policy, relatives are defined as husbands and wives, parents and children, brothers, sisters, and any in-laws of any of the foregoing. (BOR Minutes, February 14, 1973. p. 312). ([Section 8.2.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)).

101.0103 Nondiscrimination Policy

(see Article V Section 2, *Policies and Procedures*)

101.0104 Appointment Considerations

Every appointment shall be made solely on the basis of merit and the special qualifications of the individual for the work demanded by the position. For teaching faculty, special considerations shall be given to the candidate's teaching ability; his or her research ability; his or her achievements; his or her successful experience (this must necessarily be waived in the case of beginners otherwise qualified); his or her desirable personal qualities, judged on the basis of personal interview; his or her complete biographical data; his or her recommendations; and his or her general usefulness or promise to the University. ([Section 8.3.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#))

101.0105 Determination of Appropriate Rank

The appointee shall be given the appropriate rank according to the criteria outlined in [Section 8.3.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#) and in Section 101.02 *Faculty Handbook*, University of West Georgia. A Faculty Committee at the departmental level, selected by whatever means the faculty of the department shall determine, will review the professional records of any candidate seeking a position with professorial rank within that department and will make a recommendation to the department chair with respect to the professorial rank to be assigned each candidate. Any committee formed for this purpose and the process by which it is formed must meet the requirements of Board of Regents' policies and any other constraints with which the institution must comply (e.g., Affirmative Action). Department chairs, deans of colleges, directors of activities, and other personnel authorized to recommend initial appointment of faculty members shall be responsible for maintaining equity in rank between faculty being employed and those already serving.

101.0106 Statement of Terms and Conditions of Appointment

The precise terms and conditions of every appointment, including the amount of credit the faculty member will receive toward tenure for prior service at other institutions, shall be stated in writing and be in the possession of both institution and appointee before the appointment is consummated.

101.0107 Verification of Degrees Claimed

It shall be a condition of the initial offer of employment that such employment is contingent upon receipt of official verification of all degrees claimed.

101.0108 Definition of Student Success Activities

The [BOR Academic Affairs Handbook](#) defines the evaluation of the Student Success component as involving “an assessment of the faculty member’s involvement in activities inside and outside the classroom that deepen student learning and engagement for all learners.” Overall, faculty members’ Student Success Activities contribute to the intellectual, academic, and professional growth of prospective, current, and former students. For all reporting and evaluation purposes, faculty and their reviewing peers and/or supervisors should identify Student Success Activities within the categories of Teaching, Service, and Professional Growth and Development. In addition, faculty members may create narratives that coherently describe their Student Success Activities

and accommodate items not otherwise associated with Teaching, Service, and Professional Growth and Development.

101.02 Minimum Criteria for Appointment

101.0201 To the Rank of Instructor

1. **Teaching.*** If no teaching experiences, potential for effective teaching as revealed by transcripts, recommendations, and personal interview. If experienced, evidence of effective teaching as revealed by recommendations.
2. **Service to Institution.*** Potential for student advising/counseling, community service, committee work, skill in handling teaching routines or other professional duties, and for proper ethical relationship. (See Section 109 and Article V, Section 1, *UWG Statutes*)
3. **Academic Achievement.** Master's degree with plans for further academic advancement. Exception may be made in fields where recognition and achievement are of more significance than receipt of degree.
4. **Professional Growth and Development.*** Definite plans for continued professional study and potential for involvement in professional activities.

***Student Success Activities.** Potential for activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.

101.0202 To the Rank of Assistant Professor

1. **Teaching.*** Demonstration of potential for effectiveness in teaching.
2. **Service to Institution.*** Demonstration of potential for effectiveness, where possible, by successful, collegial service on departmental, school-wide, institutional or system-wide committees.
3. **Academic Achievement.** Terminal degree in discipline or significant recognition and achievement in specialization.
4. **Professional Growth and Development.*** Demonstration of potential for effectiveness in the candidate's discipline.

***Student Success Activities.** Potential for activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.

101.0203 To the Rank of Associate Professor

1. **Teaching.*** Demonstration of significant contributions as a teacher and a strong likelihood of continuing effectiveness in teaching.
2. **Service to Institution.*** Demonstration of significant contributions in such service and a strong likelihood of continuing effectiveness as shown by successful, collegial service on departmental, school-wide, institutional or system-wide committees.
3. **Academic Achievement.** Terminal degree in discipline or extraordinary recognition and achievement in specialization.

4. **Professional Growth and Development.*** Demonstration of significant contributions to the candidate's discipline and a strong likelihood of continuing effectiveness.

***Student Success Activities.** Demonstration of activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.

101.0204 To the Rank of Professor

1. **Teaching.*** Demonstration of a clear and convincing record of a high level of sustained effectiveness.
2. **Service to Institution.*** Demonstration of a clear and convincing record of a high level of sustained effectiveness as shown by successful, collegial service on departmental, schoolwide, institutional or system-wide committees.
3. **Academic Achievement.** Terminal degree in discipline or extraordinary recognition and achievement in specialization.
4. **Professional Growth and Development.*** Demonstration of a clear and convincing record of emerging stature as regional, national or international authority within the candidate's discipline, and/or a clear and convincing record of a high level of sustained effectiveness in the candidate's discipline.

***Student Success Activities.** Demonstration of activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.

101.0205 To the Rank of Lecturer

To carry out special instructional functions such as basic skills instruction, an individual may be hired at the rank of lecturer. Not more than 20% of the FTE corps of primarily undergraduate instruction may be lecturers and/or senior lecturers. ([Section 8.3.8.2, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#))

1. **Teaching.*** If no teaching experiences, potential for effective teaching as revealed by transcripts, recommendations, and personal interview. If experienced, evidence of effective teaching as revealed by recommendations.
2. **Service to Institution.*** Potential for student advising/counseling, community service, committee work, skill in handling teaching routines or other professional duties, and for proper ethical relationship. (See Section 109 and Article V, Section 1, UWG Statutes)
3. **Academic Achievement.** Master's degree with plans for further academic advancement. Exception may be made in fields where recognition and achievement are of more significance than receipt of degree.
4. **Professional Growth and Development.*** Definite plans for continued professional study and potential for involvement in professional activities.

***Student Success Activities.** Potential for activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.

101.0206 To the Rank of Senior Lecturer

Initial hiring at the rank of senior lecturer is discouraged.

102 Reappointment

102.01 Tenured Faculty

All tenured faculty members employed under written contract for the fiscal or academic year of two semesters who do not wish employment with the University for a subsequent fiscal or academic year shall give written notice of their intention to resign to the President postmarked no later than February 1, immediately preceding the expiration of the contract period. ([Section 8.3.4.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#))

102.02 Non-Tenured Faculty

102.0201 The Probationary Period

- A. The substantive and procedural standards generally employed in decisions affecting renewal of appointments, promotion and tenure are published in this Handbook. When a new faculty member is employed, the department chair will ensure that the new faculty member receives a copy of this Handbook as well as the written program and/or departmental **-level** promotion and tenure policies and procedures and is referred to the web site <https://www.westga.edu/administration/vpaa/assets/docs/faculty-handbook.pdf> . **Specific promotion and tenure policies must be established at the program or department level depending on which unit corresponds with the faculty member's academic discipline.** These specific department policies **must establish discipline-specific expectations and thus** may be more precise than the institution-wide criteria delineated herein, but they must generally conform to them. Program/department promotion and tenure policies must be developed by the tenured program/department faculty members in consultation with the department chair and the appropriate college dean or Dean of Libraries. If there are fewer than three tenured faculty members in a program or department, the appropriate Dean, in consultation with the department chair and the faculty members in the department, shall appoint a sufficient number of tenured faculty members from similar disciplines outside that department to develop these departmental policies, so long as a majority of those who develop these policies are not department chairs. These policies must have the approval of the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. It is the responsibility of the individual faculty member to be aware of these policies and expectations.
- B. Pre-Tenure Review. Assistant professors in their third year (or those serving a full probationary period regardless of professorial rank) are required to have a pre-tenure review completed by the end of the second semester of the third year. Effective Fall 2018, dossiers must be submitted electronically in a format approved by the Provost. The reviewing committee shall be composed exclusively of tenured faculty members (no fewer than three) of the department, selected by the faculty of the department by whatever means the department shall determine. If there are fewer than three tenured faculty members in a department, the appropriate dean, in consultation with the department chair and the faculty members in the

department, shall appoint a sufficient number of tenured faculty members from similar disciplines outside that department to constitute this committee. No department chair may serve on a Pre-Tenure Review Committee. This committee shall thoroughly and comprehensively review the individual's achievements and performance in light of the department's promotion and tenure policies. The Pre-Tenure Review Committee will report its findings to all tenured faculty members of the department, to the department chair and to the dean. The report will state in writing whether progress toward promotion and/or tenure is sufficient at this time. At a minimum, the pre-tenure review report should include a substantive evaluation of the faculty member's progress and/or qualifications in the following four areas: (a) teaching, (b) service, (c) professional growth and development, and (d) academic achievement, ~~including (the appropriate academic degree[s]), and student success activities folded into the first three.~~ In addition, the department chair and the dean will each provide a separate written report regarding the faculty member's progress toward promotion and/or tenure. The faculty member under review shall receive written copies of the reports prepared by the Pre-Tenure Review Committee, the department chair, and the dean. The faculty member is encouraged to reply to the reports. Progress judged toward promotion and/or tenure in this report does not guarantee a favorable or unfavorable recommendation later on.

- C. In any year, a department may recommend whether or not to extend a contract to a nontenured faculty member. This recommendation shall be made by the department chair in consultation with the tenured faculty members in the program or department. Recommendations for reappointment of faculty members shall be presented through the appropriate administrative channels to the President for his or her consideration, so long as administrators under consideration for reappointment do not make recommendations with respect to their own status as faculty members.

102.0202 Notification

By or before the beginning of the contract year, the President shall, in writing, advise all nontenured faculty members and other non-tenured personnel employed under written contract (except those who are in their first year of employment) whether an employment contract for the succeeding academic year will be offered to them. Such written notice shall be delivered by hand or by certified mail to the addressee only. Notice of the intention to renew or not to renew a non-tenured faculty member shall be furnished in writing according to the following schedule:

1. at least three months before the date of termination of an initial one-year contract;
 2. at least six months before the date of termination of a second one-year contract;
 3. at least nine months before the date of termination of a contract after two or more years of service in the institution.
- B. Non-tenured faculty and other non-tenured personnel employed under written contract shall be employed only for the term specified in their contracts, and subsequent or future employment, if any, shall result solely from a separate offer and acceptance requisite to execution of a new and distinct contract. ([Section 8.3.4.2, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#))

103 Procedures and Criteria for Promotion and Tenure

103.01 Foreword

These procedures are designed to select those persons in the University qualified for promotion and tenure.

The number of faculty members who advance in rank and/or achieve tenure is dependent on various factors, several of which are beyond the control of the University of West Georgia. The external factors include the following: the Board of Regents, which must maintain a sound and equitable structure within the University System; financial appropriations; appointments of new faculty members; and resignations or retirement of faculty members within departments.

Beyond these factors, advance in rank shall be controlled within the University by an annual promotion recommendation system, which shall promote qualified members to advanced professional positions. Promotions in rank are based on merit and are not automatic. The University approves faculty for promotion in accordance with [Section 8.3.6, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#). The University approves faculty for tenure in accordance with [Section 8.3.7, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#), which includes a comprehensive statement of tenure policies in the University System. The annual promotion recommendation system shall also apply to tenure recommendations. In recognition of professional achievement and service, tenure shall be extended to ensure academic freedom in teaching and research.

Tenure is the keystone for academic freedom; it is essential for safeguarding the right of free expression and for encouraging risk-taking inquiry at the frontiers of knowledge. Both tenure and academic freedom are part of an implicit social compact which recognizes that tenure serves important public purposes and benefits society. The people of Georgia are best served when faculty are free to teach, conduct research, and provide service without fear of reprisal and to pursue those activities with regard for long term benefits to society rather than short term rewards. In return, the faculty has the responsibility of furthering the institution's programs of research.

The annual promotion recommendation system shall be administered according to the procedures herein established.

If there exists a significant conflict of interest, no person with such a conflict may participate in promotion and/or tenure recommendations; advisement of candidates; and/or preparation of materials. All personal and professional conflicts of interest must be revealed and reviewed. Such conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, personal and professional interactions and relationships that would preclude dispassionate and disinterested recommendations and correct, complete, and unbiased participation in these matters. Spouses, immediate family members, and colleagues with an intimate personal relationship with a candidate are explicitly prohibited from participation. (This paragraph also applies to any and all recommendations made during the probationary period. See Section 102.0201)

103.02 Procedures

By the end of the first week of fall semester classes, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall establish the date by which recommendations shall be submitted at each level of the promotion/tenure process. Any faculty member who meets the criteria for promotion and tenure established herein and who desires to be considered shall submit an electronic dossier to his or her department chair, library supervisor, or other designated supervisor (in the absence of a department chair). Effective Fall 2018, dossiers must be submitted electronically in a format approved by the Provost. Department chairs or supervisors shall see that dossiers are organized uniformly according to the appropriate criteria specified. Each dossier shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- a curriculum vitae appropriate to the candidate's discipline;
- the Student Evaluations of Instruction as specified in Section 103.06; any letters of recommendation which the department chair has received; and
- reprints of scholarly publications or other evidence of scholarly or creative work.

The promotion/tenure process shall include reviews at the levels of both the Department and the College or School, except in those units without academic departments, which may choose a single-level process. Given the diverse nature of academic disciplines and the rigorous professional standards associated with each, programs or departments ~~may~~**must** formulate specific criteria appropriate to their discipline. ~~If a department specifies unique criteria,~~ Such criteria must be in written form and approved by the governing body of the College, the Dean, and the Provost. Program or department criteria must be made immediately available to candidates after their approval. Such approved department criteria must be made available to candidates at their point of entry into UWG, and reinforced during periodic pre-tenure / promotion reviews; they must also be included as part of a candidate's dossier at each subsequent level of review. Program or departmental criteria must not conflict with University criteria. Each subsequent level of review must consider the dossier in terms of these stated criteria, thus ensuring that candidates are considered in the professional contexts of both their discipline and of the University.

103.0201 Faculty Promotion and Tenure Evaluation

A. Departmental Evaluation (for units with academic departments)

1. Faculty Committee

A faculty promotion and tenure evaluation committee, consisting exclusively of tenured faculty members (no fewer than three) selected by the faculty of the program or department by whatever means the program or department shall determine, shall formally review dossiers submitted to the department chair. If there are fewer than three tenured faculty members in a program or department, the appropriate dean, in consultation with the department chair and the faculty members in the program or department, shall appoint a sufficient number of tenured faculty members from similar disciplines outside that program or department to constitute this committee. Department chairs, Assistant/Associate Deans and Deans are excluded from selection as committee members. No faculty member shall serve on the committee during a year in which he or

she is being considered by the committee. The program or departmental committee (or other review body of academic units that do not have departments) shall be guided by all of the specific university, college/school, and, for academic units that contain departments, departmental criteria for promotion or tenure in their formal review of dossiers submitted to the department chair and shall make a recommendation in writing (including a discussion of the candidate's strengths and identification of areas where the candidate failed to meet the criteria) regarding each case for promotion and/or tenure. A simple majority vote of the committee is required for a positive recommendation.

If a candidate is not recommended for promotion and/or tenure, the chair of the department (or Dean in the case of a unit that does not have departments) shall give the candidate a copy of the committee's evaluation in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

2. Department Chair

The department chair shall include the faculty committee's written evaluation along with his or her own written evaluation in the dossier of the candidate. Formal written evaluations shall include a discussion of the candidate's strengths and shall identify areas where the candidate failed to meet the criteria.

3. Evaluation of Department Chair

When a department chair is under consideration for promotion and/or tenure, the faculty committee (see above) shall review the candidate's dossier submitted to the Dean. The committee shall make a recommendation in writing (including a discussion of the candidate's strengths and identification of areas where the candidate failed to meet the criteria) regarding the case for promotion and/or tenure. A simple majority vote of the committee is required for a positive recommendation. If a candidate is not recommended for promotion and/or tenure, the chair of the Committee shall give the candidate a copy of the committee's evaluation in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

4. Evaluations of other faculty holding administrative positions

Members of the administrative staff who hold faculty rank in a teaching area and who wish to be considered for promotion shall submit a dossier to the chair of the department in which they hold rank. Their applications shall be considered under the procedures herein prescribed.

Faculty above the level of department chair (e.g., deans, vice presidents) shall be evaluated in accordance with the same promotion and/or tenure criteria and procedures outlined in this Handbook.

5. Candidates may appeal any evaluation that does not recommend promotion and/or tenure

in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0205.

B. College Evaluation

1. A Faculty Promotion and Tenure Evaluation Committee shall be established in each of the following: The College of ~~Arts and Humanities~~ **Arts, Culture, and Scientific Inquiry**, the College of Education, the **Richards** College of Business, and the **University College**~~the College of Science and Mathematics, and the College of Social Sciences~~. Each committee shall be composed exclusively of tenured faculty members selected by the voting members of the academic unit and shall formally review dossiers submitted to the Dean. Department chairs, Assistant/Associate Deans and Deans are excluded from selection as committee members. No faculty member shall serve on the committee during a year in which he or she is being considered by the committee. Each department shall have representation on the committee **depending on the number of programs within that department**~~but no department shall have more than two members~~. Deans shall be responsible for calling the initial meeting of this committee. At the initial meeting, the members of each committee shall elect one of the members as chair, who will be a voting member of the committee.
2. Each committee shall meet at the call of its committee chair. At the initial meeting, the committee chair shall review the qualifications for each rank so that members will be guided by all of the specific university, college/school, and departmental **or program** criteria for promotion or tenure.
3. Dossiers submitted shall be reviewed by committee members prior to committee meetings.
4. The merits of each candidate for promotion or tenure shall be discussed to the extent desired by a simple majority of committee members. Department members serving on the Promotion and Tenure Evaluation Committee are to serve as resource persons to the committee rather than advocates for or adversaries against members of their program or department under consideration for promotion and/or tenure. Any supervisor may be called to discuss with the committee the qualifications of each person nominated from his or her department.
5. Voting on promotion and tenure shall be by separate secret ballots and according to the following procedures: all candidates for promotion to each academic rank shall be voted on at the same time, and all candidates for tenure shall be voted on at the same time.
6. Each candidate shall receive a vote of approval or disapproval. The committee chair shall total the votes awarded each candidate. A simple majority vote of the committee is required for a positive recommendation. It will be the responsibility of the Dean to preserve the original and to keep these on file for a period of ten years.

The committee chair shall prepare a written evaluation for each candidate that includes a discussion of the candidate's strengths and areas where the candidate failed to meet the

criteria. A copy of this written evaluation, including vote totals, shall be forwarded in the dossier of the candidate to the appropriate Dean. If a candidate is not recommended for promotion and/or tenure, the Dean shall give the candidate a copy of the committee's evaluation in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

7. Candidates may appeal any evaluation that does not recommend promotion and/or tenure in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

~~C. Promotion and Tenure Committee Formation for Units without Departments (e.g. School of Nursing and Library)~~

Units without departments shall have the option of forming a single, unit-level promotion and tenure committee instead of two committees as described in 103.0201 A and B. Such a committee must be composed exclusively of tenured faculty and must include a minimum of three (3) members. In the event that the unit does not have a sufficient number of eligible tenured faculty, the committee must be populated by inviting tenured faculty from other units of the university, emeriti faculty, or tenured faculty from appropriate academic units at other universities. Any units that plan to populate promotion and tenure committees with emeriti or non-UWG faculty must establish a written policy for the selection of these committee members.

Units choosing the option of single-level review for promotion and tenure must develop their own written procedures for promotion and tenure committee formation and review and obtain approval from the governing body of the unit and the Provost/VPAA. These procedures must be otherwise consistent with the procedures outlined in Section 103.0201.

Candidates may appeal any evaluation that does not recommend promotion and/or tenure in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

D. Evaluation by the Dean

Each Dean shall evaluate the qualifications of the people under consideration for promotion and/or tenure. The Dean's review shall be guided by all of the specific university, college/school, and program or departmental criteria for promotion or tenure, taking into account all the material in their dossiers, vote totals, and recommendations provided in each previous evaluation. The names of those recommended for promotion shall be arranged by academic rank; an additional list shall consist of the names of those recommended for tenure. The names of those not recommended for promotion and/or tenure will be listed separately.

The Dean shall prepare a written evaluation that includes a discussion of the candidate's strengths and areas where the candidate failed to meet the criteria. A copy of this written evaluation shall be included in the dossier of the candidate and forwarded to the Provost. In the event the Dean recommends a candidate who, up to this point, has not been recommended for promotion and/or tenure, or chooses not to recommend a candidate who up to this point has been recommended for promotion and/or tenure, the Dean's written report shall articulate the reasons for differing with prior evaluations. If a candidate is not recommended for

promotion and/or tenure, the Dean shall give the candidate a copy of the committee's evaluation in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

Candidates may appeal any evaluation that does not recommend promotion and/or tenure in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

E. Evaluation by the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

The Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall evaluate the qualifications of the people under consideration for promotion and/or tenure. The Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs' review shall be guided by all of the specific university, college/school, and departmental **or program** criteria for promotion or tenure taking into account all the material in their dossiers, vote totals, and recommendations provided in each previous evaluation. The names of those recommended for promotion shall be arranged by academic rank; an additional list shall consist of the names of those recommended for tenure. The names of those not recommended for promotion and/or tenure will be listed separately. The Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall prepare a written evaluation which includes a discussion of the candidate's strengths and areas where the candidate failed to meet the criteria. A copy of this written evaluation shall be included in the dossier of the candidate and forwarded to the President. In the event the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs recommends a candidate who, up to this point has not been recommended for promotion and/or tenure, or chooses not to recommend a candidate who up to this point has been recommended for promotion and/or tenure, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs' written report shall articulate the reasons for differing with prior evaluations. If a candidate is not recommended for promotion and/or tenure, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall give the candidate a copy of the committee's evaluation in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

The Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall then notify the Dean of each college/school of his or her decisions in each case. The Dean of each College or School shall notify the department chair or area supervisor of the status of each candidate.

Candidates may appeal any evaluation that does not recommend promotion and/or tenure in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

F. Final Approval

The President shall evaluate the qualifications of the people under consideration for promotion and/or tenure as revealed by the material in their dossiers and by the reports from the College, School, or Library Promotion and Tenure Evaluation Committees, the Deans, and the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. The President shall approve or disapprove the candidate's application for promotion and/or tenure.

Candidates may appeal any evaluation that does not recommend promotion and/or tenure in accordance with the procedures and timelines specified in Section 103.0202.

103.0202 Appeal for Reconsideration

Notification of a negative evaluation shall be communicated in writing by the appropriate supervisory level no later than ten University Business Days prior to the required notification to the next level. Any candidate appealing for reconsideration at any level shall, within five University Business Days of the receipt of the report, state in writing the grounds for his or her request. The appeal shall include any additional pertinent material.

Within five University Business Days of receipt of an appeal, the party to whom the appeal has been made shall carefully re-evaluate the candidate's dossier in light of the written appeal. The results of the re-evaluation shall be communicated to the candidate in writing within five University Business Days. This re-evaluation shall be made in accordance with the procedure established for initial consideration at this level and shall replace this party's previous evaluation in the candidate's dossier. The dossier will then proceed to the next level. The candidate may withdraw the dossier at any point in the process.

103.0203 Promotion in Professorial Rank of a Member of the Administrative Staff

Members of the administrative staff who hold faculty rank in a teaching area and who wish to be considered for promotion shall submit a dossier to the chair of the department in which they hold rank. Their applications shall be considered under the procedures herein prescribed.

103.03 Time Limits and Minimum Criteria for Promotion

103.0301 Time Limits—Promotion

The institutional timeline for the review of faculty for promotion must be considered by early February of a given academic year in order to meet the data entry deadline of the end of February for the annual report to the Board of Regents.

A Lecturer may serve in rank six years. Reappointment after six consecutive years of service will be permitted only if the lecturer has demonstrated exceptional teaching ability and extraordinary value to the institution. Lecturers who have served for a period of at least six years at the University of West Georgia may be considered for promotion to Senior Lecturer if they have met criteria for Senior Lecturer.

An Instructor may serve in rank a maximum of seven years. He or she should be considered for promotion as soon as he or she has met criteria for Assistant Professor. To be considered for tenure-track appointment at the assistant professor level, [Section 8.3.7.6](#) and [8.3.8](#) *Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia*, should be applied regarding years of service.

An Assistant Professor is eligible for and may be reviewed for promotion in rank during their fifth year of service in their current rank at the University of West Georgia. A maximum of three (3) years' credit toward the minimum probationary period may be allowed for service in tenure

track positions at other institutions. If recommended for promotion, the new rank will go into effect at the beginning of the next contract period. Recommendations for promotion are not normally considered for individuals who are currently on leaves of absence.

An Associate Professor is eligible for and may be reviewed for promotion in rank during their fifth year of service in their current rank. A maximum of three (3) years' credit toward the minimum probationary period may be allowed for service in tenure track positions at other institutions. If recommended for promotion, the new rank will go into effect at the beginning of the next contract period. Recommendations for promotion are not normally considered for individuals who are currently on leaves of absence

Under special circumstances, faculty who are performing significantly above the expectations for their current rank may be considered for "early" promotion. Early promotion may only be considered according to the following time table:

- For early promotion from Lecturer to Senior Lecturer, faculty must have served a minimum of three years as a Lecturer
- For early promotion from Instructor to Assistant Professor, faculty must have served a minimum of three years as an instructor
- For early promotion from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor, faculty must have served a minimum of four years as an Assistant Professor
- For early promotion from Associate Professor to Full Professor, faculty must have served a minimum of four years as an Associate Professor

At research and comprehensive universities, faculty may be considered for "early" promotion with less than the required minimum years of service in rank listed above. However, these cases require strong justification and approval by the president.

The granting of promotion in rank by the university recognizes the significance of a faculty member's contribution to the institution and his/her enhanced value as a scholar-teacher. Because of this, promotion must be accompanied by a salary increase. If in times of extreme financial crisis such salary increases are suspended, the institution must retroactively apply such promotion increases to individuals who did not receive them at the time of promotion.

For further questions regarding tenure and promotion please see [Section 4.4, Academic and Student Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#), [Section 4.5, Academic and Students Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#) and [Section 8.3.6, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#).

103.0302 Specific Minimum Criteria for Promotion

Foreword. Four criteria are prescribed by [Section 8.3.6.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#): 1) Excellent teaching and effectiveness in instruction, 2) noteworthy professional service to the institution or the community, 3) ~~noteworthy research, scholarship, creative activity, or~~ academic achievement (degree), as appropriate to the rank and the institution's mission, and 4) continuous professional growth and development, through, for

example, research, scholarship, and creative activity. The faculty member's Student Success Activities shall be articulated within each of the previous categories; faculty members may also create narratives that coherently describe their Student Success Activities and accommodate items not otherwise associated with Teaching, Service, and Professional Growth and Development. According to Regents' Policies, noteworthy achievement should be expected in at least two areas. At the University of West Georgia, one of those "noteworthy" areas must be teaching, except in the case of librarians and administrators whose primary tasks are not teaching. For employment or promotion to Associate Professor or Professor, one must have demonstrated at least some substantive and documentable achievement in all four areas. For those holding academic rank in the Library, outstanding fulfillment of duties rather than superior teaching shall be the criterion applied although teaching librarians and administrators must supply evidence of excellence in teaching as part of their case for promotion.

Intertwining of Student Success Activities into Teaching, Service, and Scholarship/Creative Activity, and Professional Development

All faculty achievements (including professional growth, scholarship, creative activities, service to the institution and/or the community, as well as all teaching/instruction) benefit the students of the University either directly or indirectly. For example, faculty research, scholarship/creative activity, publications, and grants develop the faculty member's discipline-specific knowledge and reputation, from which students benefit inside and outside the classroom. In teaching, students not only benefit from the instruction encompassed by the learning outcomes and curriculum of each course, but further from the mentoring, professionalization opportunities, pedagogical innovations, and extracurricular opportunities a faculty member offers their students. In service, faculty members advance Student Success through a variety of activities such as the development of new courses, committee and senate vetting of course or program modifications, and/or student organization advising.

In addition, any faculty accomplishments raise the profile and reputation of the University and thus increase the value of a student's education and degree throughout their own professional endeavors. Only activities that faculty members develop, implement, and revise to enhance student success must be evaluated and considered; factors outside of faculty members' control, therefore, should not be considered. Faculty are encouraged to iterate intent, implementation, and reflection on their student success activities. The types of activities and evidence listed below are not prescriptive, which means that faculty members do not have to demonstrate success in all or each. Also, academic disciplines and units across campus must develop specific examples of student success activities germane to their area.

As the institution becomes more diverse in the types of programs offered and clientele served, it might reasonably have different levels of expectation for faculty in different programs. All faculty members at the University of West Georgia, however, are expected to participate actively in the intellectual life of their discipline and their profession. This may take the form of professional development activities which involve the practical application of existing knowledge or the creation of new knowledge. All faculty members are expected to have a professional development agenda, to make progress annually in addressing it, and to maintain proper professional ethics. (see Section 109) Below are outlined specific MINIMUM UWG

requirements by rank for meeting each criterion:

1. To Be Promoted to Senior Lecturer

- 1.1. Teaching.* Demonstration of excellence in teaching with evidence from sources listed in section 103.0302.5.1.
- 1.2. Service.* Demonstration of effectiveness as shown by successful, collegial service on departmental, college/school-wide, institutional or system-wide committees and with evidence from additional sources listed in section 103.0302.5.2.
- 1.3. Academic Achievement. Graduate degree in discipline.
- 1.4. Professional Growth and Development.* Demonstration of professional development in the candidate's discipline with evidence from the sources listed in section 103.0302.6.

***Student Success Activities. Demonstration of activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.**

2. To Be Promoted to Assistant Professor

- 2.1. Teaching.* Demonstration of excellence in teaching with evidence from sources listed in section 103.0302.5.1.
- 2.2. Service.* Demonstration of effectiveness as shown by successful, collegial service on departmental, college/school-wide, institutional or system-wide committees and with evidence from additional sources listed in section 103.0302.5.2.
- 2.3. Academic Achievement. Terminal degree in discipline **or its equivalent in training, ability, experience, or education.**
- 2.4. Professional Growth and Development.* Demonstration of scholarly contributions, creative work, or successful professional practice in the candidate's discipline with evidence from the sources listed in section 103.0302.5.3.

***Student Success Activities. Demonstration of activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.**

3. To Be Promoted to Associate Professor

- 3.1. Teaching.* Demonstration of significant contributions as a teacher and a high level of sustained excellence in teaching with evidence from sources listed in section 103.0302.5.1.
- 3.2. Service.* Demonstration of significant contributions in such service and a strong likelihood of continuing effectiveness as shown by successful, collegial service on departmental, college/school-wide, institutional or system-wide committees and with evidence from additional sources listed in section 103.0302.5.2.
- 3.3. Academic Achievement. Terminal degree in discipline **or its equivalent in training, ability, experience, or education.**
- 3.4. Professional Growth and Development.* Demonstration of scholarly contributions, creative work, or successful professional practice in the candidate's discipline and a strong likelihood of continuing effectiveness with evidence from the sources listed in section

103.0302.5.3.

***Student Success Activities. Demonstration of activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.**

4. To Be Promoted to Professor

- 4.1. Teaching. * Demonstration of a clear and convincing record of a high level of sustained excellence with evidence from sources listed in section 103.0302.5.1.
- 4.2. Service. * Demonstration of a clear and convincing record of a high level of sustained effectiveness as shown by successful, collegial service on departmental, college/school-wide, institutional or system-wide committees and with evidence from additional sources listed in section 103.0302.5.2.
- 4.3. Academic Achievement. Terminal degree in discipline **or its equivalent in training, ability, experience, or education.**
- 4.4. Professional Growth and Development. * Demonstration of a clear and convincing record of emerging stature as regional, national, or international authority within the candidate's discipline, and/or a clear and convincing record of a high level of sustained effectiveness in the candidate's discipline with evidence from the sources listed in section 103.0302.5.3.

***Student Success Activities. Demonstration of activities in faculty teaching, service, and professional growth and development that deepen student learning and engagement.**

5. Acceptable Evidentiary Sources Relevant to Promotion: ~~Each department, school, college, or the Library must specify acceptable additional evidentiary sources for teaching, service, and professional growth and development.~~ **The evidentiary sources listed below are broadly applicable to faculty across the manifold disciplines represented at the University of West Georgia; each program, department, school, college, or the Library must specify acceptable additional evidentiary sources for teaching, service, professional growth and development, and student success activities. Faculty should list and explain in each category which activities contribute to or enhance student success, consistently identifying these by insertion of an asterisk [*] with each Student Success Activity. In addition, faculty may include student success activities that are not embedded in the other categories.** Additional evidentiary sources must be approved by the faculty and the Dean of the respective school or college, the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Provost, and must be published in the academic unit's respective promotion and tenure documents.

5.1. Teaching:*

- 5.1.1. Effectiveness as shown by peer or supervisor evaluation
- 5.1.2. Honors or special recognitions for teaching accomplishments
- 5.1.3. Letters from former students attesting to the candidate's instructional abilities
- 5.1.4. Successful direction of individual student work (e.g., independent projects, theses, exit papers, etc.)
- 5.1.5. Scholarly Teaching (e.g., reading pedagogical literature, attending professional

development related to teaching, experimentation with new instructional methods and assessment of effectiveness. For a complete description see [Section 4.7.2, Academic and Student Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#)).

- 5.1.6. Successful development of courses
- 5.1.7. Development of effective curricula and/or instructional methods
- 5.1.8. Faculty directed student research that complements classroom learning
- 5.1.9. Student evaluations
- 5.1.10. Evidence of student learning such as student self-assessments, pre- and post-test results, external test scores, rubric-based assessments, portfolios, examples of student work, and other relevant discipline-specific evidence.
- 5.1.11. Evidence of teaching that incorporates community-engaged approaches and methods.

5.2. **Service:***

- 5.2.1. Successful development of service programs or projects.
- 5.2.2. Effective service-related consultation work or technical assistance.
- 5.2.3. Effective advisement of student organizations.
- 5.2.4. Successful counseling/advising of students.
- 5.2.5. Successful service on local, statewide, regional, national, or international levels in community-service organizations (e.g., committees, boards, panels).
- 5.2.6. Honors, awards and special recognitions for service to the institution or the community.
- 5.2.7. Significant contributions to the improvement of student, faculty or community life.
- 5.2.8. Successful mentoring of colleagues.
- 5.2.9. Collaborating with PK-12 schools, university colleagues, or external agencies to strengthen teaching quality and to increase student learning (as stipulated in B.O.R. policy 8.3.15)
- 5.2.10. Successful service that includes community-engaged approaches and methods.

5.3. **Professional Growth and Development:***

- 5.3.1. Scholarly Publications (as determined by the disciplines):
 - a. Books published by peer-reviewed presses
 - b. Other published books related to the candidate's professional field
 - c. Articles published in refereed journals
 - d. Papers and articles published elsewhere
- 5.3.2. Presentations before learned societies and professional organizations
- 5.3.3. Scholarship of Teaching and Learning (e.g., publications and/or presentations on research conducted in schools or the university classroom that are peer-reviewed. For a complete description see [Section 4.7.2, Academic and Student Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#)).

5.3.4. Grants

- a. Grants received for research, scholarship, or creative activity
- b. Grants received for curricular development or other academic projects
- c. Submitted proposals for competitive external grants

5.3.5. Honors and awards for research, scholarship, or other creative activities

5.3.6. Recognition by professional peers

- a. Reviews of a candidate's publications or creative work by persons of recognized competence in the discipline.
- b. Election or appointment to offices in professional organizations, successful committee work and important service to state, regional, national or international professional associations and learned societies, including editorial work.
- c. Receipt of competitively awarded fellowships, or selective admission to seminars related to one's discipline, scholarship, and/or creative activities.
- d. Successful performances in significant recitals or productions in which such performances are invited or selected after competitive review.
- e. Other performances related to academic field.
- f. Exhibitions of creative works in which such works are invited or selected after competitive review.
- g. Non-refereed exhibitions.
- h. Membership on editorial boards, juries judging art works, or juries auditioning performing artists.
- i. Development of scholarly applications of technology, e.g., laboratory devices, computer software packages or programs, videotapes, etc.
- j. Consultation which involves scholarly application of professional expertise

5.3.7. Scholarship that promotes and improves student learning and achievement in PK-12 schools and/or in the university (as stipulated in B.O.R policy 8.3.15)

5.3.8. Evidence of scholarship that uses community-engaged approaches and methods.

5.3.9. Other as approved by departments and colleges

*5.4 Student Success Activities

The following list of Student Success Activities and associated evidence is not cumulative or exclusive; individual programs or departments should develop more specific lists of activities that further students success both within and across disciplines (thus encouraging interdisciplinary work).

5.4.1. Improvements to curriculum, infrastructure, and the enrichment of the campus, community life, and student experience. For example:

- Developing course-associated service learning.
- Developing experiential learning programs.
- Fundraising and grant-writing benefiting student programs.

- 5.4.2. Advising, mentoring and engaging in professional preparatory activities with current and former students.
 - Writing letters of recommendation for scholarships, graduate programs, jobs, etc.
 - Guiding students in career development.
 - Mentoring and/or advising students and student organizations.
- 5.4.3. Organizing/coordinating, consulting, and/or participating in co-curricular and extracurricular activities that contribute to student training and learning.
 - Providing students with access to internships, site visits, and guest speakers.
 - Participating in recruitment events.
 - Helping students prepare for conferences and other professional development activities.
 - Providing students opportunities to perform and/or show their creative work.
- 5.4.4. Academic and professional achievement of current and former students in the faculty member's discipline.
 - Engaging in and directing of undergraduate research activities (and other high-impact practices).
 - Supporting students in applying to graduate school (incl. evidence of graduate school admissions).
 - Designing honors-designated courses.
- 5.4.5. Engaging in professional development and classroom practices related to pedagogical growth and the improvement of classroom effectiveness.
 - Pursuing scholarship and other professional development that promotes and improves student learning and achievement.
 - Participating in pedagogy conferences, seminars (in-person and/or virtual), and other development activities focused on student success (such as Chancellor's Learning Scholars).
 - Participating in and contributing to activities of the Center for Teaching and Learning.
- 5.4.6. Others as approved by programs/departments and colleges.

6. Professional Growth and Development for Promotion from to Senior Lecturer:

- 6.1 Significant contributions to continuing education programs for the community or local educators.
- 6.2 Significant contributions to workshops on teaching, pedagogy, or educational technology.
- 6.3 Significant consulting work related to teaching, pedagogy, or educational technology.
- 6.4. Completion of coursework required to obtain or maintain teacher certification.
- 6.5. Completion of graduate coursework in one's primary field beyond the Master's level.

- 6.6. Supervision and training of instructors, teaching assistants, lab assistants, or tutors.
- 6.7. Significant contributions to curricular development.
- 6.8. Academic publications and/or presentations at academic conferences.

7. Format of Promotion and Tenure Submissions

The Provost determines the format of tenure/promotion dossiers and must publish relevant guidelines for the following academic year by no later than April 30th.

103.0303 Probationary Credit Towards Promotion

At the time of an individual's initial appointment, a maximum of three years of probationary credit toward promotion may be awarded for service at other institutions or service in a faculty rank within the institution. In extraordinary cases, research and comprehensive universities may award more than three years probationary credit at initial faculty appointments. Such awards require approval by the President and written notice to the USG Chief Academic Officer. Individuals serving part-time, temporary, or limited term positions are not eligible for probationary credit towards promotion. Without the approval of the President, faculty given probationary credit towards promotion may not use their years of credit toward consideration for promotion.

103.04 Minimum Tenure Criteria

103.0401 Foreword

The awarding of tenure is a serious and significant step for both the faculty member and the university. It is not awarded merely on the basis of time in service or minimal effectiveness. Retention throughout a probationary period of service, regardless of faculty academic rank held, is by itself insufficient to guarantee the success of a candidate for tenure. To be eligible for consideration for tenure, a candidate must not only meet the required period of service and the minimum criteria specified below but must also show a history of evaluations that merit the award of tenure. Tenure is awarded to individual faculty members upon evidence of the capacity and likelihood for continued intellectual, scholarly, and professional vitality and a sense of responsibility and dedication to make the continuing exemplary performance of duties a reasonable expectation; and upon evidence of maintenance of proper professional ethics. (See AAUP statement on professional ethics, academic freedom and responsibility in "Academic Freedom, Responsibility and Professional Ethics" in this Handbook.) Protected from arbitrary dismissal and from transient political and ideological currents, the individual faculty member assumes a responsibility to make a continuing effort to achieve the expectations upon which the award of tenure was based. Tenure at the University of West Georgia should be regarded as a most valuable possession, signifying a long-term commitment of resources by the University of West Georgia, matched by the sincere commitment by the faculty member to continued professional growth and achievement. Only assistant professors, associate professors, and professors who are normally employed full-time (as defined by Regents' Policies) by an institution are eligible for tenure. Faculty members with the rank of instructor, Lecturer or Senior

Lecturer or with adjunct appointments shall not acquire tenure.

The term “full-time” is used in these tenure regulations to denote service on a one hundred percent workload basis for at least two out of three consecutive semesters.

103.0402 Time Limits

1. Tenure may be awarded upon recommendation by the President upon completion of a probationary period as outlined in [BOR 8.3.7.4](#).
2. A faculty member may request a one year extension per qualifying event of the tenure/promotion/post-tenure review clock in situations that are “qualifying events” as defined in the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) but which do not necessarily result in the faculty member taking a formal leave of absence. Faculty members may also request extensions based on administrative appointments such as being named director of a program, chairing of a department, or an academic unit, and similar administrative assignments. Further exceptions include qualifying events which occur during summer sessions when the faculty member is not under contract. The total time for all extensions cannot exceed two years. These extensions may be granted by the President at his or her discretion pursuant to Board Policy [8.3.7.4](#).

Faculty members may request this extension by submitting a letter and supporting documentation to their immediate supervisor as soon as it becomes clear that an extenuating circumstance has substantially impeded (or will impede) progress toward tenure/promotion/post-tenure review. The maximum leave of absence is defined in Board Policy [8.3.7.4](#). Such request should normally be made within three months of the event.

3. The maximum credit towards the minimum tenure probationary period is stated in Board Policy [8.3.7.4](#).
4. The maximum time that may be served in the combination of full-time instructional appointments as instructor or professorial ranks, or at the rank of assistant professor or above without the award of tenure is defined in [BOR 8.3.7.6](#).
5. The loss of tenure or probationary credit towards tenure is outlined in [BOR 8.3.7.7](#).

103.0403 Specific Minimum Criteria for the Award of Tenure

1. **Teaching.*** Same as criteria for promotion to Associate Professor
2. **Service.*** Same as criteria for promotion to Associate Professor
3. **Academic Achievement.** Same as criteria for promotion to Associate Professor **Terminal degree in the discipline.**
4. **Professional Growth and Development.*** Same as criteria for promotion to Associate Professor

***Student Success Activities. Same as criteria for promotion to Associate Professor.**

103.05 Curriculum Vitae

Candidates must submit a current curriculum vitae appropriate to the candidate's discipline.

103.06 Evaluating Teaching

Evaluation of a faculty member's teaching should be continual because evaluation aids a faculty member in becoming more effective in the performance of his or her duties as well as offers evidence for promotion and/or tenure.

All classes must be evaluated. Any college, department, or faculty member may add questions to the standard form to make it apply to the unique qualifications of the specific area. In addition, a department or area may devise, administer, and tabulate the results of an evaluation form which is especially applicable to the specific area. The department chair shall use the results of the evaluation as a factor in determining annual merit raises and shall include the results of such an evaluation form in the dossier of each department member being considered for contract renewal, promotion, tenure, pre-tenure or post-tenure review. (In the case of a department chair being reviewed for promotion and/or tenure, the appropriate next highest supervisor shall assume responsibility for including the results of such evaluations in the dossier of the candidate.)

Students' evaluation data shall be an official part of the administrative evaluation process. Supervisor and peer evaluations may be used as determined by the department. eCore course evaluations will be completed through the common instruments designed for that purpose and made available by the University system for all such courses. Courses that have fewer than five students must also be evaluated but may use an alternative evaluation instrument appropriate to the course and upon approval of the department and dean of the college.

103.0601 University of West Georgia/Student Evaluation of Instruction (SEI) - see next page

UNIVERSITY OF WEST GEORGIA

Student Evaluation of Instruction (SEI)

COURSE IDENTIFICATION

<input type="checkbox"/>	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
<input type="checkbox"/>	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9																

IMPORTANT

LINE NO. 1 PENCIL ONLY

- MAKE DARK MARKS
- EXAMPLE: 1 2 3 4 5
- ERASE COMPLETELY TO CHANGE

SECTION NUMBER

<input type="checkbox"/>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

LAB SECTION

<input type="checkbox"/>	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
--------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

For each item below, please fill in the circle that indicates the degree to which you feel each item is descriptive of the course instructor. IF YOU HAVE NO INFORMATION OR FEEL AN ITEM DOES NOT APPLY, PLEASE FILL IN THE N/A CIRCLE.

ITEM #	Strongly Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A		
Student-Centered Questions						
1. I correctly utilized all required course texts and materials.	5	4	3	2	1	N
2. I regularly attended class.	5	4	3	2	1	N
3. I was punctual.	5	4	3	2	1	N
4. I was well-prepared for class.	5	4	3	2	1	N
5. I actively participated in all class activities.	5	4	3	2	1	N
6. If/When I struggled with course material, I sought help from the professor or from resources provided to me.	5	4	3	2	1	N
7. This course challenged my intellect.	5	4	3	2	1	N
Discipline-Centered Questions						
1. Class discussions and/or activities helped me to understand the subject matter.	5	4	3	2	1	N
2. Course assignments helped me to understand the subject matter.	5	4	3	2	1	N
3. Course content was presented effectively.	5	4	3	2	1	N
4. Required course texts and/or materials helped me to understand the subject matter.	5	4	3	2	1	N
5. Test content was representative of assigned material.	5	4	3	2	1	N
6. Tests and/or assignments required problem solving, critical thinking, and/or creative thought.	5	4	3	2	1	N
Instructor-Centered Questions						
1. The instructor demonstrates knowledge of his/her discipline.	5	4	3	2	1	N
2. The instructor clearly explains course expectations.	5	4	3	2	1	N
3. The instructor clearly explains how students will be evaluated.	5	4	3	2	1	N
4. The instructor evaluates and returns tests and assignments in a reasonable period of time.	5	4	3	2	1	N
5. The instructor presents material in an organized manner.	5	4	3	2	1	N
6. The instructor communicates effectively.	5	4	3	2	1	N
7. The instructor demonstrates respect for students.	5	4	3	2	1	N
8. The instructor is receptive and responsive to the sharing of ideas during class discussions.	5	4	3	2	1	N
9. The instructor offers and is available for individual assistance.	5	4	3	2	1	N

Additional Information Requested

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Student Classification
<input type="radio"/> Freshman-Sophomore
<input type="radio"/> Junior-Senior
<input type="radio"/> Graduate | 2. GPA
<input type="radio"/> below 1.00
<input type="radio"/> 1.00 to 1.99
<input type="radio"/> 2.00 to 2.99
<input type="radio"/> 3.00 to 3.99
<input type="radio"/> 4.00 | 3. Expected Grade
<input type="radio"/> A
<input type="radio"/> B
<input type="radio"/> C
<input type="radio"/> D
<input type="radio"/> F | 4. Taking course because it is required?
<input type="radio"/> Yes
<input type="radio"/> No |
|---|---|---|--|

Instructor's Name: _____ **Semester:** _____

Please comment on the course content, subject matter and the relevance of this course to your field of study.

- 1. What are the most important things you learned in this course?**

- 2. How will you use the knowledge you gained in future classes and/or in your chosen profession?**

- 3. Explain any positive changes you would like to see made to this course.**

- 4. Comment specifically on the value of texts, class materials, assignments, and class activities.**

- 5. Comment on the evaluation methods utilized—fairness, difficulty, ease, etc.**

- 6. Comment on the instructor's overall effectiveness as a teacher in his/her discipline.**

104 Evaluation

104.01 Administrative Evaluation of Faculty

The performance of each faculty member shall be evaluated annually, covering the faculty member's work in the areas of teaching, service, professional development, and student success activities, with the latter category folded into the other three areas for both reporting and evaluation purposes. The evaluation process shall utilize the Student Evaluations of Instruction among other sources of evidence as specified by the faculty member's academic unit. In those cases, in which a faculty member's primary responsibilities do not include teaching, the evaluation should focus on performance of their professional duties. (See also [Section 8.3.5.1, BoR Policy Manual](#).)

Faculty in each unit (programs and/or departments) must develop specific criteria and rubrics regarding annual evaluations in their discipline to govern the administrative review process. These criteria and rubrics must protect academic freedom, stimulate the creation of new knowledge, and encourage experimentation by valuing innovation, long-process work, and intellectual and creative risk-taking. Recognizing that substantive work takes time (often compounded by delays in publishing processes, for example), evaluation criteria should therefore value and reward evidence of individual stages in the faculty member's work and development as distinct markers of achievement.

In accordance with the considerable differences among academic disciplines and their traditional expectations at the University of West Georgia, individual units must define the criteria for faculty progress corresponding with the Likert scale categories below (especially what is required for a faculty member in that unit and in a specific rank to achieve "Meets Expectations").

Student Success Activities should be reported and assessed similar to High Impact Practices, i.e. by designating activities and achievements as SSAs. In the campus-wide reporting platform (such as Interfolio), this should be done by checking an SSA designation. In narrative evaluations, faculty should highlight SSAs with an asterisk (*) and cumulatively narrate how their work in teaching, service, and/or professional development contributes to student success. The reporting of Student Success Activities thus allows faculty to showcase their achievements and potentially improve their evaluated ranking (on the scale below). Units are encouraged to develop lists of best practices in their discipline designed to enhance student success.

In accordance with the [USG Academic Affairs Handbook](#), all annual faculty reviews must utilize the following Likert scale for each category of evaluation (i.e. teaching, service, and professional development, with associated Student Success Activities to supplement scores for these categories):

- 1 – Does Not Meet Expectations
- 2 – Needs Improvement
- 3 – Meets Expectations

4 – Exceeds Expectations

5 – Exemplary

Noteworthy achievement as referenced in BOR Policy 8.3.7.3 is reflective of a 4 or 5 on the above Likert Scale. Deficient and unsatisfactory is reflective of a 1 or a 2 on the above Likert Scale. Chairs ranking of faculty performance must provide rationales for their evaluation in any given category; rankings of #1 or #2 (in any category and/or overall) must be substantiated with specific documentation.

104.0101 Procedure

By latest October 1, the Provost and VPAA shall publish a uniform timeline for the upcoming annual evaluation cycle, including faculty report submission, chair evaluations, and the completion of any Performance Remediation Plan (PRP) documentation.

The following steps should be made a part of all faculty evaluations:

- A. After receiving the faculty reports, the immediate supervisor (usually the chair) will offer faculty members the opportunity to discuss a draft of their evaluation (including their anticipated rankings in each category) and invite the faculty member to provide further evidence and contextualization ~~discuss with the faculty member in a scheduled conference the content of that faculty member's annual written evaluation.~~
- B. The immediate supervisor will provide their finalized evaluation to the faculty member in writing (via official university email) and schedule a conference to discuss its content with the faculty member.
- C. ~~The faculty member will sign a statement to the effect that he or she has been apprised of the content of the annual written evaluation.~~ Within 10 working days of this conference, the faculty member will acknowledge receipt of their evaluation with their signature and may further respond by:
 - Adding a written statement to be attached to their evaluation.
 - Appealing the evaluation in full or any one category. (See below for details of an appeals process).
- D. Within 10 working days, the immediate supervisor will acknowledge in writing (via official university email) their receipt of this response, noting changes, if any, in the annual written evaluation made as a result of the conference and/or the faculty member's written response. This acknowledgment will also become a part of the records. ~~The faculty member will be given the opportunity to respond in writing to the annual written evaluation; this response will be attached to the evaluation.~~
- E. ~~The immediate supervisor will acknowledge in writing his or her receipt of this response, noting changes, if any, in the annual written evaluation made as a result of either the conference or the faculty member's written response. This acknowledgment will also become a part of the records.~~ If judged to be a 1–Does not Meet Expectations or 2–Needs Improvement in any of the categories, a **Performance Remediation Plan (PRP)** should be created by the chair/supervisor in collaboration with the faculty member (and, in case of an appeal, the dean or peer committee). A successful appeal of the supervisor's evaluation obviates the implementation of a PRP. The PRP is defined in section 4.7 of the [Academic](#)

[Affairs Handbook](#). A faculty member may formally invite a peer mentor to advise both the faculty member and the chair in the implementation of the PRP and participate in the PRP review meetings.

At a minimum, chair and faculty member will meet four times to review and document PRP progress; the faculty member may at their discretion and as needed request additional meetings with the chair. The first meeting between chair and faculty member to discuss the PRP and begin its implementation should occur before the end of the spring semester in which the negative evaluation was received. Two further meetings will be held in the following fall and one in the next spring before the subsequent annual evaluation is due. After the final PRP meeting, the chair must provide the faculty member with a written report clearly stating whether the faculty member has progressed according to the goals of the PRP and make the report part of the record.

104.0102 Merit Pay Criteria

- A. The following shall be used as criteria for distribution of merit pay:
 - 1. Teaching*
 - 2. Service to the Institution*
 - 3. Academic Achievement and Professional Growth*

*Student Success Activities (as folded into the above areas of evaluation)
- B. Teaching should be given at least 40 percent weight. The other three criteria should be used with no less weight than 10 percent each. The department chair shall apply the weightings uniformly across the department. Members of the department and the dean of the college should be aware well in advance of pay time of the weightings which will be applied for purposes of merit.
- C. The department chair should make a pay recommendation to the dean, both in the form of percentage and dollar increase proposed. The department chair may refer to promotion and tenure material in this Handbook for guidance or may use whatever other bases he or she deems appropriate. But these bases should be known to the faculty.
 - 1. After consultation with the dean, the department chair will notify faculty as to the salary increase being recommended from the dean's office to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. The department chair will justify the recommendation for each faculty member in writing.

104.0103 Annual Evaluation Appeals

BOR policies ([BOR Policy Manual 8.3.5.1](#)) stipulate that annual administrative reviews of faculty members will be utilized as a part of subsequent pre-tenure and post-tenure reviews as well as retention, promotion, and tenure decisions, ascribing a significant role and power to individual administrators conducting these annual reviews. Thus, all lecturers, tenure-track, and tenured faculty members shall have the option to appeal any annual administrative evaluation in order to preserve the principles of academic freedom and provide for due process. An appeal should follow the following steps:

- 1) Faculty members shall have the choice to appeal their annual evaluation either to a panel of peers or to their dean. The panel of peers should be identical with the program's or department's existing personnel action committee/faculty status committee (such as the P&T advisory committee), thus avoiding the need to constitute a separate annual evaluation appeals committee and preventing conflicts about its composition. Members of that committee should only be able to recuse themselves from an appeals case if they have a demonstrable conflict of interest (such as spouses or former spouses). As a minimum, the faculty member provides a statement of their rationale for appeal and a copy of their annual evaluation to the committee or dean.
- 2) The peer committee or dean carefully reviews the faculty member's report and supporting evidence as well as the administrative evaluation. The committee/dean will hold a meeting with both the faculty member and the administrator to discuss the evaluation and evidence, request any additional context and/or supporting materials, and seek to achieve a resolution. The mutually agreed-upon, revised evaluation as an outcome of this process then becomes the evaluation of record.
- 3) If no mutually agreeable resolution is achieved, the dean/peer committee evaluation will become the evaluation of record.

104.02 Post-Tenure Review

104.0201

Beyond annual administrative review (see Section 104.01), [Section 8.3.5.4, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#), requires that each institution establish procedures to formally evaluate tenured faculty ~~within every five years~~ following the award of tenure and again at least once every five years thereafter, to support further career development of tenured faculty, recognize and reward excellence, and ensure accountability and continued strong performance. Therefore, the University commits itself to rewarding faculty success evidenced in Post-Tenure Reviews through dedicated high achievement payments, course releases, additional professional development funds, and meaningful celebration of the variety of faculty achievements in all units across campus. Rewards for high performance should be made available at the program/department, college, and university level in recognition of faculty contributions to the success of each unit. ~~provide recommendations recognizing and supporting effective performance, and to provide development strategies for areas of inadequate performance. The purpose of the post-tenure review "will be to examine, recognize and enhance the performance of tenured faculty members. . . . focus on identifying opportunities for faculty that will enable them to reach their full potential in service to their institutions. . . . and to ensure that their performance meets the expectations and needs of the institution. . . ." (BOR Minutes, April 10, 1996)~~

104.0202 General Policy Statement

The post-tenure review is not a reconsideration of tenure, but rather a constructive five-year performance review which serves to highlight contributions and future opportunities as well as

identify any deficiencies in performance and, in ~~the~~ those cases, provide a plan for addressing concerns.

Directed toward career development, this review is designed to provide a longer term perspective than is usually provided by the annual review. Post-tenure review provides both retrospective and prospective reviews of performance, taking into account that a faculty member probably will have different emphases at different points in his or her career. It is to be directed toward career development and to provide the perspective of multiple years of accomplishments and plans for development.

Each unit shall ensure that the criteria governing this review do not infringe on the academic freedom of faculty, including the freedom to pursue novel, unpopular, or unfashionable lines of inquiry. The review shall be carried out free of bias or prejudice by factors such as race, religion, sex, color, national origin, sexual orientation, ethnicity, age, disability, political affiliation, or veteran status.

Post-tenure review shall be faculty-driven and flexible enough to accommodate faculty with differing responsibilities and professional interests that reflect the mission of the University of West Georgia. The essential elements of such a peer-review process are that it shall take into account one's past progress and anticipated future as scholar, teacher, and colleague; provide a measure of accountability with regard to the performance of tenured faculty which goes beyond the annual review; be developmental in nature; assist faculty to continue to grow professionally; provide a structure by which this periodic evaluation is to take place; provide feedback and remediation recommendations for faculty found deficient in any area; allow faculty who were tenured prior to the institution of this review to select variable career paths or emphases under which they will be evaluated; provide faculty with timely and formal notification of any perceived deficiencies; and establish an appeal route for faculty who are aggrieved by either the substantive or procedural components of the review or the remediative process.

104.0203 General Implementation Procedures

All tenured faculty members with the exception of tenured administrators whose majority of duties are administrative for whom five or more years have passed since their last career review decision or personnel action took effect, must undergo post-tenure review. A faculty member may **voluntarily undergo post-tenure review early or** delay the post-tenure review as specified in Section 103.0402.

A. Notification of faculty

By 30 days prior to the end of each Spring term, the VPAA will provide to each college, school, and the library a list of faculty scheduled for post-tenure review during the subsequent academic year. Deans, or their designees, will be responsible for notifying faculty of pending review, as well as a schedule for completion of such reviews.

B. Timetable for review.

Each year the post-tenure reviews will be completed before the end of the Fall term.

104.0204 Criteria for Post-Tenure Review

Criteria to be utilized in conducting this review shall be fair and reasonable expectations consistent with the criteria and standards used in other reviews of faculty related to teaching, **service, academic achievement, professional growth and development, and student success activities**. These will be considered in the context of stated expectations for performance developed by the department, college, and/or unit. These criteria shall also be consistent with the duties the faculty member was assigned through means customary for the unit for the period being reviewed and related to the mission of the institution. The weights or percentages given to different areas may differ according to the faculty member's professional role, rank and established goals, and any applicable college, library or university-wide policies. The criteria must be sufficiently flexible to accommodate faculty with differing responsibilities, to recognize that faculty members may contribute in different ways to the institution's mission over time, and to consider the cumulative impact of the faculty member's career as well as his or her performance during the previous five years.

Each unit shall ensure that the criteria governing post-tenure review do not infringe on the accepted standards of academic freedom of faculty.

In the case of tenured faculty serving in administrative capacities, allowances must be made for the responsibilities these individuals carry in the area of service to the institution.

104.0205 Documentation Required

Faculty undergoing post-tenure review **or corrective post-tenure review** must submit the post-tenure dossier to the Post-Tenure Advisory Committee, which includes the following documentation:

1. Current curriculum vitae with accomplishments of the years under consideration highlighted.
2. Copies of annual performance reviews of the faculty member by his or her department chair or unit supervisor for the years under consideration.
3. Copies of the documentation prepared and submitted for consideration by the faculty member at the time of each of these annual reviews.
4. A statement prepared by the faculty member, not to exceed two pages in length, detailing his or her accomplishments and goals for the period under review and projected goals for the next five-year period.
5. Measures of teaching effectiveness including, but not limited, to a combination of written student evaluations and peer evaluations.
6. Any additional documentation specified by unit, departmental or institutional policy.
7. Dossiers must be submitted electronically in a format approved by the Provost.

Consistent with library, school, or college and university policies, review policies must specify the nature of and the evaluative standards for evidence which will be used to support claims about faculty activities.

Once submitted for consideration, the faculty member shall have supervised access at any time to

his or her review file. The faculty member shall also have the right to add material to this file, including statements and additional documents, at any time during the review process.

104.0206 Formation and Operation of Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee

- A. This review shall be conducted by faculty peers with tenure who are able to render a fair and objective assessment of the person being reviewed. If a significant conflict of interest exists, no person with such a conflict may participate in post-tenure review recommendations, advisement of candidates, and/or preparation of materials. All personal and professional conflicts of interest must be revealed and reviewed. Such conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, personal and professional interactions and relationships that would preclude dispassionate and disinterested recommendations and correct, complete, and unbiased participation in these matters. Spouses, immediate family members, and colleagues with an intimate personal relationship with the candidate are explicitly prohibited from participation. Each college, school and/or the library, as well as the University-wide Appeals Committee for Post-Tenure Review, shall establish a process for removing a faculty member from the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee(s) and shall establish criteria for assessing the credibility of claims of bias if a person being reviewed has reason to believe that another individual could not judge his or her case fairly.
- B. A Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee or Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committees consisting exclusively of tenured faculty members (no fewer than three) selected by the faculty of the department, school, or library by whatever means the aforementioned determines, shall be established annually.
- C. Under no circumstances shall anyone who serves in a supervisory role to the individual being reviewed be permitted to serve on a Post-Tenure Review Advisory committee reviewing that individual.
- D. In each college, school and in the library, the dean will be responsible for convening the initial meeting of the elected committee or committees. At the initial meeting, the members of the committee shall select one of its faculty members as chair. The chair will be a voting member of the committee.
- E. Each committee shall meet at the call of its committee chair. At the initial meeting the committee chair shall review the applicable unit, and university policies and procedures governing post-tenure review so that committee members will be aware of these before any review process begins.
- F. The documentation submitted by each faculty member shall be reviewed by committee members prior to committee meetings.
- G. The merits of each faculty member undergoing post-tenure review will be discussed to the extent desired by a simple majority of committee members. In the event of disagreement about the value of scholarly performance, job performance, or service, the review may include the evaluations of external reviewers to provide a due process protection that ensures an unbiased appraisal. This panel of external reviewers will be generated by the faculty member under review and appropriate department chair or unit supervisor and include a minimum of three professors knowledgeable of the faculty member's field of expertise from both on and off campus. The panel will serve to ensure that scholarly written work or job performance is being fairly and accurately interpreted. Any department chair or unit

supervisor may be called to discuss with the committee the qualifications of a person under review who holds rank in his or her department.

- H. Voting on a colleague's status with regard to the post-tenure review shall be by secret ballot. Each faculty member being reviewed shall be evaluated as either Does Not Meet, Meets, or Exceeds Expectations with regard to his or her overall accomplishments; to be adjudged as Does Not Meet Expectations faculty under review must receive votes of Does Not Meet Expectations from at least sixty percent (60%) of the voting members of the committee. Any person with an evaluation of Does Not Meet Expectations will be required to develop a three-year plan to address deficiencies (see section K, 2 below).
- I. The committee chair, in consultation with members of the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee, shall prepare a written evaluation for each candidate reviewed during post-tenure review. This evaluation must be signed by all members of the committee and must provide specific reasons for conclusions contained within it. It will report the consensus arrived at by the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee with regard to a faculty member's performance; address the faculty member's record of accomplishments and quality of contributions with regard to teaching, academic achievement, service, professional growth and development; clarify any areas needing improvement; and, where applicable, offer specific suggestions on what will be needed to improve performance. This evaluation must be written as clearly and collegially as possible. In the event that this evaluation differs from annual reviews, this evaluation shall state the exact reason(s) for this judgment. The chair of the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee shall give each faculty member being reviewed a copy of the committee's evaluation ten (10) University Business Days prior to the deadline for submitting the committee recommendation to the appropriate department chair or unit supervisor; therefore, the person being reviewed has five (5) University Business Days to prepare an appeal for reconsideration by the committee (see paragraph 104.0208, below).
- J. Once any appeals to the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee(s) have been heard and acted upon, the committee chair will provide a copy of the committee's final evaluation to the faculty member being reviewed and to the appropriate department chair or unit supervisor. The faculty member, if he or she desires, will have an opportunity to prepare a written response to the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee's evaluation. Such a response shall be received by the chair of the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee within five (5) University Business Days after the date the committee's final evaluation is received by the faculty member under review. It will be the responsibility of the appropriate dean to preserve the ballots of rankings and to keep these on file for a period of six (6) years.

A copy of the post-tenure review advisory committee's evaluation and any written response to it by the evaluated faculty member shall then be sent to the administrative office at least one level above the faculty member's administrative unit. The same material shall also be placed in the faculty member's personnel file at the departmental level. The department shall also preserve in the faculty member's personnel file all documents, other than documents like publications that are readily available elsewhere, that played a substantive part in the review.

1. If the review reveals an Exceeds Expectations performance, a faculty member shall receive recognition for ~~his or her~~ **their** achievements through institutional policies and procedures already in place for acknowledging and rewarding meritorious achievement (e.g. merit pay, study and research leave opportunities, other opportunities consistent with

his or her career goals and objectives and Board of Regents policy).

2. **If the Post-Tenure Review does not meet expectations, see below for “Performance Improvement Plan.”** ~~If areas needing improvement have been identified, the department chair, or unit supervisor, and faculty member shall jointly develop a formal plan for professional development that includes clearly defined and specific goals or outcomes, an outline of activities to be undertaken, a timetable within which goals or outcomes should be accomplished, and an agreed upon strategy and criteria for monitoring progress. The faculty member’s department chair, or unit supervisor, and the appropriate dean are jointly responsible for arranging for appropriate funding for the development plan, if required. The department chair or unit supervisor is responsible for forwarding a copy of the professional development plan resulting from a post tenure review to the appropriate dean by the end of the academic year in which the review was conducted.~~
 - a. ~~The faculty member’s department chair or unit supervisor is responsible for monitoring the progress of faculty members engaging in a professional development plan to remedy deficiencies identified in a post tenure review. A progress report, which will be included in the annual review, will be forwarded each year to the appropriate dean. When the objectives of the professional development plan designed to deal with specified deficiencies have been met as determined by the department chair or unit supervisor, the department chair or unit supervisor shall make a final report to the appropriate dean.~~
 - b. ~~It is the responsibility of the department chair or unit supervisor to determine, after a period of three years from the academic term in which the development plan is agreed upon, whether or not a faculty member whose performance was deemed as Does Not Meet Expectations in the post tenure review has been successful in remedying deficiencies identified in the review. He or she will report that finding to the appropriate dean. The university will then proceed in accordance with options available as specified by University and Board of Regents policy and procedures.~~

104.0207 Corrective Post-Tenure Review

If a tenured faculty member receives an unsatisfactory annual review, a Performance Remediation Plan is developed by their supervisor in collaboration with the faculty member, and implemented according to the timeline stated in [104.0101–Annual Evaluations]. At the end of that process, if the tenured faculty member meets expectations, then no further action is required, and they are back on track on the anticipated five year Post-Tenure Review schedule. If the second consecutive annual evaluation is also unsatisfactory, then the tenured faculty member will undergo a Corrective Post-Tenure Review in the following academic year. Like a regular Post-Tenure Review, a Corrective Post-Tenure Review must be conducted by a committee of the faculty member’s peers and must be conducted in the same manner as a regular Post-Tenure Review. If the Corrective Post-Tenure Review is successful, the faculty member’s Post-Tenure Review clock will be reset and the next Review will take place five years later.

104.0208 Performance Improvement Plan

If the peer committee evaluates a faculty member’s regular Post-Tenure Review or Corrective Post-Tenure Review as unsatisfactory or not meeting expectations, then a Performance Improvement Plan

is created by the applicable department chair/supervisor and the dean in collaboration with the faculty member and the PTR advisory committee (see [USG Academic Affairs Handbook](#) for details of the Performance Improvement Plan).

The PIP process of meetings between the faculty member and chair/supervisor will begin in the following academic year. A minimum of two meetings during the fall and during the spring must be held to review progress, document additional needs/resources, and plan outcomes for the upcoming time period. The faculty member may at their discretion and as needed request additional meetings with the chair. The assessment of the PIP will take the place of that year's annual review. At the conclusion of the academic year the faculty member's progress will be determined by the department chair and dean in collaboration with the PTR advisory committee.

If the faculty member successfully completes the Performance Improvement Plan, then the faculty member's next post-tenure review will take place on the regular five-year schedule (without resetting the PTR clock).

If the faculty member fails to make sufficient progress in performance as outlined in the Performance Improvement Plan, the due process steps outlined below will be followed.

104.0209 Due Process Mechanism after a Negative Performance Improvement Plan

Following a negative PIP, the chair/supervisor shall determine an appropriate remedial action, which should be commensurate with the seriousness of the deficiencies but should implement further steps to retain the tenured faculty member and improve their performance. For example, remedial action for teaching-related issues noted in the PIP could include pedagogy workshops; scholarship-related deficiencies could include becoming involved in writing groups; service-related issues could be addressed by involvement in professional organizations.

If the faculty member decides to appeal the recommended remedial action, the following due process steps shall be followed:

- 1) The faculty member has 10 business days from receiving the recommendation of the dean/department chair for remedial action to request a further review of the PTR committee.
- 2) The PTR committee may recommend revising the dean's/chair's remedial action. If the revised plan is mutually acceptable to the dean/chair, the revised recommendations will be implemented. If the faculty member disagrees with the recommended remedial action, they may appeal to the University-wide Post-Tenure Review Appeals Committee within ten (10) business days of receipt of the dean's decision.

3) Composition of the University-wide Post-Tenure Review Appeals Committee

By March 1 of each year, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs will notify in writing the deans that nominees must be solicited from among the tenured faculty in each of these units and that a university-wide election must take place by the end of the Spring term to select tenured faculty from each unit to constitute a University-wide Appeals Committee for

Post-Tenure Review to hear any post-tenure review appeals. Duly elected tenured faculty members, apportioned as follows, will constitute the University-wide Appeals Committee for Post-Tenure Review:

College of Arts, Culture, and Scientific Inquiry:	1
School of Mass Communication:	1
Richards College of Business:	1
College of Education:	1
School of Nursing:	1
Ingram Library:	1
University College	1

The Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall be responsible for calling the initial meeting of this committee. At the initial meeting, the members of the committee shall elect one of its faculty members as chair, who will be a voting member of the committee. The committee shall meet at the call of its committee chair. The committee chair shall review the applicable departmental, college, school, library and university policies and procedures governing post-tenure review so that committee members will be aware of these before any review process begins.

- 4) Any faculty member appealing for reconsideration shall state in writing the grounds for **their** request and shall include in this appeal such additional material as is pertinent.
- 5) The documentation submitted by each faculty member, including that regarding the grounds for **their** appeal, shall be reviewed by committee members prior to committee meetings.
- 6) The University-wide Appeals Committee for Post-Tenure Review shall carefully evaluate the faculty member's appeal in light of the written appeal. **Each member of the committee shall vote by secret ballot to approve or reject the appeal.**
- 7) **The committee chair, in consultation with the other members of the University-wide Appeals Committee for Post-Tenure Review shall prepare a written rationale for the majority opinion. If the Committee agrees with the Dean's decision, the recommended remedial action shall be in effect.**
- 8) **If the Committee decision disagrees with the dean's determination, it shall issue its recommendation to the Provost and the faculty member within 20 business days.**

The following steps are taken verbatim from the [USG Academic Affairs Handbook](#):

- 9) **Within 5 business days of receiving the recommendation, the Provost shall send an official letter to the faculty member communicating the Provost's decision.**
- 10) **The faculty member may appeal to the President of the institution within 5 business days of receiving the decision from the Provost. The President's final decision shall be made within 10 business days and should notify the faculty member of his or her decision and the process for discretionary review application as provided for in [Board of Regents' Policy Manual](#)**

6.26.

- 11) If the remedial action taken is dismissal by the President, the faculty member may complete their faculty assignment for the current semester at the discretion of the institution; however, the semester during which a final decision is issued will be the last semester of employment in their current role.
- 12) An aggrieved faculty member may seek discretionary review of the institution's final decision pursuant to [Board policy on Applications for Discretionary Review \(6.26\)](#).

104.0210 Review of Chair or Supervisor

When a department chair or unit supervisor is under consideration for post-tenure review, the Post-Tenure Review Advisory Committee shall review the faculty member's file and make, in writing, a Does Not Meet, Meets, or Exceeds Expectations evaluation to the appropriate dean. In the event deficiencies are noted which require the development of a three-year plan, the appropriate dean will be responsible for developing the plan for professional development and monitoring the progress of the faculty member engaged in this plan with the assistance of the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. Administrators other than department chairs or unit supervisors who are tenured will not undergo post-tenure review unless or until they return to a faculty role with little or no administrative responsibilities. Any administrator returning to a faculty role with little or no administrative responsibilities is to be reviewed five years after returning and reviews shall continue at five-year intervals unless interrupted by a further review for promotion. In the post-tenure review of a department chair or other faculty member with an administrative assignment, provision must be made for his or her activities in that area. Those with administrative responsibilities will still be subject to policy and procedures regarding administrative evaluation (see, for example, Sections 104.03 and 104.04).

104.0208 Appeal for Reconsideration

~~The first appeal shall be directed to the committee(s), which originally conducted the faculty member's post-tenure review. Within fifteen (15) University Business Days of receipt of an appeal, the committee(s) shall carefully re-evaluate the faculty member's file in light of the written appeal. This evaluation shall be made in accordance with the procedure established for initial consideration and shall replace this party's previous evaluation of the faculty member. If, upon re-examination of the case, the original review committee(s) see(s) no reason to alter its/their recommendation(s), the faculty member may appeal within thirty (30) University Business Days to the University-wide Appeals Committee for Post-Tenure Review. By March 1 of each year, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs will notify in writing the deans that nominees must be solicited from among the tenured faculty in each of these units and that a university-wide election must take place by the end of the Spring term to select tenured faculty from each unit to constitute a University-wide Appeals Committee for Post-Tenure Review to hear any post-tenure review appeals. Duly elected tenured faculty members, apportioned as follows, will constitute the University-wide Appeals Committee for Post-Tenure Review:~~

~~College of Arts, Culture, and Scientific Inquiry: — 1~~

School of Mass Communication:	1
Richards College of Business:	1
College of Education:	1
School of Nursing:	1
The Ingram Library:	1
University College	1

The Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs shall be responsible for calling the initial meeting of this committee. At the initial meeting, the members of the committee shall elect one of its faculty members as chair, who will be a voting member of the committee.

The committee shall meet at the call of its committee chair. The committee chair shall review the applicable departmental, college, school, library and university policies and procedures governing post tenure review so that committee members will be aware of these before any review process begins.

Any faculty member appealing for reconsideration shall state in writing the grounds for his or her request and shall include in this appeal such additional material as is pertinent.

The documentation submitted by each faculty member, including that regarding the grounds for his or her appeal, shall be reviewed by committee members prior to committee meetings.

Within fifteen (15) University Business Days of receipt of an appeal, the University wide Appeals Committee for Post Tenure Review shall carefully evaluate the faculty member's file in light of the written appeal. This evaluation shall be made in accordance with the procedure established for initial consideration (e.g., voting on a colleague's status with regard to the post-tenure review shall be by secret ballot; each faculty member being reviewed shall be evaluated as either Does Not Meet, Meets or Exceeds Expectations with regard to his or her overall accomplishments; to be adjudged as Does Not Meet Expectations, faculty under review must receive votes of Does Not Meet Expectations from at least sixty percent (60%) of the voting members of the committee). The committee chair, in consultation with the other members of the University wide Appeals Committee for Post Tenure Review shall prepare a written evaluation for each faculty member reviewed on appeal during post tenure review. This evaluation must be signed by all members of the committee and must provide specific reasons for conclusions contained within it. It should report the recommendation arrived at by the University wide Appeals Committee for Post Tenure Review with regard to a faculty member's performance; address the faculty member's record of accomplishments and quality of contributions with regard to teaching, academic achievement, service and professional growth and development; clarify any areas needing improvement; and, where applicable, offer specific suggestions on what will be needed to improve performance. This evaluation must be written as clearly and collegially as possible. This evaluation shall take precedence over the previous evaluation of the faculty member. The evaluation of this committee shall be forwarded to the faculty member under review, the appropriate department chair or unit supervisor, the appropriate dean, and the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs.

104.0211 Right to Redress

(See Policies and Procedures Manual, Article V, Section 3)

104.03 Faculty Evaluation of Departmental Leadership

To provide the faculty and administration with information on the performance of departmental leadership as defined by each academic unit, a periodic evaluation is established.

104.0301 Procedure.

An evaluation of the department chair or the equivalent as defined by each academic unit shall be conducted by the department at least once every three years (except that new department chairs or the equivalent, who shall not be evaluated their first year in office). The form of evaluation (written, oral, group, etc.) and the procedure to be used shall be determined by the departmental members, reviewed by the department chair or equivalent, and approved by the dean. The procedure shall meet the following guidelines:

1. All evaluators will feel free to be candid without fear of repercussion.
2. The faculty of that department, the department chair or the equivalent, and the dean will be made privy to the information, and these parties will not divulge the contents except at the discretion of the dean.
3. The dean will keep the results of the last three evaluations of each department chair or the equivalent.

**Personnel Evaluation Questionnaire
University of West Georgia**

Individual Under Review _____ Date _____

Position _____

On the average I have contact with this person: Daily Weekly Bimonthly Occasionally

I am: A Student A Faculty Member An Administrator A Staff Member Other

Return this completed form to: _____

Instructions:

Listed below are a number of statements which describe the behavior of administrators and professional personnel. Rate this person on each of these items by marking the appropriate response. In making your rating, compare the person with other administrators you have known. There is, of course, a great diversity among the types of professional positions, and some of the statements below may be more fitting for some positions than others. If you feel that an item is not applicable (N.A.) in describing the person's behavior or position, place a mark in the blank to its left. If you do not have sufficient information to evaluate the person, please mark the 'O' response of 'Do Not Know'. Please respond to all of the items.

CODE

0-Do Not Know 1-Low 2-Below Average 3-Average 4-Above Average 5-High

Evaluate the person named above in terms of the degree to which he or she:

SCALE I. COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION _____

N.A. _____

- | | | |
|-----------|---|-------------|
| _____ 1. | Communicates with you in a timely and responsive manner. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 2. | Has sufficient contact with you. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 3. | Is duly sensitive to your needs for information. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 4. | Writes letters and makes statements that seldom need clarification. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 5. | Conveys a sense of caring and concern for the needs and problems of students, faculty and associates. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 6. | Displays a sensitivity to the feelings of students, faculty and associates. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 7. | Conducts effective conferences and interviews. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 8. | Displays the ability to give constructive criticism in a positive manner. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 9. | Has good rapport with students, faculty and associates. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 10. | Works well with students, faculty and associates to achieve common goals. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| _____ 11. | Needs to improve communication skills. Yes _____ No _____ | |

If yes, explain in what way(s) _____

CODE

0-Do Not Know 1-Low 2-Below Average 3-Average 4-Above Average 5-High

SCALE II. PLANNING, OPERATIONS, AND ACTION

___ N.A.

- | | |
|--|-------------|
| ___ 12. Plans ahead for those activities under his or her jurisdiction. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 13. Keeps goals up-to-date and clearly stated. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 14. Makes time for planning by delegating routine work. | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 15. Initiates action towards defined goals | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 16. Perseveres in the face of frustrations and obstacles to accomplish difficult goals | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 17. Completes detailed and routine tasks effectively | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 18. Establishes uniform procedures where appropriate | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 19. Encourages initiative and performance by delegating tasks effectively to others | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 20. Can anticipate potential problems which may develop when plans do not work out in practice | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 21. Shows resourcefulness and imagination in finding answers to problems Other: _____ | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 22. Needs to improve in planning, operations and action Yes ___ No ___ | |

If yes, explain in what way(s)

SCALE III. DECISION MAKING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

___ N.A.

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ___ 23. Makes sound and timely decisions | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 24. Gathers pertinent facts before acting | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 25. Applies policy consistently and fairly | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 26. Consults with others on important decisions | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 27. Is skilled in participatory decision making | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 28. Approaches problem solving on systematic basis | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 29. Is able to cope with unanticipated events | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 30. Recognizes and utilizes the special talents of others as an aid to solving problems | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| ___ 31. Understands the college well enough to refer matters to the proper offices for effective action | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |

- ___ 32. Acts with deliberateness and common sense under stress
 Other: _____ 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 33. Needs to improve decision-making and problem-solving skills
 Yes ___ No ___

If yes, explain in what way(s) _____

CODE

0-Do Not Know 1-Low 2-Below Average 3-Average 4-Above Average 5-High

SCALE IV. PLANNING, OPERATIONS, AND ACTION

___ N.A.

- ___ 34. Establishes rapport easily and is approachable for counsel

 0 1 2 3 4 5

- ___ 35. Is receptive to constructive suggestions for changes 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 36. Gives credit to others for their contributions 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 37. Fosters morale and instills co-workers with a sense of enthusiasm, purpose and direction 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 38. Works well with committees 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 39. Inspires confidence in his or her personal integrity and professionalism 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 40. Is fair and impartial in rendering decisions affecting students, faculty and associates 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 41. Is skilled in those specialties demanded by his or her assignment

 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 42. Demonstrates a clear understanding of the role and scope of his or her assignments and authority 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 43. Compared with other administrators and professional at UWG, is (1) one of the worst, (2) below average, (3) average, (4) above average, (5) one of the best
 Other: _____

 0 1 2 3 4 5
- ___ 44. Needs to improve personal and human relations skills Yes ___ No ___

If yes, explain in what way(s) _____

104.04 Evaluation of Academic Deans

104.0401 General Policy Statements

The Provost shall conduct annual reviews and periodic evaluations of academic Deans.

A. Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to:

1. Guide the Provost in carrying out his or her responsibilities with regard to appointing, renewing, and/or terminating Deans of academic units, and to facilitate the professional development of those Deans.
2. Ensure that faculty and staff participate in the evaluation of their academic Deans.
3. Ensure Deans are afforded due process in the evaluation.
4. Afford all appropriate constituencies the opportunity to provide input.
5. Clarify the process of assembling the Review Committee, and the procedures for how it shall conduct the periodic evaluation.
6. Guide the Review Committee in producing an Evaluation Report of its findings, and delivering it to interested parties.

B. Definitions

1. For the purposes of this policy, an Academic Dean is one who carries a title of Dean, bears responsibility for an academic unit containing faculty members, and reports to the Provost.
2. In Sections 104.04, 104.05, and 104.06, a unit refers to a college, school, or the library.

104.05 Annual Reviews of Deans

104.0501 General Policy Statement

The Provost shall review the performance of Deans reporting to him or her annually. The following characteristics of that process shall be common to all units.

104.0502 Procedures

- A. Interval of Annual Review: before the conclusion of each fiscal year.
- B. Purpose and Objectives: the purpose of annual reviews of Deans is to improve the effectiveness of the unit administered, including its contribution to the effectiveness of other units and the institution as a whole. The overall objectives are:
 - 1. To review goals and accomplishments of the Dean and unit supervised, especially as these relate to the continuing mission and strategic goals of the institution.
 - 2. To review the Dean's job description and responsibilities, as well as the organization of the unit.
 - 3. To review the level of resources and other support provided to the Dean and unit.
 - 4. To discuss concerns and opportunities and to plan for changes that may be warranted or desirable.

C. Components of the Annual Review:

- 1. *Feedback.* The Provost shall direct the annual review process. Faculty members and staff, whenever possible, may be asked to provide input.
- 2. *Self report.* Each Dean under review shall provide the Provost a brief written report:
 - a. Listing initiatives and professional activities undertaken during the review period.
 - b. Listing achievements, areas in need of improvement, and efforts related to those areas, as well as future plans and goals for the unit.
 - c. Indicating any changes that seem warranted in the Dean's job description.
 - d. Including a contextualization of the operation of the unit within the larger framework of the university.
- 3. *Conference with the Provost.* The conference will be an occasion to discuss the feedback received, the Dean's and the Provost's views, and future plans and goals for the unit.
- 4. *Dean's Annual Review Letter.* The Annual Review Letter shall be shared with the Dean and placed in his or her personnel file. The Dean may issue a written response to this document, which shall also be retained in the file.

104.06 Periodic Evaluations of Deans

104.0601 General Policy Statement

Procedures for the periodic evaluation of Deans shall be guided by three essential principles: shared governance, impartiality, and transparency. The procedures enumerated below seek to realize these principles.

A. Interval of Periodic Evaluation:

The first periodic evaluation of an academic Dean shall cover a full three-year period occurring in the Dean's fourth year of appointment. Thereafter, periodic evaluations shall cover a full four-year period and occur every five years. All periodic evaluations begin in the Fall semester and conclude in the Spring semester of one academic year. Credit for service as an Interim Dean shall be determined by the Provost in consultation with the Dean at the time of permanent appointment. After the first periodic evaluation, the Provost may initiate an evaluation of a Dean at any time, but shall explain its necessity and appropriateness. Refer to Table 1 below for a sample periodic evaluation sequence.

Table 1. Sample Periodic Evaluation Sequence.

Appointment Year	Academic Year	Evaluation Year	Evaluation Review Period
1	2011-2012		
2	2012-2013		
3	2013-2014		
4	2014-2015	2014 – 2015	Evaluates Fall 2011 - Summer 2014
5	2015-2016		
6	2016-2017		
7	2017-2018		
8	2018-2019	2018 – 2019	Evaluates Fall 2014 - Summer 2018

B. Purpose and Objectives:

1. To provide the faculty and administration with information on the performance of academic Deans who report to the Provost, both annual reviews and periodic evaluations shall be practiced.
2. The periodic evaluation will help guide the Provost in carrying out his or her responsibilities with regard to appointing, renewing, and/or terminating Deans of academic units and facilitate the professional development of those Deans.

3. To this end, a Review Committee shall be charged with collecting information about the performance of an academic Dean. Findings of the Review Committee shall supplement information from other sources (e.g., Annual Review Letters, unit financial documents) to provide the Provost with a comprehensive record of the Dean's performance.

C. Timeline of Evaluation:

1. The Provost shall notify the Dean of the pending evaluation and appoint the Chair of the Review Committee in the Fall semester.
2. Within five working days of receiving the Provost's notification, the Dean under evaluation notifies the faculty and staff of his or her unit of the pending evaluation.
3. Within five working days of receiving the Provost's appointment, the Chair of the Review Committee shall call for the election of six faculty members from within the unit led by the Dean. Refer to section 104.0601(D)(3) for guidance on the manner in which the Review Committee members shall be elected.
4. The Review Committee will provide its Evaluation Report to the Dean no later than February 28th of the academic year during which the evaluation is conducted.
5. The Dean has the right to review and respond to the Review Committee's Evaluation Report no later than March 28th.
6. The Review Committee's Evaluation Report and the Dean's response shall be forwarded to the Provost no later than March 30th.
7. The Chair of the Review Committee presents the results of the Dean's Evaluation Report to the faculty of the Dean under evaluation no later than April 30th.
8. In the event that the dates in this timeline fall on a weekend or holiday, the documents are due the following business day.

D. Composition of Review Committee:

1. The Review Committee will be composed of seven members.
2. A Review Committee Chair, who is a senior faculty member from outside the unit led by the Dean being evaluated. The Provost shall appoint the Review Committee Chair. The Chair of the Review Committee shall receive one course reassigned time.
3. Six faculty members from within the unit led by the Dean, one of which must be a department chair. The faculty governance body from the unit led by the Dean under evaluation determines the manner in which the committee members shall be elected. In the case of a unit that does not have an elected faculty governance body, the faculty at large of the unit determine the manner in which the committee members shall be elected.
4. The Provost and the Dean under evaluation shall have the right to object to the inclusion of a member of the committee. Both parties shall each be allowed only one objection.

5. No person with a conflict of interest may serve as a member of the Review Committee. All personal and professional conflicts of interest must be revealed to and reviewed by the Review Committee Chair prior to the selection of faculty to serve on the Review Committee. Such conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, personal and professional interactions and relationships that would preclude dispassionate, disinterested, correct, complete, and unbiased participation in these matters. Spouses, immediate family members, and colleagues with an intimate personal relationship with the Dean are explicitly prohibited from participation.

E. Review Committee Procedures:

1. The Review Committee meets with the Provost and then with the Dean to be evaluated. At these meetings, the Review Committee:
 - a. Outlines the timeline for review and the evaluation criteria.
 - b. Requests relevant information to be considered during the evaluation. At this time, the Provost and the Dean may specify topics, questions, or concerns for the Review Committee to consider in making its evaluation, as well as particular individuals whose input would contribute to a complete review.
 - c. Informs the Provost and the Dean of:
 1. Their right to object to one member of the Review Committee, which shall trigger the search for a new member.
 2. The right to communicate with the Review Committee throughout the evaluation process. That is, the Committee must guarantee the Provost and the Dean the right to provide input at any time during the evaluation.
2. The Review Committee shall notify the faculty of the Dean under review of the procedures guiding the evaluation process and how the principles of shared governance, impartiality, and transparency shall be realized.
 - a. The notification shall include information about data collection, administration of the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire, how the identity of participants will be protected from unnecessary disclosure to the extent allowed by applicable law, and the Review Committee's guarantee to grant full access to anyone wishing to provide input at any time during the evaluation, unless a significant conflict of interest can be demonstrated.
 - b. Among its procedures, the Review Committee must administer the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire to the Dean's constituency. The Dean's constituency shall include, but not be limited to, Vice Presidents, Deans, Directors, the faculty and staff of the unit, the faculty governance body of the unit, and any other individuals who interact with the Dean on a regular basis.
 - c. In addition to the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire, the Review Committee shall gather information related to the topics, questions, and concerns noted by the Provost and Dean in their initial meetings.

F. Components of the Evaluation:

1. Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria should be based on the duties specified in Article III, Section 2 of the Policies and Procedures of the University of West Georgia and the By Laws of the unit of the Dean under evaluation.

2. Evaluation Report

The Review Committee shall produce an Evaluation Report of its findings, which shall be descriptive in nature. The Evaluation Report shall not include interpretations of the findings, nor recommendations regarding personnel actions; however, the Review Committee may synthesize the data they collect relative to the evaluation criteria, to include the authority to edit, shorten, paraphrase or select qualitative comments as exemplary for presentation in the report. All of the comments received shall remain anonymous and shall be presented to the Provost in an appendix, in order that the unbiased nature of the synthesis can be verified. The full Evaluation Report shall remain in the Office of the Provost for the length of time mandated by BOR Standards and may be obtained by individual request.

The Evaluation Report shall include, but not be limited to, the following sections:

Introduction

- a. Purpose of the evaluation.
- b. Description of how the principles of shared governance, impartiality, and transparency have been realized through the process.
- c. Description of the procedures that guided the composition of the Review Committee.
- d. Disclosure of conflicts of interest, if any, and how they were handled.
- e. Discussion of the timeline of the evaluation.

Methodology

- a. Data collection efforts (e.g. description of the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire, distribution methods, response rate).
- b. Procedures to protect the identity of participants from unnecessary disclosure to the extent allowed by applicable law.

Results

- a. Descriptive analysis of data from the Dean Evaluation Questionnaire.
- b. Descriptive summary of additional data collected to include interviews with dean's peers, supervisors, and relevant external community when useful).

Conclusion

- a. Purpose of the evaluation (briefly revisited).
- b. Timeline for the next periodic evaluation, per guidelines in Table 1 in Section 104.0601.

G. Post-Evaluation Conference with the Faculty. The Chair of the Review Committee shall present the Evaluation Report (minus the appendix) to the faculty of the unit no later than April 30th.

104.0602 Dean Evaluation Questionnaire

The Review Committee shall use the following questionnaire to evaluate the Dean. However, each unit may include additional context-specific items to the instrument. Additional items must be placed at the end of the questionnaire in a new section labeled Unit Specific Items.

Please tell us, what is your role at UWG?

- A. Faculty Member and/or Faculty Administrator
- B. Staff Member

Your responses may be quoted in the full report, but only anonymously and as part of aggregated data.

In your role as administrator, faculty, or staff, please rate the Dean's unit on the following questions related to leadership, faculty and program development, fairness and ethics, communication, and administration. Please use the following scale to help with your answer:

0 = Unable to Judge; 1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Somewhat Disagree; 4 = Neither Disagree Nor Agree; 5 = Somewhat Agree; 6 = Agree; 7 = Strongly Agree

If you have insufficient experience to make an informed judgment, please choose "Unable to Judge."

Leadership

The Dean...

1. articulates a clear vision for the future of the unit.
2. involves the faculty in developing plans for the unit.
3. demonstrates a commitment to intellectual integrity and the pursuit of knowledge.
4. demonstrates administrative leadership of the unit.
5. is a professional role model for the unit.
6. weighs the opinions of all segments of the unit.

Faculty and Program Development

The Dean...

7. promotes a favorable environment for individual faculty development.
8. emphasizes teaching in consideration of tenure, promotion, and merit raises.
9. emphasizes service in consideration of tenure, promotion, and merit raises.

10. emphasizes professional growth and development in consideration of tenure, promotion, and merit raises. (Note: each unit should adapt item #10 to reflect its P & T standards. For example, replace the term “professional growth and development” with “scholarship.”)
11. encourages creative approaches to teaching, research, and program development.
12. is responsive to the educational needs of the region when developing new programs.
13. supports student learning outcomes in work related to faculty and program development.

Fairness and Ethics

The Dean...

14. treats all members of the unit fairly irrespective of age, race, color, religion, sex, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or veteran status.
15. respects views that are contrary to his or her own views.
16. exhibits high ethical standards in his or her official duties.
17. strongly encourages high ethical professional standards for all members of the unit.
18. exercises sound judgment in matters relating to faculty promotion and tenure.
19. exercises sound judgment in matters relating to staff hiring and promotion.
20. arbitrates disputes among faculty, staff, and department heads fairly.
21. affords departments opportunities to explain their resource needs.
22. affords all members of the unit opportunities to explain their individual needs and concerns.

Communication

The Dean...

23. welcomes constructive criticism from all members of the unit.
24. creates an environment where individuals are free to communicate without concern of rejection or reprisal.
25. provides feedback in a constructive manner.
26. is well-informed about my department’s accomplishments, challenges, and future plans.
27. communicates changes affecting all the members of the unit in a timely manner.
28. recognizes and expresses appreciation for the accomplishments of all members of the unit.
29. fosters and maintains positive external relationships.

Administration

The Dean...

30. uses administrative procedures that are clear and unambiguous for promotions, tenure, merit raises, leave, and other personnel actions.
31. exercises sound judgment in appointing associate and assistant Deans.
32. attends to administrative matters in a timely fashion.
33. conducts productive meetings.
34. handles concerns from all members of the unit well.
35. makes administrative decisions that facilitate improvement of the undergraduate programs.
36. makes administrative decisions that facilitate improvement of graduate programs.
37. integrates planning, assessment, and budgeting when making decisions.

38. is transparent about the unit's budget.
39. makes evidence-based decisions.
40. is a team player.

Open Ended Items

41. In your opinion, what are the Dean's strengths and/or contributions?
42. In your opinion, what are the Dean's weaknesses?
43. Please present any further comments you think would be helpful to the Dean in carrying out the academic mission of the school.
44. Please present any further comments you think would be helpful to the Provost.

Unit Specific Items

Units may use Likert scale or open-ended items; regardless, the items should begin with number 45. Units that opt to use a Likert scale must employ the same response options used in items 140.

105 Dismissal Process of a Faculty Member

The President may at any time remove any faculty member for cause. Adequate cause for dismissal will be related directly or substantially to the fitness of the faculty member in his or her professional capacity. Dismissal will not be used to restrain faculty members in their exercise of academic freedom or rights protected by the United States Constitution.

The [*Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia, Section 8.3.9.1*](#) states grounds for dismissal (8.3.9.1) of tenured faculty. Board Policy (8.3.9.1.9) permits institutions to make additions to grounds for dismissal. The grounds for dismissal which follow are institutional grounds and are superseded by Board Policy in all cases of conflict. Grounds or "cause" for dismissal may include, but shall not be limited to, the following conduct unbecoming a faculty member:

Grounds for Removal ([*Section 8.3.9.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia*](#)).

1. Conviction or admission of guilt of a felony or of a crime involving moral turpitude during the period of employment—or prior thereto if the conviction or admission of guilt was willfully concealed.
2. Professional incompetency, intentional or habitual neglect of duty, or default of academic integrity in teaching, in research, or in scholarship.
3. Unlawful manufacture, distribution, sale, use or possession of illegal drugs as defined by Georgia laws; teaching or working under the influence of alcohol which interferes with the faculty member's performance of duty or his/her responsibilities to the institution or to his/her profession (BOR minutes 1989-90, pp.384-385).

4. Conviction or admission of guilt in a court proceeding of any criminal drug offense (BOR Minutes, 1989-90, pp. 384- 385).
5. Physical or mental incompetency as determined by law or by a medical board of three (3) or more licensed physicians, or as otherwise authorized by law.
6. Intentional misrepresentation related to official documents filed with the Institution
7. Disruption of any teaching, research, administrative, disciplinary, public service or other authorized activity.
8. Willful violation of Regents' or Institution's published policies and procedures that constitute serious misconduct.

106 Right to Redress

(See *Policies and Procedures Manual*, Article V, Section 3)

107 Dispute Resolution and Grievance Procedures

The University of West Georgia recognizes the value of constructive dispute resolution. Faculty, staff, and students at the University of West Georgia are encouraged to seek resolution of any conflict through informal discussion with those persons involved. If such informal efforts do not resolve the dispute, the parties may choose to utilize the Office of the Ombuds (see section 107.01), the services of the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Program (see section 107.02) and/or may pursue resolution of disputes through established Grievance Procedures (see section 107.03).

107.01 Office of the University Ombuds

The Office of the Ombuds has been established to provide an accessible, informal channel of communication to facilitate non-adversarial means of dispute resolution and to promote conflict management and cooperation throughout the University community. The office will work to resolve concerns, complaints and questions about University policies, procedures and practices in a neutral, impartial and confidential manner.

In their dealings with visitors (i.e. faculty, staff and students), Ombuds staff will act with integrity and will advocate, not for any individual, but for fairness, equitable treatment, and respect throughout the University community. Ombuds staff shall be properly trained and will adhere to the Code of Ethics and Standard Operating Practices of the International Ombudsman Association.

107.0101 Purpose and Scope

The University Ombuds Office is an independent source of assistance to faculty, staff and students who seek guidance in dealing with problems, issues and conflicts. The office works outside the formal organizational structure of the University to resolve concerns and complaints. Ombuds personnel promote communication, fairness and civility in work relationships and in the resolution of conflicts. The office supplements, rather than replaces, other alternative dispute resolution (ADR) processes at the University. It strives to help parties reach mutually acceptable resolutions of disputes in non-adversarial fashion. Ombuds staff also design and conduct training programs in conflict resolution and related areas for the University community.

Without violating the confidential nature of the information, Ombuds staff may make recommendations for institutional change to the President when appropriate (e.g. policy change, needed training, or other procedures that may enhance the campus climate). They also may provide feedback regarding trends or issues.

107.0102 Organization and Procedures

Professional staff in the University Ombuds office are appointed by, and report to, the President of the University. The office shall operate independent of the ordinary line and staff structure of the University.

The office shall have access to any University office and will be provided information in an expeditious manner. It shall safeguard the confidentiality of that information. Ombuds serve neither as advocates nor as adjudicators and do not exercise decision-making authority. It shall not be involved in any compliance function of the institution. Contact with the Ombuds office is voluntary and shall not be required.

Ombuds provide informal, confidential guidance to visitors and assist the University community in the development of policies and procedures. They listen, offer information on University policies and procedures, discuss options, make inquiries and referrals, and facilitate communication. Ombuds will explain the limits of services to visitors and the policy of confidentiality. No member of the University community shall experience reprisal in seeking the services of the office.

Ombuds do not take sides in a conflict and strive to ensure fair and equitable treatment for all concerned. Ombuds must consider the interests of all individuals affected.

With the permission of the visitor, the Ombuds will meet with all parties involved in a conflict. If the Ombuds determines that formal mediation may be appropriate, the parties involved in the conflict will be referred to the ADR liaisons who will arrange the mediation. Ombuds will advise visitors of proper procedures to follow, including the lodging of a formal grievance, if necessary. At that point, the Ombuds will withdraw from the process.

The Ombuds office may initiate review without a specific complaint in the determination of procedural or systemic problems. An Ombuds may decline or withdraw from involvement in any

matter which is inappropriate for the engagement of the office. An Ombuds shall avoid situations in which there is appearance of conflict of interest.

Contact with the Ombuds office is not regarded as official notice to the University on any matter nor is an Ombuds required to report any such communication to the University. If a visitor wishes to put the University on notice, the Ombuds will make a referral to the appropriate official.

107.0103 Code of Ethics and Standard Operating Procedures

The Ombuds office at the University of West Georgia will adhere to the Code of Ethics and Standards of Practice of the International Ombudsman Association.

A. Independence

The Ombuds office reports to the President of the University. It shall function free from interference and will not be constrained by the organizational structure of the institution. Ombuds staff will have no other affiliation or function at the University which might compromise their independence. To fulfill Ombuds functions, the Ombuds office shall have a specific, allocated budget, adequate space, and sufficient resources to meet operating needs and pursue continuing professional development. The Ombuds shall have the authority to manage the budget and operations of the Ombuds office.

B. Neutrality and Impartiality

An Ombuds shall not advocate for any individual but shall strive for fair and equitable treatment for all members of the University community. Ombuds must avoid participation in matters which would create a conflict of interest or otherwise compromise neutrality, including involvement in a compliance function.

C. Confidentiality

The Ombuds office shall not reveal the name of any party with whom it has communicated and shall maintain confidentiality in communications, disclosing confidential information only when given permission, when compelled by law, judicial subpoena or court order or when there is an imminent risk of possible violence or physical harm to self or others as determined by the Ombuds.

D. Informality

Ombuds will not participate in any internal formal grievance process or external formal process or action, even if given permission to do so.

The Ombuds office does not keep records for the University and in carrying out its mission is not authorized to:

- A. Make, change, or set aside a law, policy, or administrative decision;

- B. Make binding decisions or determine rights;
- C. Compel anyone to implement recommendations;
- D. Conduct investigations that substitute for administrative or judicial proceedings; Give legal advice;
- E. Determine “guilt” or “innocence” of anyone accused of wrong-doing;
- F. Provide testimony in formal grievance or disciplinary procedures or litigation except to explain the role of the office and provide publicly available information (unless ordered to do so by a judge);
- G. Maintain formal written case records identifying users of the office; and
- H. Assist individuals with an issue that is currently pending in a formal forum (e.g.: a grievance) unless all parties and the presiding officer in that action explicitly consent to suspend the formal process.

107.0104 Evaluation

Evaluation of the Ombuds office and staff will be conducted periodically by the University President through external review and shall include an assessment of visitor satisfaction.

107.0105 Reporting

The Ombuds office shall at least annually make reports to the University President, the Committee on Alternative Dispute Resolution and the campus community on conflict trends and areas of general concern regarding policies and procedures.

107.0106 Adoption

These Terms of Reference and any subsequent amendments shall be approved by the Committee on Alternative Dispute Resolution, the Faculty Senate and the President of the University. They shall be effective on the date approved by the President and shall be incorporated into the dispute resolution and grievance procedures in the faculty, employee and student handbooks. **107.02**

Ombuds Office Initiation of Intake for Alternative Dispute Resolution

Ombuds Office functions the intake point for the UWG ADR Program, whose services an individual may use as s/he seeks to resolve a conflict.

107.0201

The ADR program is run by the Committee on Alternative Dispute Resolution, which will consist of persons recommended by the Ombuds Office [and appointed by the President]. Each college or school and the library will be represented on the committee. The committee will participate in a variety of tasks associated with mediation, including but not limited to: overseeing the general operations of the ADR program; distributing and updating information about the program’s policies and procedures; coordinating mediation training for faculty; screening requests for mediation to determine the appropriateness of mediation (including the willingness of parties to participate in mediation); securing the consent of all parties involved and arranging for an approved neutral or neutrals to mediate the dispute; and maintaining all

necessary records, forms of consent, and evaluations required during the mediation process; and overseeing all ADR-related tasks requested by the Board of Regents.

107.0202.1 At its first meeting of the year, the committee will elect a chair from its membership to serve for a two year term. The chair may be re-elected for one subsequent term.

107.0203 The Committee on Alternative Dispute Resolution will recruit mediators from the faculty at the university. Each person wishing to mediate must have successfully completed an appropriate course designed to train mediators. In those cases where it may be deemed appropriate to obtain the services of a mediator from off-campus, the ADR committee will contact the office of the Consortium on Negotiation and Conflict Resolution (CNCR).

Every effort will be made to provide appropriate training to faculty who are interested in becoming mediators within the University System of Georgia.

107.0204 Requests for Mediation

Any member of the University Community may request a mediation to resolve disputes with any other member.

Seeking a solution through mediation does not take away an individual's rights to pursue claims through the grievance process or litigation.

Under ordinary circumstances, parties involved in a dispute would ideally attempt mediation before pursuing claims through the grievance process or litigation. There may be cases, however, in which parties involved in a dispute may wish to request suspension or delay of a grievance process in order to pursue possibilities for a mediated resolution of their dispute. If a grievance process is interrupted in this way and a solution is not reached in mediation within twenty (20) working days from the initial request for mediation, aggrieved parties may return to the grievance process.

107. 0205 The Mediation Process

If the Ombuds office has determined that mediation is appropriate, it will forward requests to the ADR committee to assist parties in resolution of their dispute(s). It will be the responsibility of the mediator(s) to arrange for an appropriate time and place to conduct the mediation, and to conduct the mediation according to all applicable policies and procedures.

Procedures that govern the mediation process include the following:

A. Mediation is a form of dispute resolution in which a neutral party, a trained mediator, attempts to assist parties in conflict to negotiate a mutually satisfactory resolution to their dispute. A mediator does not decide who wins or loses the dispute. A mediator does not act as judge or jury, does not take sides in disputes, and does not guarantee the results of mediation. Instead, a mediator is in the role of a neutral third-party who establishes a fair and structured process which facilitates communication and mutual decision-making between and among parties to a dispute.

B. At the beginning of the mediation session, the mediator(s) will inform the parties involved of the policies and procedures that will be followed and will ensure that participation in mediation is purely voluntary. No mediation will occur without the consent of all parties involved.

C. If the mediator(s) allow the parties to have an advisor present, the mediator(s) will decide to what degree the advisor may participate in the process.

D. All parties will be given the opportunity to present their side of the matters at issue in their own words. Because mediation is essentially a communication process and not a legal proceeding, the customary rules of evidence do not apply. Parties are free to discuss any matters related to the issue(s) they believe will support resolution of their dispute(s).

E. Confidentiality. The discussions held during mediation are strictly confidential with the following exceptions: confidentiality does not extend to a situation in which conduct by either party is criminal in nature or statements are made during the process of mediation that involve threats of imminent violence to self or others. Confidentiality does not include discrimination as defined by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

In light of this commitment to confidentiality, the mediator(s) will not retain any notes taken during the mediation, no recording will be permitted during the mediation process by any parties involved and it is understood that mediators cannot testify for or against any party should the dispute be subsequently pursued through grievance and/or legal proceedings.

F. A successful mediation is one in which the parties involved in the dispute reach an agreement described as “win/win.” In mediation, parties agree only to things that are acceptable to them, to resolutions which each believes will actually resolve the dispute(s) between/among them. Because parties jointly work to resolve the dispute, the resolutions are frequently more creative and have the potential to enhance, or at least preserve, relationships better than other forms of dispute resolution.

G. If an agreement is reached, the agreement will be written by the mediator(s), and signed by all parties. A copy of the agreement will be given to the parties but not retained by the mediator(s).

H. The mediator(s) will inform the Ombuds office only that an agreement was or was not reached.

I. Each participant in mediation will be given the opportunity to evaluate the mediation process at the conclusion of the mediation.

J. It is important to understand that: time spent in mediation will be considered part of the working day and will not require any person to take leave to participate. All supervisors will make reasonable efforts to enable employees to be available for participation in mediation.

K. The acceptance or refusal of either party to submit a dispute to a mediator will not influence the outcome of any subsequent grievance proceeding.

107.0206 Limitations to Mediation

It is important to understand that not all disputes are appropriate for mediation. Some examples of disputes that are not appropriate for mediation include those that have been the subject of a final ruling or decision in accordance with University policies and procedures; disputes involving purely academic decisions (i.e., faculty assessment of students' work); disputes involving trivial matters; allegations of sexual harassment; complaints of discrimination based on protected class; and disputes that have no relation to the University. It is also important to understand that mediation will not result in resolution for every dispute.

107.03 Grievance Procedures

A. Initiating a Grievance. The Grievance Process will begin when a Complainant files a formal complaint with the respondent's immediate supervisor. It will continue, if no satisfactory resolution is reached, with appeals up the administrative chain through the level of Provost. When all administrative appeals are exhausted, parties may request a formal grievance hearing by filing a formal petition with the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee. The parties should understand that a committee appointed to hear the grievance functions solely to study the case and to make recommendations to the President of the University; it is not empowered to make or reverse decisions.

B. Definitions

Complainant: A faculty member who has a complaint or grievance.

Due Process: A meaningful opportunity to be heard at each stage in the process. While it may or may not require trial-like processes, it does include the opportunity to know and counter opposing claims, characterizations and arguments and the expectation that any persons charged with hearing the dispute will be neutral.

Grievance: A formal complaint that has not been resolved through available dispute resolution processes or by administrative review.

Faculty Grievance Committee: A select faculty committee established through the Faculty Development Committee to hear a given grievance.

Parties: The complainant and the respondent.

Grievance Complaint Record: The exclusive record for decisions including all documents submitted as part of a Grievance.

Respondent: Individual against whom a complaint is brought.

Teaching Faculty: Full time faculty members whose duties are less than one-third administrative.

C. Grievable Actions

Grievable complaints may arise from any circumstance in which a faculty member alleges mistreatment, including arbitrary actions, decisions or evaluations to include allegations of:

- a. Irregular, arbitrary or inappropriate procedural and/or policy decisions related to matters such as salary, promotion and/or tenure, performance requirements, performance assessment, and reassignment or suspension (with or without pay)
- b. Denial of access to department, division, college or university resources; and/or
- c. Persistent and recurrent patterns of actions that indicate arbitrary assignment of duties and scheduling.

Complaints alleging discrimination under federal or state civil rights law should not be pursued through Grievance Procedures, but should be directed to tribunals or procedures established by the Social Equity Officer of the Human Resources Department.

Non-grievable complaints include the following:

- a. The legitimate non-arbitrary exercise of judgment by supervisors in keeping with University policies and procedures;
- b. Non-renewal of a contract of a non-tenured faculty member provided that the institution has complied with procedural due process notification requirements;
- c. Decisions based on the University System of Georgia Board of Regents Policy concerning Illicit Drugs. (Business Procedures Manual, Volume 3A Revised, Personnel Policies and Procedures, Page 11-A and Page 12);
- d. Tenure and Promotion Decisions that have been upheld by appropriate and approved tenure and promotion policies and procedures;
- e. Dismissal for cause of tenured faculty members in accordance with Board of Regents Policy [Section 8.3.9, Board of Regents Policy, University System of Georgia](#).

107.0301 Timeframe for filing a Grievance Complaint.

A grievance complaint must be formally initiated within three (3) calendar months of the occurrence of a grievable action or last occurrence of a pattern of grievable actions and shall follow the stated procedures at each level. Time spent in consultation with the Ombudsmen or in ADR may be grounds for an extension of this timeframe.

107.0302 Role of Respondent's Immediate Supervisor

A. The grievance process is initiated when a Complainant formally submits a complaint to the Respondent's immediate supervisor. A formal complaint will include the following:

- a. Name and department or administrative unit of the Complainant,
- b. Name(s) and department or administrative unit of the Respondent(s),
- c. Description of the nature and effect of actions or decisions being complained of,

- d. Evidence supporting the complaint,
- e. Statement of desired outcome,
- f. Signature of Complainant and date.

The immediate supervisor will open a formal confidential Grievance Complaint Record file. This file may be housed in a digital format. The complaint and all documents submitted in regard to the complaint shall be included in this file.

- B. Within five (5) working days of receiving a formal complaint, the immediate supervisor must notify the Respondent that a complaint has been received and provide the Respondent with a copy of the complaint. Within ten (10) working days of notification, the Respondent must provide a written response to the immediate supervisor. Upon receipt of the written response, the immediate supervisor will place it in the Grievance Complaint File and will send a copy of the response to the complainant.
- C. Within ten (10) working days of receiving the Respondent's written response the immediate supervisor will:
 - a. Review the Grievance Complaint File,
 - b. Meet with all parties to understand their views,
 - c. Consult with any appropriate resource persons for clarification,
 - d. Review appropriate written policies and procedures,
 - e. Provide a written decision to the parties and place a copy in the Grievance Complaint File.
- D. Upon receipt of the Immediate Supervisor's decision the Complainant may, within ten (10) working days after notification, appeal the decision to the next higher administrator.

107.0303 Role of Dean of College

- A. In the case that the Respondent's Immediate Supervisor is a college Dean, the Dean will act as the Immediate Supervisor. If the Respondent's Immediate Supervisor ranks below the level of college Dean, appeals from an Immediate Supervisor's decision are filed with Dean of the Respondent's College.

An appeal to the Dean is initiated when a Complainant formally submits an appeal to the appropriate Dean. The Dean or higher administrator must send a copy of the formal appeal to the Respondent. A formal appeal will include the following:

- a. Name and department or administrative unit of the Complainant
- b. Name(s) and department or administrative unit of the Respondent(s),
- c. Reasons for disagreement with the Immediate Supervisor's decision,
- d. Evidence supporting the appeal,
- e. Statement of desired outcome,
- f. Signature of Complainant and date.

Within three (3) working days after receiving a Grievance Complaint Appeal, the College Dean will request that the Immediate Supervisor forward the Grievance Complaint File. The Dean will add the appeal and all documents submitted regarding the appeal shall be added to the Grievance Complaint File.

- B. Within five (5) working days of receiving the Grievance Complaint File, the Dean must notify the Respondent that an Appeal has been filed and provide the Respondent with a copy of the Appeal. Within five (5) working days of this notification, the Respondent must provide a written response to the Dean. Upon receipt of the written response from the Respondent, the Dean will place it in the Grievance Complaint File and forward a copy to the Complainant.
- C. Within fifteen (15) working days of receiving the appeal response the Dean will:
 - a. Review the entire Grievance Complaint File, including the Appeal and Response,
 - b. Meet with all parties to understand their views,
 - c. Consult with any appropriate resource persons for clarification,
 - d. Review appropriate written policies and procedures,
 - e. Provide a written decision to the parties and place a copy in the Grievance File.
- D. Upon receipt of a Dean's written decision, a Complainant may, within ten (10) working days after notification, appeal the decision to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs.

107.0304 Role of Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

- A. Appeals from a Dean's decision must be formally filed with the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. The Complainant must send a copy of the appeal to the Respondent and to the appropriate Dean. A formal appeal will include the following:
 - a. Name and department or administrative unit of the Complainant,
 - b. Name(s) and department or administrative unit of the Respondent(s),
 - c. Reasons for disagreement with the Dean or supervisor's decision,
 - d. Evidence supporting the appeal,
 - e. Statement of desired outcome,
 - f. Signature of Complainant and date.

Within three (3) working days after receiving a Grievance Complaint Appeal, the Provost/Vice President will request that the Dean forward the Grievance Complaint File and place the new appeal and all documents that are part of it in the Grievance Complaint File.

- B. Within three (3) working days of receiving the Grievance Complaint File, the Provost/Vice President must notify the Respondent(s) that an Appeal has been filed and provide the Respondent(s) with a copy of the Appeal. Within five (5) working days of this notification, the Respondent(s) must provide a written response to the Provost/Vice President. Upon receipt of the written response, the Provost/Vice President will place it in the Grievance Complaint File and will forward a copy to the Complainant.

- C. Within fifteen (15) working days of receiving the appeals response the Provost/Vice President will:
- a. Review the entire Grievance Complaint File, including the Appeal and Response,
 - b. Meet with both parties to understand their views,
 - c. Consult with any appropriate resource persons for clarification,
 - d. Review appropriate written policies and procedures,
 - e. Provide a written decision to the parties and place a copy in the Grievance Complaint File.
- D. Upon receipt of the Provost/Vice President's decision, the Complainant may, within ten (10) working days after notification, petition the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee for a full Grievance Hearing by a Faculty Grievance Committee. Within three (3) working days of receiving an appeal, the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee will request, and the Provost/Vice President shall forward to the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee, the entire Grievance Complaint File.

107.0305 The Formation and Work of a Select Committee on Faculty Grievances

- A. A Petition for a full Grievance Hearing by a Faculty Grievance Committee occurs when a formal request is submitted to the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee. A copy of the Petition must be sent to the Respondent. The Petition must include:
- a. Name and department or administrative unit of the Complainant,
 - b. Name(s) and department or administrative unit of the Respondent(s),
 - c. Brief description of the nature and effect of actions or decisions being complained of,
 - d. Reasons for disagreement with prior administrators' judgments in the matter,
 - e. Evidence supporting the complaint,
 - f. Statement of desired outcome,
 - g. Signature of Complainant and date.

While the exact wording need not be replicated, the grievance appeal may not significantly diverge from the original complaint. A Complainant may request representation on the committee of specific categories of people such as veterans, women, disabled people or ethnic and racial minorities. When forming a Faculty Grievance Committee, the Faculty Development Committee will make a good faith effort to honor such requests.

- B. Within ten (10) working days of receiving a petition for a grievance hearing and the Grievance Complaint File, the Faculty Development Committee will determine by majority vote whether the issue[s] fall within the definition of a grievable complaint. As soon as is practicably possible, again by majority vote, the Faculty Development Committee will select from among UWG Teaching Faculty individuals suitable to serve as members for this Faculty Grievance Committee. A new Faculty Grievance Committee will be formed each time a grievance petition is submitted. The Chair of the Faculty Development Committee may not be a member of a Faculty Grievance Committee.

- a. In most cases, a seven-member committee of faculty members will be selected to hear a given grievance: one from the College of Arts, Culture, and Scientific Inquiry ~~Arts- and Humanities, one from the College of Science and Mathematics, one from the College of Social Sciences,~~ one from the College of Education, one from the Richards College of Business, **one from the University College, one from the School of Nursing, one from the School of Communication and Media,** and one from the Library. Committee member selection shall aim to assure that the Complainant receives a fair and impartial hearing.
- b. Once the list of members has been identified, the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee will disclose the list of proposed Grievance Committee members to the Parties. Parties to the dispute may challenge the fitness of an individual member to serve on the committee by providing evidence of bias, partiality, or conflict of interest. The Faculty Development Committee will decide the merits of such challenges by majority vote and recuse a member found to be unacceptable.

C. Organizational Meeting. Within ten (10) working days after determining the Grievance Committee's membership, the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee will convene a closed organizational meeting of the full committee. The Chair of the Faculty Development Committee will briefly specify the allegations in the complaint and summarize University policy including rules governing the committee's work and convey the Grievance Complaint File, including the appeal petition, to the Faculty Grievance Committee. The Faculty Grievance Committee will select a Chair of the committee from among its members.

The chair of a Faculty Grievance Committee is required to convene meetings to hear the grievance petition, ensure that all parties to the dispute and members of the committee receive all relevant documents and communications and will work collaboratively with other Committee members to produce the Final Grievance Report and Recommendations.

D. Authority of the Committee. A Faculty Grievance Committee has the authority to conduct inquiries into faculty grievances, to provide to all parties a meaningful opportunity to be heard before a neutral panel of faculty members and to present its findings and recommendations to the President of the University. A Faculty Grievance Committee may consult with or seek clarification from any University resource officers or other persons knowledgeable about university processes or policies. All Committee business is confidential and Committee members will hold no *ex parte* meetings with the parties nor conduct outside discussions regarding the grievance.

E. Grievance Hearing.

- a. A Grievance Hearing should be convened within fifteen (15) working days after the Organizational Meeting's completion.
- b. Due to its confidential nature, the hearing will be closed.
- c. Parties must attend the Grievance Hearing.
- d. An audio recording or complete transcript of the proceedings will be kept and made available to the parties on request. Recordings and transcripts are otherwise regarded as confidential, though they may be subject to provisions of the Georgia Open

Records Act.

- e. Each Party may have present at the hearing one advisor chosen from among current University of West Georgia employees and one observer. Parties will be afforded reasonable time to consult with their advisors. Neither advisors nor observers will be allowed to represent the Parties.
- f. Parties will be given an opportunity to present necessary witnesses, documentation or other evidence including material from the Grievance Complaint File, but staff from the University Ombuds office may not serve as witnesses in a formal complaint. When witnesses cannot appear in person, and when fairness requires, a Faculty Grievance Committee may admit testimony by sworn affidavit. Witnesses will be admitted to the hearing only when their participation is required.
- g. Members of the Faculty Grievance Committee may question each witness. After members conclude their questions, Parties will have the right to question witnesses. The chair is to ensure that questions are not irrelevant to the hearing, nor asked solely to embarrass, harass or intimidate witnesses. Neither party shall be allowed to interfere with the orderly presentation of the other's case.
- h. A Faculty Grievance Committee will not be bound by formal rules of legal evidence. A Committee may admit any evidence it deems of value or exclude any evidence it deems irrelevant or beyond the scope of its authority.
- i. A Faculty Grievance Committee may, at its discretion, grant breaks to enable parties to investigate evidence when a valid claim of surprise is made or if an interruption of the proceedings would be desirable.
- j. The findings, conclusions and recommendations of a Faculty Grievance Committee will be based solely on the record of the hearing.
- k. There will be no public statements by any person involved in the Grievance Hearing before the Grievance Hearing has been concluded and Grievance Committee's Report delivered to the President of the University.
- l. Members of a Faculty Grievance Committee must be present or participate in the Grievance Hearing to vote. Within three (3) working days after the conclusion of the Grievance Hearing, the Committee must meet in closed session to decide its findings and recommendations. All recommendations of a Faculty Grievance Committee must be based on majority vote. Votes will be cast by secret written ballot and the precise tally shall be reported to the President.
- m. Within ten (10) working days after concluding its work, a Faculty Grievance Committee must submit a written report of its findings and recommendation(s) to the President of the University. The Report will follow the guidelines stated below:
 - 1. Findings of Fact: A brief summary of the facts as determined by the Faculty Grievance Committee from the evidence presented at the Grievance Hearing, including a statement as to the nature of the case. This summary will state findings of fact on each major issue raised by the parties.
 - 2. Violations: A general statement of Regents' Policies or institution rules and regulations violated, if any, and/or the stated reasons for the action.

3. Recommendation: A statement specifying the action the Faculty Grievance Committee recommends. The Grievance Committee will keep its purpose in mind and limit the scope of its recommendations to the case before it. To reduce the length of the decision without sacrificing clarity, the Faculty Grievance Committee report should include only such factual recitals as necessary to present and support its conclusions.

Copies of the Report must be provided to the Parties.

107.0306 Role of the President of the University

The President of the University will review the Faculty Grievance Committee's recommendation(s) and render a written decision for the University within fifteen (15) working days. The President will send copies of the written decision to each of the Parties and place a copy in the Grievance Complaint File. Appeal from the President's decision must be made to the Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia.

108 Non-renewal of Non-tenured Faculty During Times of Financial Exigency

108.01 Criteria

The following criteria are to be used in determining the comparative value of non-tenured faculty to a department or area in order to determine which faculty members will not be rehired when faculty is to be reduced because of financial exigency. The first two are clearly interrelated and most important. The others are of lesser importance.

108.0101 Departmental (Area) Needs

108.0102 Teaching Effectiveness

108.0103 Service to the Department and University

108.0104 Professional Growth

108.0105 Student Success Activities

108.0106 Academic Achievement

108.0107 Number of Academic Years of Service to the Institution

108.0108 Service to the Community

Definitions of criteria 2,3,4,5, and 6 are included in the Section 103.03.

Each spring semester departmental needs are to be determined by the department chair in consultation with faculty members who shall assess the effectiveness and value of each program or course offering to the department and university. Each spring semester the supervisor of each of the "other" areas will consult with faculty members who shall assess the effectiveness and value of each function of the area to the area and university.

108.02 Procedures

108.0201 Each department or area shall decide in the spring of each year on the consultation procedure to be used in the event that it is necessary to reduce faculty for financial reasons.

108.0202 At the time that a department chair or supervisor is informed that a staff reduction for financial reasons is necessary, he or she shall institute the following procedures:

- A. The department chair or supervisor shall provide copies of each non-tenured faculty member's curriculum vita (defined in "Criteria for Promotion and Tenure" in this handbook) to the appropriate persons. He or she shall also provide those persons with a copy of the statement of the departmental assessment made in the preceding spring.
- B. The department chair or supervisor shall consult with members of the department or area (in the manner decided upon by the department or area) to evaluate each non-tenured member (except himself or herself) according to the criteria. The consultation shall culminate in written evaluations of each non-tenured faculty member. Each evaluation shall be signed or initialed by the department chair and the person making an individual evaluation or the persons making a collective evaluation.
- C. The department chair or supervisor shall prepare an abstract of the evaluations, noting both the strengths and weaknesses of each person evaluated and shall also prepare a ranking list of the non-tenured faculty of the department or area.
- D. The abstracts and the ranking list shall be forwarded to the dean of the college.
- E. The individual evaluations from which the abstracts were prepared shall not be destroyed until final action on the reduction of faculty has been effected.

108.0203 Persons whose contracts are not to be renewed because of action taken under these procedures shall be notified of such nonrenewal at least ninety (90) days before date of layoff or termination. A person wishing to submit an appeal or to institute a grievance procedure must do so within twenty days of notification of nonrenewal. If a person appeals and is rejected and wishes to institute a grievance, he or she must do so within five days of the rejections.

108.0204 When a faculty member teaches courses or serves in another department or area than that which is his or her primary responsibility or when he or she is on loan for less than a year to another department or area, he or she shall be evaluated according to these procedures by his or her home department. The department chair or supervisor, however, shall consult with the department chair or supervisor in the other area and include the latter's evaluation in his or her ranking.

108.0205 A faculty member who no longer performs his or her primary function in the

department or area in which he or she holds rank shall not be evaluated by that department or area according to these procedures until after he or she has returned to that department or area and has served for an academic year.

108.03 Reappointment of Faculty Who Are Terminated For Financial Reasons

108.0301 The University shall maintain a list of all employees not re-appointed for financial reasons. They shall be listed by service area and function or by department and area of specialization.

108.0302 When positions are reinstated in an area or department for which non-renewed faculty members qualify, they shall be offered the position and given a reasonable time within which to accept or decline it.

109 Academic Freedom, Responsibility, and Professional Ethics

(see Article V. Section 1, UWG Statutes)

109.01 Academic Freedom

Any faculty member is entitled to full freedom in research and in the publication of results, subject to the adequate performance of his or her other academic duties, but research for pecuniary return shall depend on the approval of the President.

Any faculty member is entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing his or her subject, but should be careful to present the various scholarly views related to the subject and avoid presenting totally unrelated material. Limitations of academic freedom because of religious or other aims of the institution should be clearly stated in writing at the time of the appointment.

109.02 Academic Responsibility

The concept of freedom should be accompanied by an equally demanding concept of responsibility. The college or university teacher is a citizen, a member of a learned profession and an officer of an educational institution. When such a person speaks or writes as a citizen, he or she should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but his or her special position in the community imposes special obligations. As a person of learning and an educational officer, he or she should remember that the public may judge the professional and the institution by one's utterances. Hence, he or she should at all times be accurate, should exercise restraint, should show respect for the opinions of others, and should make every effort to indicate that he or she is not an institutional spokesperson.

109.03 Professional Ethics

The professor, guided by a deep conviction of the worth and dignity of the advancement of knowledge, recognizes the special responsibilities placed upon him or her. One's primary responsibility to one's subject is to seek and to state the truth as one sees it. To this end, one

should devote one's energies to developing and improving scholarly competence. He or she accepts the obligation to exercise critical self-discipline and judgment in using, extending, and transmitting knowledge. He or she practices intellectual honesty. Although one may follow subsidiary interests, these interests must never seriously hamper or compromise freedom of inquiry.

As teacher, the professor encourages the free pursuit of learning by his or her students. He or she holds before them the best scholarly standards of his or her discipline, demonstrates respect for the student as an individual, and adheres to the proper role as intellectual guide and counselor. He or she makes every reasonable effort to foster honest academic conduct and to assure that evaluation of students reflects their true merit. He or she respects the confidential nature of the relationship between professor and student, avoids any exploitation of students for private advantage and acknowledges significant assistance from them. He or she protects their academic freedom.

As a colleague, the professor has obligations that derive from common membership in the community of scholars. He or she respects and defends the free inquiry of associates. In the exchange of criticism and ideas, he or she shows due respect to associates and respect for the opinion of others. He or she acknowledges academic debts and strives to be objective in the professional judgment of colleagues. He or she accepts a share of faculty responsibilities for the governance of the institution.

As a member of the institution, the professor seeks above all to be an effective teacher and scholar. Although he or she should observe the stated regulations of the institution, provided they do not contravene academic freedom, he or she should maintain the right to criticize and seek revision. He or she determines the amount and character of work to be done outside the institution with due regard to his or her paramount responsibilities within it. When considering the interruption or termination of his or her service, one recognizes the effect of one's decision upon the program of the institution and gives due notice of intentions.

As a member of the community, the professor has the rights and obligations of any citizen. He or she measures the urgency of these obligations in the light of responsibilities to his or her subject, students, profession, and institution. As a citizen engaged in a profession that depends upon freedom for its health and integrity, the professor has a particular obligation to promote conditions of free inquiry and to further public understanding of academic freedom.

110 Faculty Transcripts and Verification of Degrees

All faculty must have official transcripts on file in the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs office for each degree completed. The University does not officially recognize the award of the degree until the official transcript is received. A faculty member whose last degree was pending when employed should be certain that a transcript has been sent which shows the conferral of the degree. Faculty members who attain a higher degree after employment should do likewise. Catalogue listings of degrees must be strictly accurate on the date of the publication.

Verification of all degrees held by individuals being considered for positions on the faculty of University of West Georgia shall be a requirement before a definite commitment for employment becomes valid. The Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs is responsible for obtaining certification of degrees.

111 Employee Benefits

111.01 Vacations

1. Effective July 1, 1983, 12-month faculty accrue vacation at the following rate ([Section 8.2.7.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)):
 - 1¾ working days per month.
 - Time off for holidays is in addition to earned vacation.
2. Earned vacation may be accrued up to a maximum of 45 working days. Employees shall be compensated for all accrued vacation time upon termination of service for any reason or when converted to an academic contract.
3. Regular part-time employees on a 12-month contract shall accrue vacation time in proportion to their working hours.
4. Vacation shall be taken at times mutually acceptable to the employee and his or her supervisor.
5. All employees on a twelve-month contract are expected to be on duty during university vacations unless they choose to count this time as part of their annual vacation.
6. As used in this section, the term holiday means Thanksgiving Day, Independence Day, Christmas Day, etc., when all offices in the University are closed. The term university vacation refers to that time when students are not in attendance.

111.02 Leave

111.0201 Sick Leave with Pay

Nine-month faculty will accrue nine days of sick leave each academic term (at the rate of one day per month of service) and up to three days if they teach in the summer session. Faculty working less than one-half time will accrue no sick leave. Temporary faculty members will accrue no sick leave.

Regular nine-month faculty accrue:

- day/month - September through May = 9 days
- Regular faculty teaching summer school = 10% = 1day (1 course), 20% = 2 days (2 courses), 30% = 3 days (3 courses)

111.0202 Sick Leave Without Pay

Any employee unable to return to work after exhausting all accumulated sick leave and accrued vacation leave may be granted sick leave without pay for a period not to exceed one year. Furthermore, such approved sick leave shall allow the employee the right to elect to continue his or her group insurance benefits, and the institution will continue its share of the cost for such period. All other benefits are prohibited which otherwise would accrue to the employee.

111.0203 Reporting Sick Leave

The following provisions for the reporting of sick leave shall apply to all full-time faculty, employed by institutions of the University System of Georgia, who serve primarily in assignments defined by faculty roles in instruction, research and scholarly activity, and service.

1. Faculty are responsible for informing their Chair of any illness that prohibits them from meeting their assigned responsibilities in instruction, research, and service.
2. In reporting sick leave, academic year faculty will report leave based on the number of whole hours sick as defined by the [Section 8.2.7, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#) and [Section 4.9.1, Academic and Student Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#), with a full day being eight (8) hours, a half day being four (4) hours, and less than a half day based on whole hours missed, with a full week being the equivalent of a forty-hour workweek.
3. Nothing in this policy shall be interpreted to indicate that faculty work on a standardized schedule.

111.0203 Family Leave

In accordance with the federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) of 1993, an eligible employee may be entitled to up to 12 work weeks of leave during any 12-month period for one or more of the following reasons:

1. the birth and care of a newborn of the employee;
2. the legal placement of a child with the employee for adoption or foster care;
3. the care of an immediate family member (defined as the employee's spouse, child, or parent) with a serious health condition; or
4. a serious health condition of the employee himself/herself, with renders the employee unable to perform the duties of his/her job.

To be eligible for FMLA leave, the employee must have worked for the University System of Georgia:

- a. for at least 12 months total; and
- b. for at least 1,250 hours during the 12-month period immediately preceding the commencement of such leave.

(BOR Minutes, August 2004, page 27 and [Section 8.2.7.6, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#))

Section 585 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) amends FMLA to permit certain relatives of military personnel to take up to twenty-six (26) work weeks of leave to care for a member of the Armed Forces in various situations. NDAA also permits an employee to take FMLA leave for a qualifying exigency arising out of the fact that an immediate family member, as defined by the FMLA, is on active duty in support of a contingency operation. 111.0204 Military Leave with Pay

Ordered Military Duty. For the purpose of this policy, ordered military duty shall mean any military duty performed in the service of the State or the United States, including but not limited to service schools conducted by the Armed Forces of the United States. Such duty, shall be deemed “ordered military duty” regardless of whether the orders are issued with the consent of the employee. ([Section 8.2.7.5, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#) and BOR Minutes, 1990-91, p. 173).

Leave of Absence. An employee who receives orders for active military duty shall be entitled to absent himself or herself from his or her duties and shall be deemed to have a leave of absence with pay for the period of such ordered military duty and while going to and returning from such duty, not to exceed a total of eighteen (18) work days in any one federal fiscal year (October 1 – September 30) as authorized by Georgia Law O.C.G. A. §38-2-279[e]). At the expiration of the maximum paid leave time, continued absence by the employee shall be considered as military leave without pay. The employee shall be required to submit a copy of his or her orders to active duty (BOR Minutes, 1990-91, pp. 173-174).

Emergency Leave of Absence. Notwithstanding the foregoing leave limitation of eighteen (18) days, in the event the Governor declares an emergency and orders an employee to State active duty as a member of the National Guard, such employee while performing such duty shall be paid his or her salary or other compensation as an employee for a period not exceeding thirty (30) days in any one federal fiscal year.

Payment of Annual Leave. After an employee has exhausted his or her paid military leave, an institution may pay the employee for his or her accumulated annual leave (BOR Minutes, 1990-91, p. 174).

111.03 Retirement

It is the policy of the Board of Regents to provide for the retirement of all eligible employees either through the Teachers Retirement System of Georgia or the Regents’ Retirement Plan ([Section 8.2.8, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)). Employees eligible for the Regents’ Retirement Plan shall be those employees identified in Sections [3.2.1](#), [3.2.1.1](#) and [3.2.1.2](#) of the Policy Manual and persons who serve on the Chancellor’s

Administrative Staff whose appointment is subject to approval by the Board of Regents (BOR Minutes, 1990-91, p. 39).

111.0301 Employment Beyond Retirement

When a person has been retired from the University System and is receiving supplemental benefits from the Board of Regents, the Teachers' Retirement System, the Employees' Retirement System, or the Regents Retirement Plan, he or she cannot thereafter be employed or offered employment in the university System in any capacity without prior approval of the Board of Regents ([Section 8.2.8.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#) and BOR Minutes, 1978-79, pp. 183-184).

111.04 Insurance

The Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia is solely responsible for the solicitation, selection, contracting and implementation of employee benefits to include health insurance, basic life insurance, accidental death and dismemberment and all other group insurance plans. Institutions may not contract for employee health or voluntary benefits. Institutions may select, if desired, to contract for supplemental employee insurance coverage that is not in conflict with those offered by the Board of Regents. ([Section 8.2.9, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#) and BOR minutes, Sept. 2013, Oct. 2016)

111.0401 Group Health Insurance

Hospitalization, surgical, medical and major medical benefits shall be made available to regular USG employees, with a work commitment of three-quarters time (30 hours per week) or more. A regular employee's work commitment may be comprised of multiple job assignments to achieve benefits eligibility if the work assignments are six (6) months or longer. These benefits shall also be made available to dependents of the same employees. The USG shall pay that portion of the cost of such insurance as shall be designated from time to time by the Board. (BOR minutes, Jan. 2012, Sept. 2013, Oct. 2016)

111.0402 Group Life Insurance

Group life insurance, with accidental death and dismemberment coverage, shall be made available to regular USG employees with the same benefits eligibility definitions as that of Group Health Insurance ([Section 8.2.9.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)). Group life benefits become effective upon hire. The USG, as employer, shall pay the premium on the basic amount of life insurance, which shall be \$25,000. This amount of insurance is designated "basic life insurance" and the maximum premium therefore shall be established by the Board.

In addition, "supplemental life insurance", with the same benefits eligibility definitions as that of Group Health Insurance ([Section 8.2.9.1 Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)), may be offered to these same employees with no employer participation in the

premiums. If elected, these benefits become effective on the first day of the month following enrollment unless enrollment is on the first day of the month in which case it becomes effective upon enrollment. For those employees covered under an academic contract, benefits will begin on the first day of the contract if enrolled on or before that day, or on the first day of the month following enrollment if they enroll after the contract start. Group life insurance for dependents of these employees shall be made available to them in amounts which shall be established from time to time by the Board. There shall be no employer contribution to the dependent life insurance premiums. (BOR minutes, 1987-88, pp. 63-64; Nov. 2011; Jan. 2012)

111.05 Other Benefits

The Board of Regents provides a variety of other fringe benefits for faculty and staff, such as Worker's Compensation Insurance, Social Security Insurance and tax sheltered annuities. Interested persons should contact Human Resources (6403) for details concerning these benefits.

112 Educational and Professional Leave

Leaves of absence of one year or less with or without pay may be granted by the institution's president and reported to the Chancellor. Extensions of such leaves, or the initial granting of leaves of more than one year, require the approval of the Chancellor or his/her designee ([Section 8.2.7.4, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)). (For paid leave, see 112.01. For unpaid leave, see 112.02). When funds are available, leaves with pay may be granted for the purpose of scholarly work and encouraging professional development. Such leaves are for a calendar year or less and are subject to renewal.

112.01 Faculty Paid Educational and Professional Leave

Faculty paid leave is one mechanism for encouraging professional growth and development. Paid leave shall be granted only for the purposes of promoting scholarly work and encouraging professional development. The University of West Georgia shares with other universities the traditional responsibilities to discover, develop, preserve and disseminate knowledge. Much of this mission is realized through the professional, scholarly and creative activities of faculty members and through their interactions with students. Therefore, faculty development is a critical element in reaching West Georgia's goal of achieving educational excellence within a personal environment.

112.0101 Eligibility and Application Procedures

- A. Tenured faculty may apply for paid leave during or after their sixth year of full-time service at the University of West Georgia. Faculty members who are being reviewed for tenure are not eligible to apply for paid leave during that year.

Applications are due on or before December 1st for the following academic year. Exceptions to the application deadline or the period of leave are subject to individual review.

Applications are submitted to the department chair/director for department recommendation. Applications must include a current curriculum vita and a proposal for activities to be accomplished should the leave be granted. The proposal should include a clear statement of the nature, significance and objectives of the project, specific plans for completing it, the tangible results expected, and a statement of how this project will benefit the faculty member's department, College or the University as a whole. Proposal activities would ordinarily focus on research and/or creative endeavors, although proposals for specific activities aimed at significant improvements in pedagogical practices and student learning will be considered. The department chair or library unit head will forward all applications submitted, accompanied by his/her recommendation, to the Dean of the College/Dean of Libraries for a decision.

- B. At the time of application, the faculty member will be asked to sign an agreement indicating that:
1. For a leave with pay of less than one year, the faculty member agrees to return to the institution at the end of the leave for a period of at least one year.
 2. For a one-year leave with pay, the faculty member agrees to return to the institution at the end of the leave for a period of at least two years.
 3. In the event that the faculty member does not return to the institution for the full amount of time specified in the agreement, he or she agrees to reimburse the University for the amount of compensation while on leave, as well as any other expenses paid by the University during the leave, including all benefit costs.
- C. Faculty may be granted an award of paid leave no more often than every seventh year.

112.0102 Awards

Granting of leave will depend upon availability of resources to fund the hiring of part-time or replacement faculty and upon the merit of the proposal. The most common award options would be one year (two semesters) off with 1/2 pay or 1/2 year (one semester) off with full pay; however, other arrangements which better meet the needs of the individual faculty member's project may be considered. Leaves with pay will require that the appropriate Dean certify that during the leave, the unit will be able to:

1. Satisfactorily carry on its instructional, research and administrative activities.
2. Fulfill obligations to graduate students or honors students whose programs or theses are being directed by the faculty member.

Faculty members granted leave on the basis of the activities included in the proposal must file a report with the department chair/library unit head and Dean indicating what was accomplished during paid leave. A public presentation is also required when the faculty member returns to teaching and/or other duties.

112.02 Faculty Unpaid Educational and Professional Leave

Leaves of absence of one year or less without pay may be granted by the institution's president and reported to the Chancellor. Such a request must be approved by the department chair, dean, and the Provost. Extensions of such leaves, or the initial granting of leaves of more than one year, require the approval of the Chancellor or his/her designee.

113 Faculty Compensation for Summer School Teaching

(Section 8.3.12.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia)

Payment of compensation to faculty members for teaching during the summer semester shall be at a rate not to exceed 33 1/3 percent of their base faculty salary for the previous academic year. The summer pay to perform administrative duties may not exceed 33 1/3 percent of total salary.

Summer teaching is optional, depends on need, and is limited to no more than 9 credit hours for the summer semester (See also UWG Procedure 2.7.1 on Workload).

114 Outside Activities

114.01 Policy. While Board of Regents emphasizes that a USG employee shall not engage in any outside endeavor which will interfere with the official duties, full-time members of the faculty, staff and administration are encouraged to engage in outside activities which enable them to use their professional expertise and to increase the quality and quantity of public services offered through the University. Such activities include consulting, teaching, speaking, and participating in business or service enterprises.

Section 8.2.18.2.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia, requires that all outside activities, except single-occasion activities, must be reported in writing and secure approval prior to engaging in such activities. The BOR Policy also requires USG institutions to have procedures in place for approving outside activities of faculty members and ensuring that such activity does not constitute a conflict of commitment or conflict of interest. For ongoing outside activities, approval must be requested each academic year.

114.01.01 Types of BOR-endorsed Outside Activities

Occupational: Professional activity that does not interfere with the regular and punctual discharge of official duties provided the activity meets one of the following criteria:

1. It is a means of personal professional development;
2. It serves the community, state or nation; or,
3. It is consistent with the objectives of the institution.

Consulting: Recognizing that teaching, research, and public service are the primary responsibilities of USG faculty members, it shall be considered reasonable and desirable for faculty members to engage in consulting activities, which are defined for purposes of this policy as any additional activity beyond duties assigned by the institution, professional in nature and

based in the appropriate discipline for which the individual receives additional compensation during the contract year.

***eCore and outside teaching** (e.g. Kaplan, eMajor): Teaching beyond the official duties must be reported and obtain an approval through the same procedure for Outside Activities.

Political: As responsible and interested citizens in a democratic society, USG employees are encouraged to fulfill their civic obligations and otherwise engage in the normal political processes of society.

114.02 Approval Procedure. The disclosure/request form in **UWG Procedure 6.4.2** must be filled and submitted to the department chair, the dean, and the Provost for approval. If a faculty member consults for/with another USG institution, he or she is to consult with Human Resources as additional procedure is required.

114.03 Operational Definitions

A. Conflicts of Commitment – occurs when the aggregate time devoted to external activities (including paid and unpaid activities) adversely affects an employee’s appropriate use of leave and/or institutional resources, or the completion of duties and responsibilities during your assigned work schedule. In general, time spent in outside employment should not average more than one day a week during regular semesters.

B. Conflicts of Interest – exists whenever personal, professional, commercial, or financial interests or activities outside of the University have the possibility (either in actuality or in appearance) of influencing a University employee’s decision or behavior with respect to work related activities, including but not limited to: teaching and student affairs, appointments and promotions, greater than incidental use of University resources, procurement and business transactions, or the design, conduct or reporting of University research.

C. Reimbursement: Any member of the faculty, staff, or administration who uses institutional personnel, facilities, equipment and/or materials in any of the approved outside activities not related to duties assigned to or expected of him or her by University of West Georgia is required to reimburse the institution.

115 Communications with the Board of Regents and/or the Central Office of the University System of Georgia

Policies of the Board Regents (#204) and the University of West Georgia Statutes state that the President "shall be the official medium of communication between the faculty and the Chancellor, and between the Faculty Senate,. . . or any such body and the Chancellor." (BR Minutes, 1993-94, p. 239).

116 Legal Matters

116.01 Requests for Legal Opinions from the Attorney General.

The State Law Department has ruled that all requests for opinions of the Attorney General, drafting of legal instruments, lawsuits, garnishments, and similar matters concerning institutions of the University System come to that office only upon request of the Chancellor. Therefore, any inquiry or request to be made of the State Law Department should be directed initially to the President of the institution for endorsement to the Chancellor.

116.02 Inquiries from Attorneys on University Matters

On occasion, attorneys representing students or employees sometimes contact faculty members or supervisors directly. Requests from attorneys should be referred to counsel for the University System of Georgia, who should also be provided with copies of any correspondence. Upon receipt of an inquiry from an attorney on a university matter, please contact the President's Office. When such requests come by telephone, it is advisable to limit a response to the basic facts and to refer calling parties to University System legal counsel for anything more than that. It is not advisable to talk with students or employees through their attorneys. Internal procedures are in place for dealing with complaints.

Only the President may speak for the institution, unless the President has explicitly delegated that responsibility for specific purposes.

116.03 Requests for Campus Records

Requests for information are made under the Open Records Act. Virtually all records pertaining to employees and the conduct of university business are considered public records (student records are protected, however, under Federal law). This institution is obliged to provide access to such records upon request through the Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Assessment, charging for any time and copying involved.

117 Legal Holidays

This holiday schedule applies specifically to employees on fiscal year contracts. Holiday periods for others on academic year contracts are covered by other provisions. The University normally observes the following holidays:

Independence Day
Labor Day
Thanksgiving Day
Christmas Day
New Year's Day
Martin Luther King's Birthday

Information concerning these holidays can be on the Human Resources web page at <https://www.westga.edu/hr/holiday-schedules.php> .

118 Admission to Student Activities

Faculty and staff members may obtain I.D. cards for themselves and their immediate family members at a nominal charge. Faculty and staff and their immediate family members are admitted without charge to campus athletic events and other selected student activities with a valid University of West Georgia I.D.

119 Enrollment of Faculty and Staff in Courses and Instructional Programs

Since it is to the advantage of the University to encourage self-development of employees, opportunity is provided for participation in training courses and instructional programs on and off the campus.

119.01 Training Courses

As the need is recognized, the University periodically conducts on-the-job training workshops for groups of employees in such broad interests as safety, purchasing procedures, and budgetary control. Department chairs may obtain further information on workshops or request a workshop offering for groups of four or more employees by telephoning the Personnel Officer in the Office of the Vice President for Business and Finance.

119.02 Instructional Programs

Non-administrative personnel are paid for actual hours worked, and any time off to attend classes on campus will be without pay unless a program is sponsored by the University.

Administrative personnel may be allowed time off to attend classes on campus; however, the plan must not be used by the individual employee merely to work on a degree. Any course must be approved by the employee's department chair and dean, who must certify that the course will benefit the employee in the performance of his duties at the University. All employees are expected to pay regular fees.

Employees of any rank may take courses for credit or work toward a degree provided two conditions are met: first, the state requirement of a forty-hour week (for full-time employees) must be fulfilled; secondly, required fees must be paid.

120 Disruptive and Obstructive Behavior

[\(Section 6.8, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia\)](#)

Any student, faculty member, administrator, or employee, acting individually or in concert with others, who clearly obstructs or disrupts, or attempts to obstruct or disrupt any teaching, research, administrative, disciplinary, or public service activity, or any other activity authorized

to be discharged or held on any campus of the University System is considered by the Board to have committed an act of gross irresponsibility and shall be subject to disciplinary procedures, possibly resulting in dismissal or termination of employment. (BOR Minutes, 1968-69, pp. 166168; 1970-71, p. 98)

121 Sexual Harassment Policy

121.01 Policy Statement

The University of West Georgia (the University) is committed to maintaining a fair and respectful environment for living, working, and studying. To that end, and in accordance with federal and state law and Board of Regents' policy, the University prohibits any member of the faculty, staff, administration, student body, or visitors to campus, whether they be guests, patrons, independent contractors or clients, regardless of the sex of the other party, from sexually harassing any other member of the University community. Reports of sexual harassment will be met with appropriate disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal from the University.

121.02 Definition of Sexual Harassment

Pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title IX of the Educational Amendments of 1972, "sexual harassment" is defined as unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature, when:

1. Submission to such conduct is made either implicitly or explicitly a term or condition of an individual's employment or status in a course, program or activity.
2. Submission or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment or educational decisions affecting such individual.
3. Such conduct has the purpose or effect of interfering with the individual's work or educational performance; of creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working and/or learning environment; or of interfering with one's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity.

121.03 Examples of Sexual Harassment

1. Threats to make an adverse employment or academic decision if another person refuses to engage in sexual activities.
2. Demands that another person engage in sexual activities in order to obtain or retain employment or academic benefits.
3. Promises, implied or direct, to give employment or academic benefits if another person engages in sexual activities.
4. Unwelcome and unnecessary touching or other sexually suggestive physical contact, or threats to engage in such conduct.
5. Indecent exposure.
6. Invasion of sexual privacy.

7. Sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, sexual comments and questions, and other sexually oriented conduct that is directed against a specific individual and persists despite its rejection.
8. Conduct, even that not specifically directed at the complainant, which is sufficiently pervasive, severe or persistent to alter the conditions of the complainant's employment or status as a student and create a hostile working or learning environment, when viewed from the perspective of a reasonable person of the complainant's gender.

121.04 Other Violations of the Sexual Harassment Policy

Other violations of this policy may include, but are not limited to the following:

1. Retaliations against a person who has made a report or filed a complaint alleging sexual harassment, or participated as a witness in a sexual harassment investigation.
2. Disregarding, failing to investigate adequately, or delaying investigation of allegations of sexual harassment, when responsibility for reporting and/or investigating sexual harassment charges comprises part of one's supervisory duties.

121.05 Supervisory Relationships

No individual who is in a position of authority over another, either in the employment or educational context, has the authority to sexually harass others by virtue of his or her supervisory role. The University does not in any way, expressly or implied, condone the harassment of a student or employee by the supervisor.

121.06 Consensual Relationships

When one party has a professional relationship towards the other, or stands in a position of authority over the other, even an apparently consensual sexual relationship may lead to sexual harassment or other breaches of professional obligations.

121.07 Reporting Sexual Harassment

All students and employees are encouraged to report any sexual harassment that they experience, observe, hear about, or believe may be occurring, to any faculty or staff member with whom they feel comfortable. Any person to whom sexual harassment has been reported is responsible for notifying the Title IX officer (X6403). Administrators, directors, and supervisors, in charge of staff members, have a legal obligation to report incidents of sexual harassment to the Affirmative Action officer. From that point forward, the Affirmative Action officer will advise the aggrieved regarding additional steps in the grievance process.

The following offices should be understood to be safe places where students and employees can go to report sexual harassment or receive counseling on how to deal with a sexual harassment issue.

Executive Director of Human Resources Affirmative Action Officer (678) 839-6403	Dean of Students or the Associate Vice President of Student Life (678) 839-6423	Director of Health Services Health Center (678) 839-6452	Title IX Coordinator Human Resources Aycok Hall 678-839-2981
---	--	---	---

121.08 Violations of the Sexual Harassment Policy

The University will not tolerate sexual harassment of its students and will promptly investigate all allegations of sexual harassment. Where sexual harassment is found, steps will be taken to end it immediately. In those instances where it is determined that an individual has sexually harassed another, that individual will be subject to appropriate discipline. The level of discipline will depend on the severity of the harassment. If the investigation reveals a pattern of harassing behavior, or the conduct is aggravated, probation or termination may be appropriate.

To make deliberate false accusations of sexual harassment violates this policy. In such instances, the complainant will be subject to disciplinary action. However, failure to prove a claim of sexual harassment does not constitute proof of a false and/or malicious accusation. Non university visitors, guests, patrons, independent contractors or clients who fail to address sexual harassment of which they know or should have known (by their personnel or on premises under their control) of students or employees may be subjected to whatever sanctions the relationship with the organization permits.

121.09 Prohibition Against Retaliation

Students and employees who, in good faith, report what they believe to be sexual harassment, or who cooperate in any investigation, will not be subjected to retaliation. Any student or employee who believes he/she has been the victim of retaliation for reporting sexual harassment or cooperating in an investigation should immediately contact the affirmative Action/Title IX Officer.

121.10 Processing Sexual Harassment Reports and Complaints

All reports and complaints of sexual harassment will be promptly investigated and appropriate actions will be taken as expeditiously as possible. Complaints and reports of sexual harassment should be reported as soon as possible after the incident(s) in order to be most effectively investigated. The University will make reasonable efforts to protect the rights of both the complainant and the respondent. The University will respect the privacy of the complainant, the individual(s) against whom the complaint is filed, and the witnesses in a manner consistent with the University's legal obligations to investigate, to take appropriate action, and to comply with any discovery or disclosure obligations required by law.

Definitions:

Affirmative Action/Title IX Officer – The Affirmative Action Officer is the individual or individuals designated by the President to be primarily responsible for coordinating education and training about sexual harassment to the University community and for investigating reports and complaints of sexual harassment in accordance with this procedure. Name, telephone number and location in the annual notice will identify the Affirmative Action Officer. The Affirmative Action Officer is authorized to designate other appropriately trained individuals to investigate sexual harassment complaints and reports as deemed appropriate.

Decision-making Authority – the Decision-making Authority in cases involving an employee of the University, is the individual to review investigative reports, to make findings whether the sexual harassment policy has been violated based upon the investigation, and to determine the appropriate action for the University to take based upon the findings. The Decision-making Authority will be the appropriate Vice President or his/her designee who has supervisory authority over the Respondent(s) of the sexual harassment complaint or report. If the complaint Respondent is a Vice President, the Authority will be the President. If the complaint Respondent is the President, the Authority will be the Board of Regents.

- A. Sexual harassment between students should be treated as a disciplinary matter and will be processed by the Division of Student Affairs and Enrollment Management.
- B. Investigation and Resolution. The university's complaint process, outlined herein, is the procedure to be used to end inappropriate behavior, investigate for the purpose of fact finding; and facilitate resolution of complaints involving allegations of sexual harassment. The University reserves the right to investigate and resolve a complaint or report of sexual harassment regardless of whether the complainant pursues the complaint. In such cases, the respondent shall be informed of the status of the investigation at reasonable times up until the University's final disposition of the complaint as well as ensuring that the respondent is able to respond to the substance of the complaint during meetings convened by the Decision-making Authority to consider discipline based upon the substance of the investigation report. These procedures do not replace the right of complainants to pursue other options or remedies available under the law.
- C. Informal Process
The following procedures for informal resolution are optional. The Affirmative Action/Title IX officer shall determine whether and/or how to proceed. The goal of informal resolution is to stop inappropriate behavior, investigate, and facilitate resolutions, if possible.

If a complainant is able and feels safe, he or she should clearly explain to the alleged offender that the behavior is objectionable and request that it cease. The complainant should do so as soon as possible after the incident occurs. The complainant may utilize the assistance of the Affirmative Action/Title IX officer. Communication with the alleged offender may be in person, on the telephone, or in writing.

If a complainant does not feel safe, or if the behavior does not stop, or if the complainant believes some adverse employment or educational consequences may result from the discussion, he or she should go to any member of staff or faculty who is at a higher level of supervision. The supervisor should report the complaint to the Affirmative Action/Title IX officer. The Affirmative Action/Title IX officer will work with the supervisor to facilitate a resolution of sexual harassment complaints at the local level when deemed appropriate.

122 Sexual Misconduct

122.01 Coverage

As a matter of policy, the faculty, staff and students of the University community will not tolerate sexual misconduct.

122.02 Definition

Sexual misconduct is defined as sexual contact without consent by an acquaintance or a stranger and includes, but is not limited to: intentional touching without consent, either of the victim or when the victim is forced to touch, directly or through clothing, another person's genitals, breasts, groin, thighs, buttocks; rape (sexual intercourse without consent whether by an acquaintance or a stranger); aggravated assault; aggravated sodomy (sexual penetration with an object without consent); sodomy (anal or oral intercourse without consent); non-consensual kissing; statutory rape; child molestation; aggravated child molestation; voyeurism; and public indecency. It is a violation of this policy to engage in any form of sexual activity or conduct without the consent of the other person. Such consent may be withdrawn at any time, without regard to activity preceding the withdrawal of consent.

Consent may be found in two forms: actual consent (words, acts, or silence) or apparent consent. Apparent consent must be informed and freely given. The person must act voluntarily and with knowledge of what is occurring. Intoxication, drug use, or other reasons for incapacity are obstacles to consent. A person cannot freely, voluntarily, and with knowledge of the act, be deemed to have consent if she or he is intoxicated, in a drug-induced state, or otherwise incapacitated. The perpetrator's honest but unreasonable belief that the victim has consented does not constitute apparent consent.

122.03 Enforcement Procedures

Any violation of this policy needs to be reported to:

1. University Police at (678) 839-6600
2. Student Health Services (678) 839-6452
3. Title IX Coordinators http://www.westga.edu/titlenine/index_136.php
4. Carroll Rape Crisis Center (770) 834-7273

123 Personal Relationships

While close working relationships are encouraged among faculty, staff, and students, the University strictly prohibits all faculty and staff, including graduate research assistants, from pursuing sexual relationships with undergraduates who they are currently supervising or teaching. It is misconduct, subject to disciplinary action, for an individual to exercise direct supervisory, evaluation, instructional, and/or advising responsibilities, or participate in hiring, retention, promotion, or award decisions, for someone with whom there exists an amorous relationship or to whom they are related by blood, law, or marriage. Both the fact and semblance of any exploitation must be avoided. The relative difference in power – actual or perceived – in working relationships must be recognized by faculty and staff and not be employed to anyone's advantage or disadvantage. Even in relationships that appear consensual, both the power and the trust embedded in the role of teacher renders dubious that student's purported consent.

It is misconduct, subject to disciplinary action for a University employee to engage in sexual activity with any enrolled student of the institution, other than his or her spouse, who is a minor below the age of 18 years.

The University strongly discourages sexual relationships between faculty or administrators and graduate students, as well as between staff members and any subordinates whose work they supervise. Anyone involved in a sexual relationship with someone over whom he or she has supervisory power must recuse himself or herself from decisions that affect the evaluation, employment conditions, instruction, and /or academic status of the subordinate involved.

124 Political Activity

124.01 Employees

As responsible and interested citizens in a democratic society, employees of the University System are encouraged to fulfill their civic obligations and otherwise engage in the normal political processes of society. Nevertheless, it is inappropriate for System personnel to manage or enter political campaigns while on duty to perform services for the System or to hold state or federal office while employed by the System. Therefore, the following policies governing political activities are hereby adopted:

1. Employees may not manage or take an active part in a political campaign while on duty to perform services for which he or she receives compensation from the System.
2. Employees may not hold public or political office at the state or federal level.
3. Employees seeking political office at the state or federal level must first request a leave of absence without pay beginning prior to announcement of candidacy or campaigning and ending after the general or final election. If elected to state or federal office, such persons must resign prior to assuming office.
4. Employees may seek and hold elective or appointive office at other than the state or federal level when authorized to do so by the president of an institution and when

candidacy for or holding of the office does not conflict or interfere with the employees' duties and responsibilities to the institution or System.

124.02 Use of Property in Political Campaigns

The president of each institution may authorize the use of institution facilities for political speeches. However, such use shall be limited to meetings sponsored by recognized organizations of the institution and shall be held only at places designated by the president.

The use of System material, supplies, equipment, machinery, or vehicles in political campaigns is forbidden.

125 Emeritus Status for Faculty and Administrative Officers

125.01 Eligibility

The President may confer, at his discretion, the title of "Emeritus" on any retired professor, associate professor, assistant professor, lecturer, senior lecturer or administrative officer who, at the time of his or her retirement, had ten (10) years or more of honorable and distinguished service at West Georgia. This title may be conferred upon the recommendation of the President of the University of West Georgia ([Section 2.11, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)).

125.02 Criteria

In considering persons from the University of West Georgia for recommendation for the "Emeritus" title, the President shall, in addition to the Board of Regents criteria, base the recommendation upon:

1. Meritorious service.
2. Notable career performance at University of West Georgia.
3. Nomination and recommendation by the appropriate administrative officers in the case of administrative personnel, or by the department, department chair, dean, and the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs in the case of faculty members.

Nominations may be submitted only after the employee has retired.

126 Drug-Free Workplace Policy

As a recipient of Federal funds, University of West Georgia supports and complies with the provisions of the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988. University of West Georgia expects faculty and staff to meet appropriate standards of performance, to observe basic rules of good conduct and to comply with Institutional Policies and Procedures. In the discharge of its responsibilities as an employer, University of West Georgia aggressively promotes and requires a drug free

workplace among its faculty and staff. The unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensation, possession, or use of illegal drugs by employees of University of West Georgia is prohibited by Institutional Policy.

Each employee convicted for felony and/or misdemeanor drug violations of a criminal drug statute will be subject to strong disciplinary action, up to and including termination of employment, or may be required, at the discretion of the University, to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse or rehabilitation program.

In accordance with the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, all University employees must as a condition of employment (i) abide by the University's policy on controlled substances, and (ii) inform the University of any conviction for violating a criminal drug statute in the workplace within 5 days after such conviction.

A current list of available drug counseling, rehabilitation, or treatment centers is maintained by Human Resources for employees who desire information regarding such programs or facilities.

127 Faculty Workload

127.01 Faculty are expected to teach a minimum of four 3-hour courses or the equivalent per semester unless a portion of that time is reassigned by the dean for administrative, research, or other purposes

127.02 Faculty are expected to assume their fair share of academic advising, and program, departmental, school, college, and university committee work.

127.03 Faculty are expected to accept a reasonable share of institution-wide service activities, including institutional governance when selected. However, faculty are also expected to exercise prudence in accepting such service, so that they are not taking on a disproportionate or unduly burdensome load that interferes with teaching and research.

127.04 Faculty are expected to have an on-going research and professional development agenda, to share the agenda with their department chair or equivalent, and to make progress annually in addressing the agenda.

127.05 Faculty are expected to engage in public and professional service activities as time and opportunity allow.

127.06 Faculty are expected to average no more than one day a week in any approved outside employment.

127.07 Faculty may not be paid for teaching overloads during the regular academic year and will not be assigned overloads unless they are agreeable and compensatory time is provided within the subsequent two-semester. Please refer to the BOR Faculty Overloads and Instructional Staff

Responsibilities ([Section 4.10, Academic and Student Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#)).

127.08 Summer teaching is optional, depends on need, and is limited to no more than 9 credit hours for the summer semester.

128 Resignation/Retirement Letters

Faculty members intending to resign or retire should apprise the department chair of their intention as early as possible. The chair should apprise the dean.

At the point that plans are certain, faculty should write to the President, stating their decision and the effective date of their retirement/resignation. They should send copies to the chair, dean, and Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs.

The chair, dean, or Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs should immediately inform the President of any concerns related to a faculty member's retirement/resignation letter.

Section 200 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATED TO TEACHING RESPONSIBILITIES

201 Classroom Procedures

201.01 All syllabi at the University of West Georgia must include the following information: Course title, course learning outcomes, course description, course number and section, term, number of credit hours earned for successful completion, method and mode of delivery (e.g., percentage online versus face-to-face instruction), instructor information (name, office location, contact information, and office hours), required reading(s), software, hardware, and other materials (if applicable), and the system of evaluation and grading.

Each instructor must make the syllabus available to each student on or before the first day of class, and provide access to all course syllabi to the appropriate department office. Each syllabus must include a link to the online University of West Georgia document titled "Common Language for Course Syllabi"

(<https://www.westga.edu/administration/vpaa/common-language-course-syllabi.php>). The following paragraph provides suggested language for insertion in all course syllabi. Faculty may wish to adjust the language, but the link to required information must be in each syllabus.

Students, please carefully review the following information at this link

[<https://www.westga.edu/administration/vpaa/common-language-course-syllabi.php>]. It contains important material pertaining to your rights and responsibilities in this class. Because these statements are updated as federal, state, and accreditation standards change, you should review the information each semester.

201.02 Syllabi for courses that are part of the Core Curriculum must include a statement of the learning outcomes of the relevant section of the Core Curriculum, and the content in each of these courses must adhere to these Core Curriculum learning outcomes.

201.03 Faculty shall include in their syllabi a link to the university's statement on academic dishonesty and the honor code: <https://www.westga.edu/administration/vpaa/common-language-course-syllabi.php>. It is the student's responsibility to comply with the university guidelines on academic honesty regardless of whether or not an instructor reminds students of these policies in class or on a course syllabus. However, faculty members are encouraged to define for their students, either in a course syllabus or in written guidelines presented in class or in electronic form, the standards of proper academic conduct, and what assistance is permissible in the preparation of reports, term or research papers, and outside projects, including policies for the use of materials prepared by the student for other courses and standards of academic honesty on tests.

201.04 Faculty members should promptly return all students' graded academic work. In the case of final exams and other end-of-term work that cannot easily be returned to students, faculty members should keep this work on file for at least one semester and allow each student to review his or her work upon request, in accordance with the USG policy on records retention (USG Records Management and Archives policy 0472-06-012: http://www.usg.edu/records_management/schedules/934).

201.05 Faculty members with instructional responsibility must allow students to evaluate the course and quality of instruction in the final two weeks of classes. For more detail on the evaluation form and procedures, see Section 103.06.

201.06 In the case of student absences, instructors have full discretion over all makeup work assignments. Short-term excused absences: Students who will miss class while officially representing the University in sanctioned events or for religious holidays must consult with their instructors about anticipated absences. Students shall be accommodated, as the professor deems reasonable.

Instructors must grant excused absences to students who must miss class in order to vote in a national, state, or local election ([Section 4.1.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)).

Long-term absences: Students who are absent for more than a week of class are required to do all of the following if they want to request accommodation or the opportunity for make-up work must notify the instructor. Regardless of the reason for the absence, each student is responsible for the material covered in class, for completing any assignments, and for making specific arrangements with the instructor for any work missed. The degree to which missed work can be made up will depend upon the nature of the work and its intended purpose.

Any student who is unable to continue attendance in class should examine available options (i.e. make appropriate arrangements with the instructor, drop the course (during the Drop/Add Period

only), withdraw from the course, hardship withdrawal for the semester, or withdraw from the University).

201.07 If a faculty member is absent for a prolonged period, he or she shall, with the approval of the department chair or dean, provide for alternative means of delivery of course material.

In the event of emergency situations (such as weather-related closings) in which classes are cancelled due to university policy, faculty are responsible for communicating with students regarding alternative means of meeting the course learning outcomes.

202 Record of Student Absences/Attendance

All faculty (teaching face-to-face and/or online courses) shall state their attendance expectations and requirements in the syllabus. Instructors of online courses should define attendance based upon students accessing the course via the online platform or by other action as specified by the instructor.

Faculty must accurately identify students who never attended the course prior to the deadline for roster verification. Faculty wishing to drop a student during the Drop/Add period must contact the Registrar's Office.

Per the Class Roster (203) policy, faculty must indicate on each class roster the attendance of all students. Accurate attendance information is important for a variety of stakeholders, including Financial Aid (accuracy of aid issued and Title IV regulations), Student Affairs (housing, health services, etc.), and Business and Finance (withdraw refunds).

Verification of attendance is also important because grades of I, U, or F require entering a last date of attendance per the Registrar's Office. Faculty will not be able to submit grades until this field is populated for these grades (I, U, or F). All other grades (A-D or S) do not require a last date of attendance.

Excused absences: Students are encouraged to vote in all federal, state, and local elections. Board of Regents' policy states: "A student whose class schedule would otherwise prevent him or her from voting will be permitted an excused absence for the interval reasonably required for voting" (BOR Minutes, 1977-78, p. 245) ([Section 4.1.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)).

203 Class Rolls

On the first day of class and during the Drop/Add Period, the instructor should check the BanWeb class roll for students officially enrolled in his/her courses.

An email announcement is sent to all faculty when official class roll verification must be done. Faculty indicate on each class roll those students who have never attended and those students who have attended.

Under no circumstances should a student be permitted to remain in class unless his or her name appears on the official class roll. The student should be sent to the Registrar's Office to determine why his/her name is not on the roll.

Certain courses such as colloquia, special topics, and directed readings provide for a varying number of hours of credit and a specific title. If such a course is being created for an individual student, the student must obtain an independent study form from the instructor, have it approved by the department chairperson and submit it to the Registrar's Office during registrations or the Drop/Add Period. Faculty members teaching these courses should check their rolls carefully and report to the Registrar's Office any discrepancy in number of hours, names of students working with the professor and specific titles of the course. This information must be reported to the Registrar at the time designated by the Registrar. Some of the information is required to bill the student for the proper amount of fees.

204 Reporting Grades and Withdrawal Policy

Reporting Grades Procedure:

The University of West Georgia follows the uniform grading system of the University System of Georgia ([Section 3.5, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)). Final grades should never be posted publicly, as this is prohibited under Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974.

Final grades are entered on BanWeb at the end of each term. Detailed instructions for reporting grades on BanWeb can be found on the [Registrar's Faculty and Staff Resources web page](#). Faculty members are expected to meet the announced deadlines for entering final grades. For each course, faculty members will receive an email that confirms all grades have been successfully submitted or an email that indicates grades are missing.

Change Grade Procedure:

Grade Changes can be done in BanWeb until time listed by Registrar's office on the official due date each term. ALL Grades Changes after the deadline will require a Grade Change Form. The instructor, department chair, and the Registrar's Office must approve the grade change.

Incomplete Work Procedure:

A grade of "I" may be given in lieu of a final grade when a student with work of an acceptable quality (at least a D) is unable to complete the course requirements or take the final examination for non-academic reasons beyond his or her control. Please contact your department chair, program director, or college/school dean for specifics regarding the Incomplete Grade Form. It is the responsibility of the student receiving an "I" to arrange with the instructor (or the department chair/program director if the instructor is not available) to complete the required work. An undergraduate student must coordinate with the faculty member to resolve an "I" during the succeeding semester of enrollment or within one year, whichever comes first; otherwise, the grade will be changed to "F." Graduate students must coordinate with the faculty member to resolve an "I" within one calendar year or the "I" becomes an "F." A student

completing the work for a course in which an “I” was received should never re-enroll in the course in a subsequent semester.

204.01 Hardship Withdrawal Policy

Students may request a hardship withdrawal after the official withdrawal Drop/Add deadline published in the UWG semester term calendar until the Friday immediately prior to the final week of the term.

What warrants a Hardship Withdrawal?

A hardship withdrawal is an exception based on unusual or emergency circumstances beyond the student’s control. Categories of hardship include physical, psychological, and personal.

Documentation for a hardship withdrawal is based upon the category of hardship claimed by the student. Examples of documentation might include the following:

- Physical: Physician’s report, including name, address, phone number, nature of illness or accidents, dates of treatment, prognosis, and recommendation.
- Psychological: Memo from the Counseling Center counselor or letter from private psychological or psychiatric service, illness, and dates.
- Personal/Familial: Copy of divorce papers, police reports, obituaries, other as relevant.

A list of invalid reasons for a hardship withdrawal is provided in the Hardship Withdrawal Policy, located in the [University of West Georgia Student Handbook](#).

What is the process for receiving a hardship withdrawal?

University of West Georgia undergraduate and graduate students may request a hardship withdrawal or may be administratively withdrawn from the university. Please see the University of West Georgia [Registrar’s Office website](#) and [University of West Georgia Student Handbook](#) for details. Students must initiate a hardship withdrawal using the [Request for Hardship Withdrawal form](#) found on the [website of the Provost and Vice President of Academic Affairs](#).

204.02 Limited Course Withdrawals

Undergraduate students may withdraw from courses with a grade of “W” a maximum of six times during their entire undergraduate enrollment at the University of West Georgia. After the limit of six withdrawals is reached, students are permitted to request exceptions only for circumstances beyond their control. Please see the University of West Georgia [Registrar’s Office Website](#) for details.

Students must withdraw from courses during the Withdrawal “W” Period, as noted on the Registrar’s Calendar. Retroactive withdrawals for prior terms are not permitted. The Withdrawal “W” Period typically begins after Drop/Add and closes at mid-term. Grades of “W” do not count toward the grade point average.

See the Registrar's Limited Course Withdrawals website for examples of the different types of allowable withdrawals and the financial or academic consequences that may result from these withdrawals.

205 Final Examinations

Final examinations are held at the end of each term in accordance with a published schedule. No final examinations may be given in advance of the date scheduled unless authorized by the dean of the appropriate college. If a student has more than two final exams scheduled in a single day, he or she may reschedule all but two of them through the cooperation of faculty members, department chairs, college deans, and if necessary, the Office of the VPAA.

206 Academic Honesty/Dishonesty

Academic Honor at West Georgia

Academic honesty is essential in preserving one's own integrity, the integrity of the institution, and in gaining a true education. The UWG Honor Code states that "we believe that academic and personal integrity are based upon honesty, trust, fairness, respect and responsibility." The code further states that UWG students assume responsibility for upholding the honor code and that they "pledge to refrain from engaging in acts that do not maintain academic and personal integrity. These include, but are not limited to, plagiarism, cheating, fabrication, aid of academic dishonesty, lying, bribery or threats, and stealing."

Just as complete honesty should be the instructor's standard in his or her presentation of material, this same standard should be demanded from students when they complete assignments. (For example, tests, reports, projects, and term papers.) Every instructor has the responsibility to inculcate in students the ideal of academic honesty and to take all practical precautions against its violation. The instructor or advisor should communicate with the student whom he or she suspects of violating the Honor Code. The instructor/advisor should inform the student of the academic penalty he or she intends to institute per the syllabus ([UWG Student Handbook](#)).

Academic dishonesty on the part of the student shall be interpreted to mean cheating, i.e., the obtaining and using of information during an examination by means other than those permitted by the instructor, including the supplying of such information to other students. Academic dishonesty shall also include plagiarism, i.e., the purchase and use of ghost-written papers and reports, or excessive collaboration (incorporating into a report, term theme, research paper, or project, ideas and information obtained from another resource or person without giving credit to resource or the person from whom such information was obtained). Further, inclusion of the published or unpublished writings of another person without duly noting these sources according to normal scholarly procedures shall be considered plagiarism. No material prepared to meet the requirements in one course may be used to fulfill the requirements in another without permission of the instructor.

All faculty members should promote academic honesty, not only through their own standards of scholarly conduct, but also by anticipating conditions which may lead to dishonesty on the student's part. Suspicion is not a sound basis for a healthy educational environment, and the instructor must judge those instances where his or her trust will encourage responsibility rather than cheating.

Each college or school should utilize best practices to discourage academic dishonesty.

In cases of suspected academic dishonesty, the instructor will communicate the concerns with the student. After communicating with the student, the instructor should send a brief report of the case, including the breach of academic integrity and supporting documentation to the Office of Community Standards. The case then becomes part of the student's conduct record at UWG. The submitted report will be automatically forwarded to the Associate or Assistant Dean of the College/School or Library in which the alleged incident took place ([UWG Student Handbook](#)). The student may appeal this action to the department chair and through regular administration channels to the Grade Appeals Subcommittee of the Academic Policies and Procedures Committee ([please see Section 207 of the Faculty Handbook](#)).

207 Academic Appeals

207.01 Confidentiality

Due to the sensitive nature of any appeals hearing, confidentiality will be respected in a manner consistent with relevant state law and University System of Georgia policy.

207.02 Categories of Academic-Based Appeals

There are three categories of academic-based appeals. All three are initiated by the student.

- Admission to the University (Section 207.03)
- Grade Appeals (Section 207.04), of which there are two kinds: Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeals and Grade Determination Appeals
- Academic Suspension or Academic Dismissal from the University (Section 207.05). Academic suspension (term or one year) or academic dismissal may only be reviewed through a grade appeal (207.04) or hardship withdrawal (204.01).

Sections 207.03 and 207.04 identify the two university committees established to hear admission appeals and grade appeals and include the general processes and procedures that should be followed. Given the variability and uniqueness of individual circumstances, the chairperson of a respective committee may, in consultation with respective parties, suggest alternative actions/processes as issues present themselves.

- Committee for Admission Appeals
- Committee for Grade Appeals

207.03 Appeals of Admission to the University

- A. **Applicants.** Undergraduate applicants to the university who have been denied admission or readmission may appeal that decision by submitting an appeal to the Admission Appeals committee. Applicants are advised to communicate with the Office of Undergraduate Admissions for instructions. Graduate applicants who are denied admission to a graduate program may appeal that decision by submitting an appeal to the relevant College or School.
- B. **The committee for Admission Appeals.** The Admission Appeals committee hears appeals made by undergraduate applicants for admission or readmission to the university.
1. **Summary.** After a student has petitioned the appropriate administrative officials in the Office of Undergraduate Admissions, he or she has the right to appeal an adverse decision by such officials in cases of admission or related matters. Appeals must be made in writing with supporting evidence, as determined by the Admissions Appeals committee.
 2. **Responsibilities of the Admission Appeals Subcommittee.** The Admission Appeals committee hears appeals made by undergraduate applicants for admission or readmission to the university.
 - a. **Appeal(s) Hearing.** Appeal(s) hearings may be scheduled regularly or as needed.
 - i. The Director of Admissions (or designee) will be responsible for distributing appropriate materials to committee members and for scheduling each appeal(s) hearing.
 - ii. The Admissions Appeals committee chairperson will be responsible for chairing the hearing and for conveying recommendations of the committee in writing to the Director of Admissions.
 - b. **Electronic Polling.** At the discretion of the chairperson, an electronic poll of the committee will suffice in lieu of an appeal(s) hearing.
 3. **Admission Appeals committee Membership.** The committee shall consist of seven faculty members (one from each college, the School of Nursing, and the Library) and four university officials. The university officials are: the Associate Vice President for Enrollment Management (voting), the Registrar (voting), the Director of The Center for Academic Success (voting), and the Director of Admissions (non-voting).
 - a. **Chairperson.** The Associate Vice President for Enrollment Management shall serve as the chairperson of the Admission Appeals committee.
 - b. **Faculty Members.** Each Dean, in consultation with the chairperson of the Admission Appeals committee, will appoint a faculty member to the committee. Appointments shall be made in May to replace faculty members whose terms have expired. An appointment to fill a vacancy should be made when the vacancy occurs.
 - i. **Length of Service.** Faculty members serve two-year (staggered) terms starting with the summer semester.
 - ii.

- ii. **Timing of Appointment.** Deans shall make appointments in May to replace faculty members whose terms have expired. An appointment to fill a vacancy shall be made when the vacancy occurs.
 - c. **Quorum.** Any five members of the committee, at least three of whom must be faculty, shall constitute a quorum. In the case where a quorum is not available and the appeal(s) hearing cannot be delayed, the chairperson may request that the Provost or Associate Vice President for Enrollment Management appoint substitutes to serve on a temporary basis.
- C. Ultimately, final authority for all student appeals rests with the president of the institution. ([See Section 4.7.1. Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)).

207.04 Grade Appeal

A. Summary.

1. **The Committee for Grade Appeals.** The Grade Appeals committee hears both Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeals and Grade Determination Appeals. The grade appeal procedure is explained in Section 207.04(F). Fairness and procedural safeguards are listed in Section 207.04(G).
2. **Student's Right to Appeal.** Students have the right to appeal a grade by initiating an Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeal or Grade Determination Appeal with the chair of the department, or the associate dean if a chair of the department does not exist, that offers the course.
3. **Timetable for Grade Appeals.** Grade appeals shall be initiated by the student no later than the end of the semester following the assignment of the grade and concluded no later than one year (12 calendar months) after the assignment of the grade

B. Definitions. There are two kinds of grade appeals.

1. **Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeal.** If the faculty member assigned the grade due to an allegation of cheating, plagiarism, or some other act of academic dishonesty and the student wishes to pursue the appeal, his or her case should be considered an Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeal.
2. **Grade Determination Appeal.** If the reasons underlying the appeal are based on policy disagreements or alleged charges of arbitrary or unfair treatment by the involved faculty member, the appeal should be considered a Grade Determination Appeal.

C. Responsibilities of the Grade Appeals Subcommittee of the Academic policies and Procedures Committee. The Grade Appeals Subcommittee hears both Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeals and Grade Determination Appeals. The chairperson of the committee will be responsible, in conjunction with the Office of the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, for distributing appropriate materials to committee members, for announcing in advance the time and place of each scheduled appeal(s)

hearing, and for conveying recommendations of the committee in writing to the Provost (or Provost's designee). The protocol for the Grade Appeals Subcommittee hearing can be found on the Academic Policies and Procedures Committee webpage.

(<https://www.westga.edu/administration/vpaa/faculty-senate/assets/docs/HearingProtocolforGradeAppeals.pdf>).

1. **Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeals.** In cases where there are allegations of academic improprieties, it is assumed that these cases will be related to the course. It would be expected that a faculty member who has noted improprieties would have taken some form of corrective action (see Section 206).
 - a. The purpose of the Grade Appeals committee in hearing this type of student complaint is (1) to determine if academic improprieties did take place and (2) to review the appropriateness of the faculty member's corrective action as it relates to final grade assignment.
 - b. Fairness and procedural safeguards for Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeals, Section 207.04(G)(1)(c), state that the burden of demonstrating a preponderance of evidence shall rest upon the officials or faculty member who originated an action against a student or assigned for cause a particular grade.
 2. **Grade Determination Appeals.** Educational institutions have the responsibility for evaluating students by standards and using a grading system that is publicized and known to faculty and students. The responsibility for determining the grade of each student rests on the faculty member who has responsibility for teaching the course in which the student is enrolled. If a student feels unfairly treated by a faculty member in terms of the assignment of the final course grade, the student can initiate a Grade Determination Appeal.
 - a. The purpose of the Grade Appeals committee hearing this type of student complaint is to review the totality of the student's performance in relationship to his or her final grade.
 - b. Fairness and procedural safeguards for Grade Determination Appeals, Section 207.04(G)(2)(c), state that the burden of demonstrating a preponderance of evidence of arbitrary or unfair grading rests on the student. The student should realize such a charge is a serious one and refrain from taking capricious action.
- D. **Membership of the Grade Appeals committee.** The committee shall consist of seven faculty members (one from each college, the School of Nursing, and the Library), one University official, and one student.
1. **Chairperson.** The University official shall serve as the chairperson of the Grade Appeals committee.
 2. **Faculty Members.** Each Dean, in consultation with the chairperson of the Grade Appeals committee, will appoint a faculty member to the committee each year.
 - a. **Length of Service.** The length of service on this committee shall be for two-year (staggered) terms starting with the fall semester.

- a. **Department Chair**, or the associate dean if a chair of the department does not exist. Upon receipt of the written grade appeal, the Chair (1) consults with the student, (2) determines whether the grade appeal should be considered as an Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeal or a Grade Determination Appeal, (3) shares the grade appeal with the faculty member and after review the faculty member may (but is not required to) submit a narrative and any supporting documentation, (4) examines the available documentation and (5) grants the appeal and changes the grade, or denies the appeal. The Chair notifies the student of the decision in writing within 20 days of receiving the Student Grade Appeal Form and supporting documentation. If the Chair denies the appeal, the written notification to the student should explain the student's right to appeal to the Dean (or Dean's designee). If the appeal is denied, the student may accept the decision and end the appeal process, or request that the appeal and all associated documentation be forwarded to the Dean (or Dean's designee).
 - b. **Dean (or Dean's Designee)**. The Dean/designee reviews the appeal and grants or denies the appeal. The Dean/designee notifies the student of the decision in writing within 20 days of receiving the Student Grade Appeal Form and All related documentation. If the Dean/designee denies the appeal, the written notification to the student should explain the student's right to appeal to the Office of the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. If the appeal is denied, the student may accept the decision and end the appeal process, or request that the appeal and all associated documentation be forwarded to the Provost (or Provost's designee).
 - c. **Provost (or Provost's Designee)**. The Provost/designee submits the appeal to the chairperson of the Grade Appeals committee within 5 days of receiving the Student Grade Appeal Form and all related documentation for a hearing to be scheduled.
 - d. **Grade Appeals committee**. At the conclusion of the hearing of the Grade Appeals committee, the chairperson of the committee will submit in writing conclusions and recommendations to the Provost/designee for information, review, and additional action. (For example, change of grade or further judicial sanctions). The Chair shall only vote to break a tie. If a majority of the members of the Grade Appeals committee does not grant the appeal, the decision of the Dean stands. Ultimately, final authority for all student appeals rests with the president of the institution. ([See Section 4.7.1, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)).
2. **Timetable of Appeals**
- a. **Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeal**. This appeal is defined in 207.04(B)(1). An Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeal may be made as soon as a grade penalty on the grounds of academic dishonesty has been levied against a student. The appeal must be concluded no later than one year (12 months) after the grade is assigned.

- b. **Grade Determination Appeal.** This appeal is defined in 207.04(B)(2). A Grade Determination Appeal shall be initiated after the final course grade is assigned, but no later than the end of the semester following the assignment of the grade, and concluded no later than one year (12 months) after the final course grade is assigned.
3. **Documentation Required for the Appeal.** A student must submit the Student Grade Appeal Form and any supporting paperwork to the Department Chair.

G. Fairness and Procedural Safeguards

1. **Academic Dishonesty Grade Appeals.** In order to guarantee fairness and proper procedural safeguards for all concerned, the subcommittee shall be guided by the following procedures:
 - a. The committee will hear a case only if the student has exhausted all administrative remedies through the appropriate department chair and his or her college/school/library dean
 - b. The committee chairperson will consult with both the faculty member and student concerning the hearing procedures, the time, date, and place of the hearing and will ensure relevant materials reach all parties in a timely fashion.
 - c. The burden of demonstrating a preponderance of evidence shall rest upon the officials or faculty member who originated an action against a student or assigned for cause a particular grade.
 - d. The student appearing before the subcommittee shall have the right to be assisted by an advisor of his or her choice.
 - e. During the hearing the student shall have the opportunity to testify and to present evidence and witnesses on his or her behalf. He or she shall have opportunity to hear and question adverse witnesses. In no case shall the committee consider statements against a student unless the student has been given an opportunity to rebut unfavorable inferences that might otherwise be drawn.
 - f. All matters upon which a decision will be based must be introduced at the proceeding before the committee. Any conclusions drawn by the committee shall be based solely upon such evidence.
 - g. In the absence of a transcript, an audio recording of the hearing shall be made.
 - h. Appellants who fail to appear after proper notice will have their cases heard in absentia. The chairperson of the committee will submit in writing conclusions and recommendations to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs (or Provost's designee).
2. **Grade Determination Appeals.** In order to guarantee procedural fairness to both the student and the faculty member involved, the following procedures shall guide such hearings:
 - a. The committee will hear the case only if the student has exhausted all administrative remedies through the appropriate department chair and his or her college/school/library dean.

- b. The committee chairperson will consult with both the faculty member and student concerning the hearing procedures, the time, date, and place of the hearing and will ensure relevant materials reach all parties in a timely fashion.
- c. The burden of demonstrating a preponderance of evidence of arbitrary or unfair grading rests on the student. The student should realize such a charge is a serious one and refrain from taking capricious action.
- d. Both the student and faculty member shall be given an opportunity to present his or her case and to refute the case presented by the other.
- e. All matters upon which a recommendation will be based must be introduced during the hearing before the committee. Recommendations shall be based solely upon such evidence.
- f. Appellants who fail to appear after proper notice will have their cases heard in absentia.
- g. The chairperson of the committee will submit in writing conclusions and recommendations to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs (or Provost's designee).

207.05 Appeals of Academic Suspension or Academic Dismissal from the University

Academic suspension (term or one year) or academic dismissal may only be reviewed through a grade appeal (207.04) or hardship withdrawal (204.01). The suspension or dismissal will not be overturned until the grade appeal or hardship withdrawal has been processed.

208 Faculty Office Hours

Recognizing that courses are delivered using both online and face-to-face formats, office hours should reflect the approach used by students to communicate within a particular course. This may include setting in-office hours and/or establishing weekly virtual office hours. In accordance with [Section 2.18 Academic and Student Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#), the instructor and student should make every effort to be available during instructor's office hours for discussion of the student's academic standing prior to the midpoint of the total grading period (particularly for classes that use subjective grading). Conferences at other hours should be available by appointment for the mutual conveniences of students and the faculty member. Faculty must establish office hours in accordance with their academic unit and must note them on the class syllabus. The result must lead to effective and timely communication with students.

209 Field Trips

Field trips are of recognized value in the educational process, but it should be recognized that a problem arises if a student is asked to miss other classes to make the trip. Field trips should have the approval of the dean of the appropriate college, who should be provided with a list of the students who are to participate, the time of departure, expected time of return, and purpose of the trip. When field trips have been approved, the instructor should provide each student who is

to participate with a notice that may be shown to those other instructors from whose class the participant will be absent.

Whenever practical, the university bus or vans should be used as the means of transportation for field trips. Drivers of personal automobiles on trips carrying University students are liable for damages for negligence, just as they would be under other circumstances. (See "Faculty Liability," and "Use of Buses and Vans" in this handbook.)

210 Procedures for Effecting Curriculum Changes

The Undergraduate Academic Programs Committee of the Faculty Senate is charged with the responsibility of reviewing each proposal for a change in the undergraduate curriculum (adding, dropping, or modifying course offerings, degree programs, or majors). The committee recommends approval of the proposed change in degree programs, certificate programs or majors to the Senate. Changes in undergraduate courses which do not impact the substance of an academic program or major do not require Senate action and, once approved by the committee, will be sent to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs. These course approvals are taken to the Senate on information purposes. The Senate Committee on Graduate Studies has similar responsibilities with respect to graduate courses and programs. Courses which are cross listed as both undergraduate and graduate courses require approval of both the Undergraduate Academic Programs Committee and the Committee on Graduate Studies.

Proposals for curriculum changes are normally initiated by an approved advisory group or a department and require approval by the departmental faculty and the appropriate college dean. Undergraduate changes are sent by the dean to the Undergraduate Academic Programs Committee. Graduate curriculum changes require the approval of the graduate faculty of a college (or the advisory board authorized in the by-laws of the college) and the appropriate college dean before being sent to the Committee on Graduate Studies. Cross-listed undergraduate/graduate courses need the approval of both the undergraduate and graduate committees.

The form and content of the documentation required to obtain Senate Committee approval can be obtained from the dean of the appropriate College.

Proposals must be sent to the committee before November 1 if the proposed changes are to be printed in the next edition of the University catalog.

211 Policies Governing Textbook Selection and Ordering

(Approved by Faculty Senate October 13, 2017)

Faculty may require students to purchase textbooks. All textbook orders, either required or recommended, by faculty must be sent to the University Bookstore by the designated bookstore due date, prior to the beginning of the semester. Early textbook adoptions are highly

recommended to allow the University Bookstore time to locate used copies of books to sell as “used” or “rental” to reduce student costs.

Changes to bookstore orders will require approval from either the professor or department chair. The University Bookstore is responsible for accurate record keeping and for proper inventory management to ensure that the needs of the faculty and students are met.

A consideration of student costs by faculty is essential during the textbook adoption process in order to offer the best value to students. Faculty should order textbook bundles only if the supplements included in the bundle are necessary. In addition, new editions of textbooks should be required only if a substantive change in the content exists, as textbooks should be adopted for as long as possible (multiple semesters). Faculty should also consider the use of various technological innovations to reduce overall textbook costs such as electronic textbooks (eBooks), online textbooks, open-source materials, and institutionally produced materials.

Ideally, all sections of a multi-sectioned course should use the same textbook to reduce student costs. Every department, school or college is urged to make a conscientious effort to hold to a minimum the number of different texts used in different sections of a multi-sectioned course.

Faculty may require textbooks authored by themselves and immediate family members with approval of a third-party reviewer (e.g., Dean, Associate Dean, or Department Chair). The third-party reviewer should be satisfied that the work is equivalent in quality to other texts available. Under no circumstances should a faculty member, or their immediate family, have any financial interest in the publishing company or take advantage of financial incentives such as the assignment or reselling of textbooks by publishers ([Section 2.19, Academic and Student Affairs Handbook, University System of Georgia](#)).

212 Faculty Absences

If absences prevent a faculty member from providing the required minimum number of hours of instruction during a course's designated class meeting times, the faculty member must make alternative arrangements for providing this instruction. It is the responsibility of the faculty member's college or school to ensure that the required number of hours of instruction are provided (according to [Section 3.4.4, Board of Regents Policy, University System of Georgia](#), one credit hour is defined as 750 minutes of instructional time).

213 Faculty Liability

The Board of Regents maintains professional liability coverage which is designed to protect employees of the University System against possible claims arising from activities associated with their employment. Although the coverage extends to general liability, it specifically *excludes* the operation of motor vehicles. University-owned motor vehicles and mobile equipment are covered by liability insurance.

The Office of the Vice President for Business and Finance should be notified when there is the slightest reason to expect legal action to be taken on the part of the injured party. (Revised May 27, 1983 by Faculty Senate)

214 Release of Information about Students

(Article V. Section 1, *Policies and Procedures*)

Students of the University of West Georgia have the right to assurance that their academic records, compiled and maintained by the University, will be recorded accurately and maintained in confidence in accordance with the provisions of the privacy of information act ([Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974](#)).

Transcripts of educational records shall contain only information about academic status, except that disciplinary action shall be recorded in cases where it affects the student's eligibility to reregister.

Disciplinary and counseling files shall be maintained separately from academic records and shall not be available to an authorized persons on campus nor to any person off campus without the written consent of the student involved, except under legal compulsion or in cases where the health or welfare of persons or the safety of property are involved or when parents of dependent students execute a notarized affidavit obtained from the Registrar.

No record shall be kept which reflects the political activities or beliefs of students. Provision shall be made for periodic routine destruction of noncurrent disciplinary records when appropriate administrative authorization is granted by the university.

The University shall make every endeavor to keep the student's record confidential and out of the hands of those who would use it for other than legitimate purposes. All members of the faculty, administration, and clerical staff must respect the confidential nature of the student's record. At the same time, the University shall be flexible enough in its policies not to hinder the student, the institution, or the community in their legitimate pursuits.

215 Faculty-Student Relationships

(see Section 109)

SECTION 300 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATED TO SERVICE RESPONSIBILITIES

301 Advisement

The faculty advisor should bear in mind the extreme importance of his or her role and know the degree requirements of their individual programs.

The advisor should make every effort to assist the student and, if necessary, refer the student to the appropriate campus agency. The advisor functions in a strictly advisory capacity and should not attempt to force adherence to the advisor's wishes. Although the advisor is expected to know university policy and curricula, it is the student's responsibility to fulfill all degree requirements.

Undergraduate advisement:

University of West Georgia undergraduate students will receive information about advising and registration from the University of West Georgia Advising Center. Please see the University of West Georgia Advising Center [website](#) for details. Faculty should also consult with their individual college or school regarding academic advisement requirements.

Graduate advisement:

University of West Georgia graduate students will receive information about advising and registration directly from the college/school to which they are enrolled.

302 Counseling

In addition to the counseling normally performed by the student's advisor and instructors, the university provides free counseling for students. Qualified personnel administer diagnostic tests and offer professional counseling services to deal with personal, educational, and career problems.

Any faculty member who believes that a student is in need of these services should refer the student to the Counseling Center.

303 Orientation

Orientation for first year and transfer students is held three (3) times a year at the beginning of the fall, spring, and summer semesters. In addition, several two-day orientations are held during the summer for students who will be entering fall semester. During these summer orientation sessions, parent meetings are held concurrently with student meetings.

The purpose of orientation is to acquaint the student with the University of West Georgia, its services, activities, rules and regulations; to provide initial academic advisement and registration for upcoming classes; and to provide an initial social and academic niche in which the student may feel comfortable. Each student is provided the opportunity to be advised by a faculty member from the student's major field of interest or, if undecided, to be advised by a faculty member in the Advising Center. Prior to orientation, students are given the opportunity to take placement tests.

Effective orientation requires the combined efforts of faculty, staff, and students. Faculty participation is requested through the appropriate deans or supervisors.

304 Participation in College Affairs

See Sections 101.02 (Minimum Criteria for Appointment), 103.03 (Time Limits and Minimum Criteria for Promotion) and 103.04 (Minimum Tenure Criteria).

305 Participation in Civic Affairs

(See Section 109.)

306 Participation in Convocations and Commencement Services

306.01 Faculty.

Attendance at convocation and commencement is important to the institution and faculty are expected to attend. Once committed to attend, faculty who need to be excused from convocation and commencement services should get approval from their dean.

Members of the full-time faculty are expected to attend formal academic exercises of the University. Academic regalia is required for formal participation in convocations, graduation, and at other occasions when prescribed. Each faculty member is expected to furnish his or her own regalia.

306.02 Faculty Marshals

Marshals are appointed by the dean of each college or school for each academic year. Once appointed, marshals negotiate among themselves to determine which among them will lead the convocation for these events (commencement ceremonies and honors convocations) for the year.

Note:

In consultation with the Provost office, each college or school is authorized to create their own guidelines regarding who participates in convocation and commencement services.

SECTION 400 POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATED TO PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

401 Research, Research Grants, and Sponsored Operations Projects

Research is one of the areas in which a faculty member is evaluated for promotion and tenure. (See Sections 103.03 and 103.04 in this Handbook.) The Office of Research and Sponsored Projects (ORSP) provides individual support to faculty and staff who seek external funding to support scholarly, creative, and institutional initiatives.

Faculty who are applying for external grants that will be administered by the university shall contact the ORSP before applying for the grants. The final grant application must be submitted to ORSP at least five business days before the sponsor deadline. Faculty who are applying for internal funds should follow the instructions set by the grant administrator.

402 Intellectual Property Policy

The University of West Georgia, hereinafter referred to as the "University," or "UWG," is dedicated to teaching, research, and the extension of knowledge to the public. Members of the UWG faculty, staff, and student body recognize among UWG's major objectives the production and dissemination of knowledge. Inherent in these objectives is the need to encourage the production of creative and scholarly works and the development of new and useful materials, devices, processes, and other inventions, some of which may have potential for commercialization. Such activities contribute to the professional development of the individuals involved, enhance the reputation of the University, provide additional educational opportunities for participating students, and promote the general welfare of the public at large.

Such creative and scholarly works and inventions that have commercial potential may be protected under the laws of various countries that establish rights called "Intellectual Property" (IP), a term that includes patents, copyrights, trade secrets, trademarks, plant variety protection, and other rights. Such Intellectual Property often comes about because of activities of University Personnel who have been aided wholly or in part through use of facilities of the University. It becomes significant, therefore, to insure the use of such Intellectual Property for the public good and to expedite its development and marketing. The rights and privileges, as well as the incentive, of the authors, creators, or inventors, hereinafter referred to as the "Originators," must be preserved so that the use of their abilities and the abilities of others at the University may be further encouraged and stimulated.

In order to establish the respective rights and obligations of the University, its faculty, students, and other employees in Intellectual Property of all kinds now and hereafter existing and of all countries, regions or other political entities, the University has established the following Intellectual Property Policy.

402.01 Applicability

The University of West Georgia (UWG) Intellectual Properties (IP) Policy ([see Section 6.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)), relates both to individual and University IP rights, and applies to all full-time or part-time members of the faculty, staff, or student body of the University and extends to anyone receiving compensation or funding from the University, or funds administered by the University. This UWG IP Policy is in compliance with the University System of Georgia Board of Regents' Intellectual Properties Policy.

402.02 Background

The Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia has established [Institutional Procedures Section](#), which stipulates that: "Each institution of the System is required to develop policies and procedures for the administration of this Intellectual Property Policy" ([Section 6.3, Board of Regents Policy Manual, University System of Georgia](#)). In many instances, IP may become, in whole or in part, the property of the Board of Regents. When this IP Policy speaks to

ownership of IP by UWG, the Board of Regents is the owner, unless the Board of Regents has transferred ownership to an affiliated nonprofit organization of UWG.

402.03 Definitions

“Biological Materials”: Materials that include, but are not limited to, chemical compounds of biological origin, drugs, mutants, genetically engineered organisms, antibodies, hybridomas, cell lines, sera, supernatants, vectors, antigens, cDNAs, ESTs, and SNPs, and chemical compounds including enzymes and derivatives thereof.

“Copyrighted Materials”: Includes the following, regardless of their medium of storage or presentation: (1) books, journal articles, texts, glossaries, bibliographies, study guides, laboratory manuals, syllabi, tests, and proposals; (2) lectures, musical, dramatic, or multimedia compositions, unpublished scripts; (3) films, charts, transparencies, electronic presentations, and other visual aids; (4) video and audio recordings in any form; (5) live video and audio broadcasts, and recordings thereof; (6) programmed instructional materials, including materials for on-line or otherwise electronically distributed instruction; (7) mask works; (8) research notes, research data reports, and research notebooks; and (9) other materials or works other than software which qualify for protection under the copyright laws of the United States (See 17 U.S.C. § 102 *et seq.*) or other protective statutes whether or not registered thereunder.

“Originator”: The creator, author, inventor, or similar person and that person’s executor, heirs, successors, and assigns.

“Faculty Member, Staff Member, and Student”: For purposes of this IP policy, students are persons who are enrolled in any course at UWG (or who were so enrolled at any time in connection with the production of the intellectual property in question). A faculty or staff member is any person who is employed on a full-time or part-time basis by UWG (or who was so employed at any time in connection with the production of the intellectual property in question).

“Intellectual Property” (IP): Patentable materials, biological materials, copyrighted materials, trademarks, software, and trade secrets, whether or not formal protection is sought.

“Incidental use of University Resources”: Use of university resources that is customary or usual given the employee’s appointment and academic assignments. For example, use of office, computer, photocopier, telephone, office supplies, library, and other assigned resources in the ordinary support of university educational, scholarly or creative responsibilities is considered to be “incidental.” University personnel may make such incidental use of university resources and devote office time in carrying out a range of professional activities. [See “Significant Use of University Resources.”]

“Mask Work”: A series of related images, however fixed or encoded: (1) having or representing the predetermined, three-dimensional pattern of metallic, insulating, or semiconductor material present or removed from the layers of a semiconductor chip product;

and, (2) in which series the relation of the images to one another is that each image has the pattern of the surface of one form of the semiconductor chip product (See Title 17 U.S.C. § 901).

“Net Equity”: The value of the equity received by UWG as a result of transferring rights in the IP less UWG’s out-of-pocket expenditures (including legal fees) directly attributable to protecting, developing, and transferring that IP.

“Net Income”: The gross monetary payments UWG receives as a result of transferring rights in the IP less UWG’s out-of-pocket expenditures (including legal fees) directly attributable to protecting, developing, and transferring that IP.

“Novel Plant Variety”: A novel variety of sexually reproduced plant (See Title 7 U.S.C. § 2321 *et seq*).

“Patentable Inventions,” also known as “Patentable Materials”: Items (a new, nonobvious, useful process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement thereof) which reasonably appears to qualify for protection under the patent laws of the United States or other protective statutes, including Novel Plant Varieties and Patentable Plants, whether or not patentable thereunder.

“Patentable Plant”: An asexually reproduced distinct and new variety of plant (See Title 35 U.S.C. § 161).

“Scholarly Work”: Books, articles, and other publications, artistic creations, literary manuscripts, visual and auditory creations, and musical works, irrespective of their medium of storage or presentation. These items include software, computer programs, and databases but only if they are accessory to or part of a scholarly text. Textbooks and related software developed as a *Specific University Assignment* are not considered *Scholarly Work* for the purpose of this definition.

“Significant Use of University Resources”: Use of university facilities, library resources, clerical help, other support services, equipment, and an employee’s paid time that is beyond incidental (or customary) as described above. Significant use of resources occurs when creation of the work or intellectual property in question requires use of university resources beyond those normally allocated to employees in support of assigned responsibilities and activities within their respective departments, colleges, or other administrative unit. Such significant usage may occur as a result of actions of the personnel involved, may occur when specific assignments are given to personnel, or may occur in situations where contracts or other obligations are involved.

“Software”: Includes one or more computer programs existing in any form, or any associated operational procedures, manuals or other documentation, whether or not protectable or protected by patent or copyright. The term “computer program” means a set of instructions, statements or related data that, in actual or modified form, is capable of causing a computer or computer system to perform specified functions.

“Specific University Assignment”: IP specifically ordered or commissioned pursuant to a written, signed, agreement between UWG and the Originator.

“Trade Secrets”: Information including, but not limited to, technical or nontechnical data, a formula, a pattern, a compilation, a program, a device, a method, a technique, a drawing, a process, financial data, financial plans, product plans, or a list of actual or potential customers or suppliers which: (a) derives economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use; and (b) is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy (See Code of Georgia Annotated § 10-1-761).

“Trademarks”: Includes all trademarks, service marks, trade names, seals, symbols, designs, slogans, or logotypes developed by or associated with UWG (See Title 15 U.S. Code § 1127).

"University Resources": means any support administered by or through the University, including but not limited to University funds, facilities, equipment or personnel, and funds, facilities, equipment, or personnel provided by governmental, commercial, industrial, or other public or private organizations which are administered or controlled by the University. University Resources are to be used solely for University purposes and not for personal gain or personal commercial advantage, nor for any other non-University purposes. Intellectual Property that is developed with *Significant Use of University Resources* rather than *Incidental Use of University Resources* shall be considered to have been created through use of University Resources. The application and interpretation of the above terms in any particular situation rests with the Intellectual Property Officer and the IPO's determination shall be final, subject to the review procedures set forth herein.

402.04 The Policy

- A. Subject to the limitations and qualifications enumerated in this document, all potentially patentable inventions or copyrightable material conceived or first reduced to practice in whole or in part by members of the faculty or staff (including student employees) at UWG in the course of their University responsibilities with significant use of University resources is the property of UWG.
- B. UWG shares royalties from inventions and other intellectual property assigned by UWG to the Originator.
- C. The Originators, acting collectively where there is more than one, and with the agreement of UWG, may place their inventions in the public domain if they believe that would be in the best interest of technology transfer and if doing so is not in violation of the terms of any agreements that supported or are related to the work.
- D. If any course material is developed for use at UWG, regardless of whether it involves significant use of University resources, UWG retains a non-exclusive, royalty-free

license to use such material for educational purposes for up to twelve months following the termination of the Originator's employment at UWG;

402.05 Determination of Rights and Equities in Intellectual Property

Ownership in IP is determined in accordance with the following categories:

A. Individual Effort/Scholarly Work

Except as required by funding agreements or by the University's Intellectual Property Policy, the University does not claim ownership rights in the intellectual property generated during research by its faculty, staff, or students. This policy has proven beneficial to the University, the public, and the creators of such property. Copyrightable and patentable materials produced by UWG faculty, staff, or students are the exclusive property of the Originator of such IP provided that:

1. There is no use, except in an incidental way, of University resources in the creation of such IP;
2. The IP is not prepared in accordance with the terms of an institution contract or grant;
3. The IP is not developed by faculty, staff, or students as a specific institution assignment. The general obligation to produce scholarly and creative works does not constitute a specific assignment for this purpose;
4. The IP was created by a student, not employed by the University, solely for the purpose of satisfying a course requirement. Students are subject to the requirements for participation in such a course, such as the transfer of ownership. Students will be made aware of their rights and obligations prior to course participation.

The IP is considered a *Scholarly Work*, and therefore belongs to this category unless:

- a) The *Scholarly Work* was developed by the Originator as a specific University assignment (see section B below); or
- b) The *Scholarly Work* was developed with significant use of University resources (see section C below).

B. Institution-Assigned Efforts

In accordance with BOR policy, ownership of IP developed as a result of *Institution-Assigned Efforts* resides with UWG, and sharing of royalty income with the Originator is authorized, subject to UWG policies and regulations, as an incentive to encourage further development of IP. The faculty's general obligation to produce scholarly and creative works does not constitute a specific assignment for the purpose of defining this category of work. Works of faculty members are assumed not to be "*Institution-Assigned Efforts*" unless written agreements with the involved faculty member(s) explicitly designate specific works as such.

C. Institution-Assisted Individual Effort

A work is considered to be generated by Institution-assisted individual effort if it involves ***Significant Use of University Resources*** rather than only ***Incidental Use of University Resources***.

1. ***Incidental use of University Resources*** means that use is customary or usual given the employee's appointment and academic assignments. For example, use of office, computer, photocopier, telephone, office supplies, library, and other assigned resources in the ordinary support of university educational, scholarly or creative responsibilities is considered to be incidental. University personnel may make such incidental use of university resources and devote office time in carrying out a range of professional activities. Furthermore, the University recognizes that ownership of any intellectual property resulting from such activities rests with the Originator(s) along with the rights to any income generated, as long as university resources are used in this incidental (or customary) fashion, and the time involvement of the developer(s) of the intellectual property does not compromise the Originator's core responsibilities in teaching, research, and service.
2. ***Significant Use of University Resources*** refers to use of university facilities, equipment, personnel, and an employee's paid time that is beyond incidental (or customary) as described above. Significant use of resources occurs when creation of the work or intellectual property in question requires use of university resources beyond those allocated to individuals in support of assigned responsibilities and activities within their respective departments, colleges, or other administrative unit. Such usage may occur as a result of actions of the personnel involved, may occur when specific assignments are given to personnel, or may occur in situations where contracts or other obligations are involved. The university will retain title to all intellectual property that involves significant use of university resources subject to the conditions set forth herein.

When in support of a revenue-producing work, the following are examples of significant use:

- a) In the creation or promotion of a work, extended use of the Originator's time and energy results in a reduction in levels of teaching, scholarship, or other assigned university activities, and the developer's anticipated workload in these areas is at a level significantly lower than normal;
- b) Greater than incidental use of university facilities such as laboratories, studios, specialized equipment, production facilities, or specialized computing resources in direct support of development of the work in question;

- c) Extraordinary or specifically designated university funds to support the work's creation, publication, manufacture or production;
- d) Direct assignment or commission from the university to undertake a creative project as a part of the developer's regular appointment;
- e) Significant use of funding from gifts or grants to the university to support creation of the work(s) involved; and/or
- f) Production of the works under specific terms of a sponsored research grant or contract.

The nature and extent of Originator participation in royalty income is subject to UWG regulations. Written agreements between the employees and the University should be executed in advance of the use of University personnel, facilities, or resources. In the absence of such written agreement, the rights of ownership and royalties shall be determined by the Intellectual Property Committee subject to the UWG Intellectual Policy and the *Board of Regents Policy Manual* of the University System of Georgia.

D. Sponsor-Supported Efforts

The grant or contract between the Sponsor and UWG, under which IP is produced, may contain specific provisions with respect to disposition of rights or interests in the IP. When the sponsored project agreement is silent on the matter, all rights in IP rests with UWG. The Office of Research and Sponsored Projects (ORSP) is responsible for reviewing the terms and conditions of UWG's grants and contracts for compliance with UWG policies on IP rights and openness in research.

E. Consulting

Consulting for outside organizations as a part of UWG effort may be performed by UWG personnel pursuant to UWG policies on consulting and to this IP Policy. Any consulting agreement or contract must include a statement that the faculty member has obligations to the University as described in this Intellectual Property Policy, and this Intellectual Property Policy should be attached to the consulting agreement. In the event that there is any conflict between the consultant's obligations to this Intellectual Property Policy and their obligations to the entity for whom they consult, the language of the consulting agreement shall prevail.

F. Research notes, data reports, and notebooks

Copyright protection subsists in original works of authorship fixed in any tangible medium of expression, now known or later developed, from which they can be perceived, reproduced, or otherwise communicated, either directly or with the aid of a machine or device. Research notes, research data reports, research notebooks, and

software created during research are included within the definitions of copyrighted materials and software. Their ownership is determined as with other forms of intellectual property, with ownership vested in the University if the research is supported by significant use of university resources as defined herein, or if so determined by the sponsored project agreement.

G. Declined Intellectual Property

Whenever UWG chooses not to administer IP or chooses to cease administering IP, such IP, subject to any obligations to a Sponsor, may be released to the Originator to dispose of as the Originator sees fit. The decision to release such IP is made by the Intellectual Property Officer (IPO), in consultation with the IP Committee, the University General Counsel, and the President.

402.06 Revenue Distribution

Net revenue is defined as gross receipts received by UWG from license activity minus contract amounts due to Sponsors, if any, and the out-of-pocket costs incurred by UWG in protecting and licensing the IP. At UWG, net revenue is distributed as follows:

- First \$10,000 of accumulated net revenue 100% to Originator
- Over \$10,000:
 - 25% to Originator
 - 10% to Department/Unit
 - 40% to Office of Research and Sponsored Projects
 - 25% to Office of the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

402.07 Intellectual Property Oversight

The chief research officer¹ serves as the Institution's Intellectual Property Officer (IPO) and chairs the UWG Intellectual Property Committee.

402.0701 Intellectual Property Officer (IPO). The IPO is responsible for and active in all matters related to copyright/patent policies and procedures of UWG. The IPO provides advice and assistance in copyright/patent related matters to the faculty, staff, and students; to the President and administration of the University; and to Sponsor and Partner agencies bound to UWG by contract or grant obligations. The IPO works with the Office of Research and Sponsored Projects (ORSP) and the UWG Intellectual Property Committee to develop and monitor institutional IP policies and procedures. The IPO maintains records, executed

¹ That officer is at the time of this policy adoption the Associate Vice President for Research and Sponsored Projects. Should that position be redefined or retitled, the designation of IPO would either follow the position or become attached to different person or position designated by the President.

copyright/patent/royalty agreements, and official correspondence of the office and of the IP Committee.

402.0702 Intellectual Property Committee (IPC). The *Intellectual Property Committee* is a standing body and reports to the Provost through the IPO. The IP Committee consists of ex officio members and members appointed by the President: the IPO (*ex officio*, non-voting except to break ties), and the UWG General Counsel (*ex officio*, non-voting), the Vice President for Business and Finance or designee (*ex officio*, voting), and one voting member from each college and the School of Nursing. The President solicits nominations for the IP Committee from the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs in consultation with the Academic Deans. Members are appointed by the President, serve three-year (staggered) terms, and may serve up to two consecutive terms. The IPO serves as the Chair of the IP Committee.

Should any seat on the IPC be vacated prior to the expiration of the normal term, the President appoints a successor to fill the remaining term in accordance with the procedures above.

Any appointed member may be removed at any time by the President, with or without cause.

The IPC meets as necessary and shall act in an advisory capacity to the president or his/her designee. Faculty, staff and students shall promptly report to the committee in writing, through the appropriate channels, all Intellectual Property invented or created by them that is reasonably likely to have commercial value.

402.08 Procedures

402.0801 Disclosure of Intellectual Property

Originators of IP shall promptly provide the IPO with a disclosure describing their creative and scholarly works and new material, devices, processes, or other inventions which they consider may have commercial potential, be they either Individual Efforts, Institution-Assigned Efforts, Institution-Assisted Individual Efforts, or Sponsor-Supported Efforts, using the *Intellectual Property Disclosure Form* provided by the IPO. University Personnel shall cooperate with the IPO and sign all papers deemed necessary to protect and commercialize Intellectual Property covered by this Intellectual Property Policy.

Disclosures are not required for works of authorship, such as articles for publication in scholarly or professional journals, or instructional or research material for internal use where there is no intent to commercially exploit the intellectual property, even though the ownership of the copyright may reside in the University as determined by this policy. In such cases of University ownership, the author is granted a license for the limited purpose of the particular noncommercial publication.

It is the responsibility of the Originator to disclose IP to the University, through the Intellectual Property Officer (IPO), and demonstrate that this classification applies, in accordance with the Disclosure section of this IP Policy.

402.0802 Review of Disclosure

- A. When the IPO receives an *Intellectual Property Disclosure Form* from an Originator, UWG's interest in patenting and/or licensing the disclosed IP must be determined in a timely fashion. After preliminary evaluation of the *Disclosure* by the IPO, one or more of the following actions are initiated within forty-five business days of receiving the *Disclosure*:
1. Initiate an external evaluation of the disclosed IP;
 2. Develop and manage the disclosed IP through the ORSP;
 3. Submit the disclosed IP to the IP Committee for its evaluation and recommendation;
 4. If rights in the disclosed IP are subject to the terms of a grant or contract, comply with the terms of the grant or contract; and,
 5. Assign title to the disclosed IP to the Originator, if the University chooses not to administer or to cease administering the IP.
- B. If the *Disclosure* is referred to the IPC for a recommendation, the Committee reviews the *Disclosure* and, if appropriate, hears an oral presentation by the Originator, supported by any visual material as may be required. Use may be made of appropriate *ad hoc* members, including external agencies, who can best assist in evaluating the IP. The IPC then recommends whether UWG should exert an interest in the IP, based on a determination that the disclosed IP is novel, useful, non-obvious, and/or has commercial potential.
- C. Within thirty-five business days of the *Disclosure* being submitted to the IPC, the IPC will make a recommendation to the IPO as to whether UWG should pursue development of the IP. If the IPC requires additional time, it requests such additional time from the IPO, in writing, including a justification for the request. Any additional time must be at the agreement of the involved parties and in no case will exceed an additional thirty-five business days.
- D. The IPO will consider the recommendation of the IPC and respond to the Originator, in writing, whether UWG intends to pursue development of the IP. The IPO's determination will be due to the Originator no later than thirty-five business days from the IPO's receipt of the IPC's report.
- E. If the IPO, in consultation with the University General Counsel, decides that UWG will not pursue development of the IP, or such agreed upon decisions are not made or responded to in writing during the specified time period, or a mutually agreeable

extended time period, UWG waives its rights to pursue development of the IP, except that UWG will retain royalty-free license rights to the IP.

In general, the IPC is responsible for:

1. Advising the IPO regarding UWG's and the Originator's rights and equities in IP in accordance with the *Procedures* section of this policy;
2. Recommending UWG policies and procedures pertaining to copyrights and patents to the President for action;
3. Recommending changes to UWG copyright and patent policies and procedures to the President for action;
4. Reviewing all IP matters submitted to it by the IPO, sponsor agencies, and UWG officials for compliance with UWG policy, Board of Regents Policy, and contractual/grant-based obligations.

402.08 Right of Appeal

In the event of a disagreement as to the ownership of IP or to the recommended distribution of royalties, the UWG employee (faculty, staff, or student) has the right to appeal, in writing, to the IPO, who will refer the appeal to the IPC. The IPC will then make a recommendation to the IPO within thirty business days of its receipt of the appeal. The IPO will then make a final decision concerning the appeal no later than forty-five business days of receipt of the appeal. If an individual wishes to appeal the decision of the IPO, or if a decision is not made within the time specified above, the individual may appeal to the Provost, in writing, within forty-five business days of the IPO's decision. The Provost will make a decision no later than forty-five business days of receiving the appeal. If the individual wishes to appeal the decision of the Provost, or if the decision is not made within the specified time period, the individual may appeal to the President, in writing, within forty-five business days of the Provost's decision. The President will make a decision no later than forty-five business days of the President's receipt of the appeal. If the individual wishes to appeal the decision of the President, or if the decision is not made within the specified time period, then the individual may appeal to the Board of Regents in accordance with BOR Bylaws.

402.09 Publication

Nothing in this IP Policy should be construed as affecting the rights of the Originator to publish the results of scientific work, except that the Originator must agree to observe a period of delay in publication or external dissemination if UWG so requests, and such a delay is necessary to permit UWG to secure protection for IP disclosed to it by the Originator.

402.10 Prevailing Policy

In the event of a conflict between this UWG IP Policy and any policy of the Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia, the latter will prevail.

402.11 Heirs and Assigns

The provisions of this IP Policy will endure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs and assigns of those individuals covered by this IP Policy.

402.12 Changes in Policy

This IP Policy will be reviewed and amended, as appropriate, by the IPC at least every two (2) years. This IP Policy may be changed by the President, on the recommendation of the IPC, and the approval of the Provost.

402.14 Compliance

Failure to comply with the provisions of this IP Policy is a violation of UWG policy, and may result in the discipline of the violator(s) in accordance with applicable UWG policies and procedures.

POLICY ADMINISTRATION

Short Title: Intellectual Property Policy

Effective Date: May, 2013

Cancels/Supersedes: Current policy in the Faculty Handbook

Revision Dates: April, 2013

Oversight: Academic Affairs

Authority and Purpose: To establish a clear policy concerning ownership of material, compensation, copyright issues, and the use of revenue derived from the creation and production of all intellectual property. The policy will apply to students, faculty, and staff.

403 The Institutional Review Board

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) shall be an advisory body to the President on the protection of human and animal subjects participating in University of West Georgia approved research projects. It shall review all research proposals involving human and animal subjects for the purpose of protecting the physical and mental well being of participants in research projects conducted by and through the University. The Institutional Review Board shall consist of at least seven (7) members. Members of the Institutional Review Board shall minimally consist of the Director of Sponsored Operations (ex-officio), the Dean of the Graduate School, four faculty

members, and one member of the community. Members are appointed by the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs to serve terms of three years each and are replaced in rotation. Additional ad hoc members may be appointed to the IRB when necessary to comply with federal guidelines.

The University of West Georgia encourages and supports faculty's, academic staff members' and students' efforts to engage in instruction, research and public service. When research is conducted using University facilities or otherwise under its sponsorship, the individuals conducting the inquiry act as University representatives. University policy requires that all research studies, including those involving human or animal subjects, shall be under the supervision of a qualified faculty/academic staff member and shall be so designated and executed as to safeguard the rights and welfare of the subjects in compliance with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services regulations on the Protection of Human Subjects [stated in the Code of Federal Regulations (45 CFR 46 as amended and interpreted)] and on the Animal Welfare Act, Health Research Extension Act as per requirement of Section 495(b)(2) of the PHS Act. The committee assists investigators in insuring that the rights and welfare of subjects are adequately protected. Such research activity would include master's theses, Ed.S. research projects, doctoral dissertations, faculty research, or class-related activities, including undergraduate and graduate independent study courses.

403.01 Statement of Principles

A balance between freedom of inquiry for scholars and recognition of the ethical concerns of animal rights, peers, subjects, sponsors, government agencies, and the public at large shall be maintained by the IRB. The members of the IRB maintain that numerous issues tied to human and animal research merit much further attention by the academic community. The IRB strongly encourages faculty, academic staff members, student groups, departments, schools, and colleges to discuss the ethical responsibilities of scholars as they apply to research to ensure awareness and sensitivity of subjects' needs.

403.02 Protection of Human Subjects

403.0201 Authorization

The IRB of the University of West Georgia is authorized to exercise the following influence on proposed research involving the use of human subjects. The IRB is empowered to:

1. Approve a proposed project.
2. Disapprove a proposed project (with justification).
3. Allow rejected project researchers ample opportunity for due process.
4. Modify a project, require alternative investigative procedures, and impose precautions.
5. Design, collect, and retain informed consent forms.
6. Require continuing project reviews throughout the research period, review complaints concerning the research, and require periodic research progress reports.
7. Terminate research found to be at extreme variance with federal compliance regulations.

403.0202 The Responsibilities of the Research Principal Investigator

It is the professional responsibility of each Principal Investigator (PI) who proposes to conduct research involving human subjects to outline in detail:

1. The risks to which the human subjects will be exposed during the administration of the research procedures.
2. The significance of the proposed research to warrant exposure of subjects to the defined risk(s).
3. Description of safeguards and procedures employed to minimize the level of the subjects' exposure to risk.
4. A description of methodology involved in informing subjects of the exposure to research risk and an explanation of methodology to be employed in obtaining the subjects' informed consent to participation.

The PI must submit the required information and a full copy of the research proposal to the IRB requesting research project approval. Upon receipt of IRB approval, the researcher may initiate the project. A sample consent form is found in Section 403.0209. A consent form for use with minors is found in Section 403.0210.

403.0203 Definition of Terms

The University of West Georgia IRB adheres to the Code of Federal Regulations' definitions for the following terms used to describe research:

Research: A systematic investigation designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge. Activities which meet this definition constitute "research" for purposes of these regulations, whether or not they are supported or funded under a program which is considered research for other purposes. For example, some "demonstration" and "service" programs may include research activities [45 CFR 46.102(e)].

Risk: The risks of harm anticipated in the proposed research must not be greater, considering probability and magnitude, than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests [45 CFR 46.102(g)].

Human Subject: A live human subject about whom an investigator (whether professional or student) conducting research obtains (1) data through intervention or interaction with the individual, or (2) identifiable private information. "Intervention" includes both physical procedures by which data are gathered (for example, venipuncture) and manipulations of the subject or the subject's environment that are performed for research purposes. "Interaction" includes communication or interpersonal contact between investigator and subject. "Private information" includes information about behavior that occurs in a context in which an individual can reasonably expect that no observation or recording is taking place and *includes* information which has been

provided for specific purposes by an individual and which the individual can reasonably expect will not be made public. Private information must be individually identifiable (i.e., the identity of the subject is or may be readily ascertained by the information) in order to obtain information which constitutes research involving human subjects [45 CFR 46.102(f)].

403.0204 Review Procedures

Individuals at the University of West Georgia interested in conducting research involving human subjects must follow the procedures outlined below:

1. Read Institutional Review Board application procedures at the IRB website <https://www.westga.edu/academics/research/orsp/irb.php> . Application materials are available at this site. You may also request application materials from the IRB Chair. Section 403.0209 contains the application.
2. Faculty and Staff submit three (3) completed applications to the IRB chair. Students submit four (4) completed applications to the IRB chair.

403.0205 Classification of Research

Under Federal Regulation [45 CFR 46], research involving the use of human subjects is classified into three distinct categories or levels: exempt, expeditable, or nonexempt.

A. Level 1: Exempt Research

Federal Regulations mandate that very narrowly defined types of research are exempt. There are exclusive restrictions related to research involving subject populations that include prisoners, fetuses, pregnant women, children, institutionalized individuals (i.e. mentally disabled), other potentially vulnerable groups and human in vitro fertilization. An outline of specific regulations relating to restricted research populations can be obtained from the Sponsored Operations Office.

Research activities in which the only involvement of human subjects will be one or more of the following categories and which do not involve sensitive or protected populations are exempt from 45 CFR 46. (NOTE: The IRB will make the final determination as to whether a research project may be classified as "exempt").

1. Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings involving normal educational practices, such as:
 - a. Research on regular and special education instructional strategies.
 - b. Research on the effectiveness of/or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricular, or classroom management methods.
2. Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior, unless:

- a. Information obtained is recorded in such a manner that human subjects can be identified directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects.
- b. Any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research could reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability or reputation.
3. Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior that is not exempt under paragraph (2.b) of this section if:
 - a. The human subjects are elected or appointed public officials or candidates for public office.
 - b. Federal statute(s) require(s) without exception that the confidentiality of the personally identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter.
4. Research involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens, if these sources are publicly available or if the information is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that subjects cannot be identified directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects.
5. Research and demonstration projects which are conducted by/or subject to the approval of department or agency heads and which are designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine:
 - a. Public benefit or service programs.
 - b. Procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs.
 - c. Possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures.
 - d. Possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.
6. Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies:
 - a. If wholesome foods without additives are consumed.
 - b. If a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe or an agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of U.S. Department of Agriculture.

B. Level 2: Research Activities Which May be Reviewed Through Expedited Review Procedures

Federal Regulation identifies ten restricted types of research which may be reviewed by the IRB using an expedited procedure. There are exclusive restrictions related to research involving subject populations that include prisoners, fetuses, pregnant women, children, institutionalized individuals (i.e. mentally disabled), other potentially vulnerable groups and human in vitro fertilization. An outline of specific regulations relating to restricted research populations can be obtained from the Budget and Research Services Office.

Research activities involving minimal risk and in which the only involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the following categories (carried out through standard methods) may be reviewed by the IRB through the expedited review procedure authorized in 46.110 of 45 CFR Part 46.

1. Collection of hair and nail clippings in a non-disfiguring manner deciduous teeth and permanent teeth if patient care indicated a need for extraction.
2. Collection of excreta and external secretions including sweat, uncannulated saliva, placenta removed at delivery, and amniotic fluid at the time of rupture of the membrane prior to or during labor.
3. Recording of data from subjects eighteen (18) years of age or older using noninvasive procedures routinely employed in clinical practice. This includes the use of physical sensors that are applied either to the surface of the body or at a distance and do not involve input of matter or significant amounts of energy into the subject or an invasion of the subject's privacy. It also includes such procedures as weighing, testing sensory acuity, electrocardiography, electroencephalography, thermography, detection of naturally occurring radioactivity, diagnostic echography, and electroretinography. It does not include exposure to electromagnetic radiation outside the visible range (for example, x- ray, microwaves).
4. Collection of blood samples by venipuncture, in amounts not exceeding 450 milliliters in an eight-week period and no more often than two times per week, from subjects eighteen (18) years of age or older and who are in good health and not pregnant.
5. Collection of both supra- and subgingival dental plaque and calculus, provided the procedure is not more invasive than routine prophylactic scaling of the teeth and the process is accomplished in accordance with accepted prophylactic techniques.
6. Voice recordings made for research purposes such as investigations of speech defects.
7. Moderate exercise by healthy volunteers.
8. The study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens.
9. Research on individual or group behavior or characteristics of individuals, such as studies of perception, cognition, game theory, or test development, where the investigator does not manipulate subjects' behavior and the research will not involve stress to subjects.
10. Research on drugs or devises for which an investigational new drug exemption is not required.

C. Level 3: Nonexempt Research

All other research must be reviewed in full by the IRB.

403.0206 Ethical Research Guidelines for Research Involving Human Participants

From the *American Psychologist*, June 1981, pgs. 637-638.

The decision to undertake research rests upon a considered judgment by the individual researcher about how best to contribute to knowledge and human welfare. Having made the decision to conduct research, the investigator considers alternative directions in which research energies and resources might be invested. On the basis of this consideration, the researcher carries out the investigation with respect and concern for the dignity and welfare of the people who participate and with cognizance of federal and state regulations and professional standards governing the conduct of research with human participants.

- A. In planning a study, the investigator has the responsibility to make a careful evaluation of its ethical acceptability. To the extent that the weighing of scientific and human values suggests a compromise of any principle, the investigator incurs a correspondingly serious obligation to seek ethical advice and to observe stringent safeguards to protect the rights of human participants.
- B. Considering whether a participant in a planned study will be a "subject at risk," according to recognized standards, is of primary ethical concern to the investigator.
- C. The investigator always retains the responsibility for ensuring ethical practice in research. The investigator is also responsible for the ethical treatment of research participants by collaborators, assistants, students, and employees, all of whom, however, incur similar obligations.
- D. Except in minimal-risk research, the investigator establishes a clear and fair agreement with research participants prior to their participation that clarifies the obligations and responsibilities of each. The investigator has the obligation to honor all promises and commitments included in that agreement. The investigator informs the participants of all aspects of the research that might reasonably be expected to influence willingness to participate and explains all other aspects of the research about which the participants inquire. Failure to make full disclosure prior to obtaining informed consent requires additional safeguards to protect the welfare and dignity of the research participants. Research with children or with participants who have impairments that would limit understanding and/or communications requires special safeguarding procedures.
- E. Methodological requirements of a study may make the use of concealment or deception necessary. Before conducting such a study, the investigator has a special responsibility to
 1. Determine whether the use of such techniques is justified by the study's prospectivescientific, educational, or applied value.
 2. Determine whether alternative procedures are available that do not use concealment or deception.
 3. Ensure that the participants are provided with sufficient explanation as soon as possible.
- F. The investigator respects the individual's freedom to decline to participate in or to withdraw from the research at any time. The obligations to protect this freedom require careful thought and consideration when the investigator is in a position of authority or influence over the participant. Such positions of authority include, but are not limited to, situations in which research participation is required as part of employment or in which the participant is a student, client, or employee of the investigator.
- G. The investigator protects the participant from physical and mental discomfort, harm, and danger that arise from research procedures. If risks of such consequences exist, the investigator informs the participant of that fact. Research procedures likely to cause serious or lasting harm to a participant are not used unless the failure to use these procedures might expose the participant to risk of greater harm, or unless the research has great potential benefit and fully informed and voluntary consent is obtained from each participant. The participant should be informed of procedures for contacting the investigator within a reasonable time period following participation should stress, potential harm, or related questions arise.

- H. After the data are collected, the investigator provides the participant with information about the nature of the study and attempts to remove any misconceptions that may have arisen. Where scientific and human values justify delaying or withholding this information, the investigator incurs a special responsibility to monitor the research and to ensure that there are no damaging consequences for the participant.
- I. Where research procedures result in undesirable consequences for the individual participant, the investigator has the responsibility to detect and remove or correct these consequences, including long-term effects.
- J. Information obtained about a research participant during the course of an investigation is confidential unless otherwise agreed upon in advance. When the possibility exists that others may obtain access to such information, this possibility, together with the plans for protecting confidentiality, is explained to the participant as part of this procedure for obtaining informed consent.

403.0207 Application Procedures

Faculty, staff, or students who wish to conduct research must first submit application materials to the Institutional Review Board for review. The application is available in PDF format near the end of this page.

All research will be classified as either exempt, expedited, or nonexempt. If your participants are minors (under the age of 18), your research study will be classified as either expedited or nonexempt. Examples of exempt research include: case studies of individuals eighteen (18) or older, analysis of existing records, and survey research conducted on adults. Examples of expedited research include: research comparing instructional methods used by teachers or researchers in the K12 classroom, survey research conducted on minors, and experimental studies with children where there is no greater than a minimal risk to the participants.

Expedited and exempt research can be reviewed by two members of the IRB. If your research falls under one of these two categories, turnaround time for your application will typically be no greater than two weeks, provided that you have submitted all required paperwork.

Few research studies at UWG will be classified as nonexempt. Any research study that puts participants at risk (defined as greater than minimal risk) will be classified as nonexempt. An example of nonexempt research would be an exercise study in which participants were asked to run to exhaustion. Participation could result in physical harm, which places the study under the classification of nonexempt research. If your research is classified as nonexempt, all members of the IRB must meet for a full board review of your application. It may take as long as 4 weeks to convene a meeting of the full board, so please plan accordingly if you think your research may be classified as nonexempt.

Please follow these procedures when submitting an application to the IRB for review:

1. Download or request the application for IRB review.
2. Complete the application, providing ALL requested information.

3. If you are a faculty member, submit 3 copies of the completed application. If you are a student, submit 4 copies of the completed application. If you submit fewer than the required number of copies, your application will be returned to you.
4. You must collate or staple each copy of your application before sending it in. Uncollated copies will be returned to you.
5. Submit copies of your application to:
IRB Chair
Office of the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs
University of West Georgia
Carrollton, GA 30118

403.0208 IRB Application <https://www.westga.edu/academics/research/orsp/irb-forms.php>

403.0209 Informed Consent Template <https://www.westga.edu/academics/research/orsp/irb-forms.php>

403.0210 Authorization for a School and Students to Participate in a Research Study Template (Word) <https://www.westga.edu/academics/research/orsp/irb-forms.php>

403.0211 IRB Information for School Systems in West Georgia and Areas Surrounding Atlanta <https://www.westga.edu/academics/research/orsp/irb-forms.php>

403.03 ANIMAL CARE AND USE

403.0301 Authorization

The IRB of the University of West Georgia is authorized to:

1. Approve a proposed project's plan for use of animal subjects.
2. Disapprove (with justification) a proposed project's use of animal subjects.
3. Establish procedures to protect the researchers' right to due process.
4. Require alternative investigative procedures and impose precautions to insure compliance with the University of West Georgia "Assurance of Compliance with Public Health Service (PHS) Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals".
5. Conduct project reviews throughout the research period, review complaints concerning the research, and require periodic research progress reports.
6. Terminate research found to be at variance with federal compliance regulations.

403.0302 The Responsibilities of the Research Principal Investigator

It is the professional responsibility of each Principal Investigator (PI) who proposes to conduct research involving animal subjects to outline in detail:

1. The risks to which the animal subjects will be exposed during the administration of the research procedures.

2. The significance of the proposed research to warrant the use of animal subjects.
3. A description of the space, care and food to be provided for the animal subjects.
4. A description of methodology involved for the disposal of subjects at conclusion of research and, if it involves euthanasia, the method to be used and why that method was selected.

The PI must submit the required information and a full copy of the research proposal to the IRB requesting research project approval. Upon receipt IRB approval, the researcher may initiate the project.

403.0303 Definition of Terms

The University of West Georgia IRB adheres to the Code of Federal Regulations definitions for the following terms used to describe research:

Research. A systematic investigation designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge. Activities which meet this definition constitute "research" for purposes of these regulations whether or not they are supported or funded under a program which is considered research for other purposes. For example, some "demonstration" and "service" programs may include research activities [45 CFR 46.102(e)].

403.0304 U.S. Government Principles for the Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training

The development of knowledge necessary for the improvement of the health and well-being of humans as well as other animals requires in vivo experimentation with a wide variety of animal species. Whenever U.S. Government agencies develop requirements for testing, research, or training procedures involving the use of vertebrate animals, the following principles shall be considered; and whenever these agencies actually perform or sponsor such procedures, the responsible institution official shall ensure that these principles are adhered to:

1. The transportation, care, and use of animals should be in accordance with the Animal Welfare Act (7 U.S.C. 2131 et.seq.) and other applicable Federal laws, guidelines, and policies¹.
2. Procedures involving animals should be designed and performed with due consideration of their relevance to human or animal health, the advancement of knowledge, or the good of society.
3. The animals selected for a procedure should be of an appropriate species and quality and the minimum number required to obtain valid results. Methods such as mathematical models, computer simulation, and in vitro biological systems should be considered.
4. Proper use of animals, including the avoidance or minimization of discomfort, distress, and pain when consistent with sound scientific practices, is imperative. Unless the contrary is established, investigators should consider that procedures that cause pain or distress in human beings may cause pain or distress in other animals.

5. Procedures with animals that may cause more than momentary or slight pain or distress should be performed with appropriate sedation, analgesia, or anesthesia. Surgical or other painful procedures should not be performed on unanesthetized animals paralyzed by chemical agents.
6. Animals that would otherwise suffer severe or chronic pain or distress that cannot be relieved should be painlessly killed at the end of the procedure or, if appropriate, during the procedures.
7. The living conditions of animals should be appropriate for their species and contribute to their health and comfort. Normally, the housing, feeding, and care of all animals used for biomedical purposes must be directed by a veterinarian or other scientist trained and experienced in the proper care, handling, and use of the species maintained or studied. In any case, veterinary care shall be provided as indicated.
8. Investigators and other personnel shall be appropriately qualified and experienced for conducting procedures on living animals. Adequate arrangements shall be made for their in-service training, including the proper and humane care and use of laboratory animals.
9. Where exceptions are required in relation to the provisions of these Principles, the decisions should not rest with the investigators directly concerned but should be made, with due regard to Principle B, by an appropriate review group such as the IRB. Such exceptions should not be made solely for the purposes of teaching or demonstration.

¹ For Guidance throughout these Principles, the reader is referred to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Academy of Sciences.

403.0305 Review Procedures

Individuals at the University of West Georgia interested in conducting research involving animal subjects must follow the procedures outlined below:

1. Obtain an Institutional Animal Care and Use Procedures Packet from your academic department office, the Graduate School Office, or the Office of Budget and Research Services, which contains:
 - a. a research procedures manual.
 - b. a document addressing ethical practices when conducting research with animal subjects,
 - c. a research proposal form.
2. Submit the original and four copies of the research proposal form to the Office of the VPAA for review by the IRB.

Figure 6

Report of the Faculty Communication Subcommittee

2021-2022

Phillip Grant, Chair

Philip Reaves

Brian Henderson

The Subcommittee met for the first time on Tuesday, October 5, 2021. We discussed the benefits and pitfalls of mass communication across any large organization in that meeting. Specifically, the Subcommittee discussed how important it is for individuals to communicate with their colleagues to build relationships and how difficult it can be to moderate inappropriate speech. These conversations led to comparisons to institutions we had previously attended. We realized that the context of the University of West Georgia (UWG) is different than that of larger public universities. Therefore, we decided to learn more about how institutions that are similar to UWG handle faculty communication.

Between November 2021 and January 2022, we developed and deployed an instrument to be sent out to members of faculty senate at institutions that are similar to UWG. We chose the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education as a means for comparison. UWG is a Doctoral/Professional University. There are 147 Doctoral/Professional institutions in the United States. We selected a convenience sample of 65 individuals who were recent Chairs, Co-chairs, or Chair-Elects based on the public availability of their contact information. We contacted those individuals via email and sent them a link to a brief Qualtrics survey. Of those 65, 23 individuals responded. The findings of that data collection follow:

“Does your institution have an official faculty listserv in which any faculty members are able to access and post messages?”

- Yes – 8 (35%)
- No – 14 (61%)
- Unsure – 1 (4%)

“If yes... describe the rules”

- “The usual standards of decency & decorum” – Private West Coast
- “There is no moderator” – Private East Coast
- “People can opt out [of the Listserv]” – Public Regional Midwest
- ”No formal rules” – Private West Coast

“Do your faculty have any means of group-based communication beyond copying other on an email?”

- Yes – 8 (35%)
- No – 11 (48%)
- Unsure – 4 (17%)

“Briefly describe your faculty’s group-based communication”

- “it's a private listserv run by an individual faculty member, but administrators, staff, etc. are also on it.” – Public Regional Midwest

- “We also maintain a closed faculty Facebook page (anyone who teaches is eligible to join). The FB group is maintained by the Senate President and Faculty Regent. It is where most of the informal communication and discussion happens, but it is also a subset of faculty.” – Public Regional South
- “So I created a community group in Canvas (the teaching platform we use here) and invited all faculty to join, without regard to rank, tenure status, or FTE status. Membership (1367) is now at 88% of all faculty. I have created discussion groups within the CG, comprising individual colleges and schools, with another for all faculty together. I also use Hypothes.is software for gathering faculty input on documents I have uploaded to the CG; Hypothes.is is an effective (excellent, really) tool for facilitating input and campus-wide discussion among faculty.” Private Midwest

And many were interested in this project and emailed me separately:

- “Very interesting that you had such a platform and then it was shut down. I'd love to hear if you decide to resurrect it in some capacity.” – Didn't complete survey
- “We've had some interesting experiences here with our faculty listserv.... Particularly as to the presence or absence of academic administrators on it. I'd be happy to amplify my survey answers if you have any questions.” – Private East Coast
- “We used to have an open listserv where any faculty could post anything but soon those messages were clogging our email boxes and some were ugly, so that was discontinued.” – Public Regional South

After reflecting on the data we collected, we came to some conclusions. First, and most importantly, open communication is a privilege. We are not the only institution that would like to improve faculty communication across campus nor are we the only institution that had such communication taken away. Therefore, if we are to have a channel of open communication, it must be moderated. Moreover, moderation should be done by an individual who has been charged to do so as part of a position that they have been elected or appointed to. When a moderator is empowered with strict rules, abusive communication can be avoided. Finally, we believe that the institutions who have not had incidents of abusive communication will in the future.

Ultimately, we determined that we should seek out a means of open faculty communication. We came up with three options: a moderated listserv, a private Facebook group, and a Discord server. A moderated listserv would have very specific rules, such as no political speech, no marketplace, and no replies. This listserv would have very strict moderation; an individual will have to approve every message before it goes out. The moderator would need to have the duty attached to a position, such as Faculty Senate Chair/Co-Chair. A both a private Facebook group and Discord server would have strict membership in which every individual would have to be confirmed to be a faculty member at UWG. The speech rules would be more relaxed in this forum with volunteer moderation.

We ended our conversation by comparing the pros and cons of each option. The moderated listserv would have somewhat limited speech, but strict moderation would prevent any abusive communication. A private Facebook group would be popular among an older demographic but

would have much more potential for abusive communication. A Discord server would be popular among a younger demographic but would have much more potential for abusive communication.

Ultimately, we agreed on recommending a two-pronged approach. We believe that a strictly moderate listserv should be created. We also believe that open communication is important. Therefore, we would recommend that the UWG AAUP chapter create a private Facebook group open only to members to discuss issues that would be inappropriate for campus-wide communication.

The moderated listserv would be created for the benefit of research, student success, and programming. Some potential rules we think could be useful for the listserv would be:

- No political or religious speech
 - No humor or satire speech
 - Limited discussion of institutional policy
 - Strict moderation that requires the approval of a professional moderator
 - Original posts only with contact information; no message can be replied to directly onto the listserv.
-

After sharing these results with AAUP officers, they informed us that a Google Group for AAUP is currently active and can be used for informal communication.

Our official recommendation for the faculty senate is as follows.

The faculty senate should create an “All Faculty” listserv that any adjunct, lecturer, clinical, tenure-track, or tenured faculty member may post to. The purpose of this listserv is to share potential research or funding opportunities, recruitment for research participants, or opportunities for students. Faculty members may post any message for the aforementioned purposes. Each message must be approved by a moderator before it is posted. Replying to the listserv is not allowed; respondents must write a new email response to the sender. Any faculty member may opt out of the listserv. In addition to these guidelines, we recommend the following rules for the moderation team:

- Messages must fall within the purposes of the listserv
- No political or religious speech is allowed
- No humor or satire speech

AAUP’s Google Group is an appropriate space for discussion of institutional policy and other forms of speech not allowed in this listserv.

Figure 7

UWG Policies and Procedures Manual

Modification of the By-Laws of the Faculties and Faculty Senate

Article III.F. Quorum

This item will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote in August 2022 according to Article IV, Section 3 of the UWG Policies and Procedures Manual.

APPROVED MODIFIED VERSION

F. QUORUM. A quorum for the Senate and its committees shall be fifty (50) percent of the voting membership.

Figure 8

UWG Policies and Procedures Handbook

Modification of Article IV: Section 2.J.6: Facilities and Information Technology Committee

This item will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote in August 2022 according to Article IV, Section 3 of the UWG Policies and Procedures Manual.

APPROVED MODIFIED VERSION

6. Facilities and Information Technology Committee

Purpose: to serve in an advisory capacity to the Vice President for Business and Financial Services and the Vice President and Chief Operating Officer for Administrative Services; to recommend policy and procedures concerning campus planning and development, auxiliary services, campus security and safety, campus facilities and services such as network, telephone, server, other IT systems, mail services, parking and traffic control, physical plant, and environmental and sustainability issues. It is recommended that members of this committee be invited to serve on related committees across campus, e.g., technology committees, Vehicle Incident Review Panel.

Membership: three senators; one faculty member elected from each of the major academic units (colleges, schools, and the library); five administrators, one appointed by the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs; one by the Vice President for Business and Financial Services; one by the Vice President and Chief Operating Officer for Administrative Services; one by the Senior Associate Vice President for Auxiliary Enterprises and Business Services; one by the Assistant Vice President for Information Technology; one by the Police Department; and the Chair of the Staff Advisory Council; one student, appointed by SGA.
(Total: 22)

Figure 9

UWG Policies and Procedures Handbook

Modification of Article IV: Section 2.J.7: Student Affairs and Intercollegiate Activities Committee

This item will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote in August 2022 according to Article IV, Section 3 of the UWG Policies and Procedures Manual.

APPROVED MODIFIED VERSION

Purpose: To foster a collaborative environment between faculty and students in co-curricular affairs and activities that ensures student success and a positive student experience across campus and within the community. As a result, the committee has two relationships with the administration. The committee serves in a facilitative and advisory capacity to the Vice President for Student Affairs, Vice President for Enrollment Management, Director of Athletics, and e-Sports Coach regarding policy and procedures and other student matters referred to the committee to ensure positive student experience, relevance, placemaking, and academic success. It is recommended that members of this committee be invited to serve on related committees across campus, e. g., SAFBA, athletic budget, recruitment, and graduation ceremony planning committee. The Student Athletics sub-committee serves in a facilitative and advisory capacity to advise on policy and procedures concerning student athletic admission standards, athletic budgets, program expansion or reduction, membership in associations; and to support the enforcement of conference, association, and accreditation rules and regulations. The sub-committee will foster a collaborative effort with Athletics and University Advancement to ensure student-athlete success across campus. The members of this sub-committee will be chosen by the committee as a whole and will consist of 3 Senators and 3 Representatives.

Membership: Six Senators; one faculty member elected from each of the major academic units (colleges, schools, and the Library); the University's NCAA Faculty Athletics Representative; six administrators: one appointed by the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, one by the Vice President for Student Affairs, one by the Vice President for Enrollment Management, one by the Dean of the Graduate School, one by the e-Sports Coach, and one by the Director of Athletics; and four students: one appointed by SGA, one student-athlete, one student e-athlete, and one appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School. The University's NCAA Faculty Athletics Representative; the member appointed by the Director of Athletics; and the student-athlete will sit on the Athletics subcommittee. (Total: 28)

Figure 10

Teaching Learning and Assessment Committee

Proposed SEI Instrument Revision Timeline and Process Guidelines

Background: Although we were able to find references to evaluation instruments, we were only able to find one reference to the timeline of an evaluation instrument process. This was in a student publication for the University of New Haven, The Charger Bulletin, which stated that evaluations are revised by a faculty committee about every three years (Cagley, 2019).

Rationale: Many courses at UWG occur once per year, while others occur each semester. Some courses occur on an every-other-year cycle. Setting a goal to revise SEIs on a seven-year cycle would allow instructors to collect data over several iterations, even allowing three iterations of data for courses on every-other-year cycles.

Timeline Overview:

Time in Cycle	Activities	Notes	SEI
Years 1-4			Current SEI continues to be in use.
Year 5 Fall			Current SEI continues to be in use.
Year 5 Spring	TLA gathers initial feedback on current SEI	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• TLA may accomplish this through a subcommittee that then presents results of work to full committee• It is suggested that, in line with methods used in the past, faculty feedback be gathered through surveys. Additional methods, such as panels or interviews, might also be considered.	Current SEI continues to be in use.

Year 6 Fall	<p>TLA begins revision process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TLA analyzes initial feedback and creates initial revisions • TLA gathers second round of feedback on initial revisions 	<p>Outline of expected process for the committee or a subcommittee to address revision of items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional meetings are held over the course of the semester to examine the feedback for 4-7 SEI items per meeting and to revise those items until all items are considered and any needed items added to the instrument. 	Current SEI continues to be in use.
Year 6 Spring	TLA completes any revisions needed based on second round of feedback, TLA presents revised SEI instrument to Faculty Senate for a vote.		Current SEI continues to be in use.
Year 7 Fall	If the revised SEI instrument has been approved by a vote of the Faculty Senate, TLA works with the Office of the Provost to implement the revised SEI during the fall year 7 semester. If the revised SEI instrument has not been approved, work continues to address concerns of the members of the senate with the goal to submit a revised instrument to the Faculty Senate by the end of the Year 7 fall semester.		Revised SEI may be in use.

Reference

Cagley, V. (2019, February 12). What happens with course evaluations? *The Charger Bulletin* <https://chargerbulletin.com/what-happens-with-course-evaluations/#:~:text=About%20every%20three%20years%2C%20there,banks%20that%20other%20universities%20create.>

Figure 11

UWG Policies and Procedures Manual

Article III. By-Laws of the Faculties and Faculty Senate

Modification Proposal for Section A: Meetings

This item was approved by the Faculty Senate on Friday, January 22, 2022 with 39 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention. See the [January 22, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Minutes](#) which were approved electronically on January 28, 2022.

APPROVED REVISED VERSION

- A. MEETINGS. Regular meetings of the Senate shall be held at least twice in each semester of the academic year on dates determined by the Senate. Meetings may be held virtually if it is determined that modality to be the best venue for accomplishing the work assigned to the Senate and its committees. If a Senate member is unable to attend a Senate Meeting, a faculty proxy may be appointed by the Senator to attend the meeting as a voting member in their place. If a proxy is attending in the place of a Senator, then the Senator must notify the Executive Secretary that a proxy will be attending the scheduled Senate meeting.

Special meetings may be called by the President of the University and shall be called upon written application of five (5) senators or any ten (10) members of the General Faculty. Written notice of the time, place, and agenda of Senate meetings as well as proposals for consideration at the Senate meeting shall be sent to each General Faculty member at least forty-eight hours in advance of the meeting. Official copies of the minutes shall be made available to the University community from the Web page of the VPAA. One paper copy shall be retained in the Archives at the University Library.

President Kelly's Veto Statement in Response to the Modifications to UWG *Policies and Procedures Manual* Article III.A. approved on January 22, 2022

Committee IX: Rules Committee (Angela Branyon, Chair)

Action Item: (Figure 3)

A) *Policies and Procedures Manual*

1) Article III.A. Meetings

Request: Modify

Two friendly amendments were proposed and were approved without opposition by the body. The first added a paragraph break before the sentence regarding Special Meetings, and the second changed the language from "his or her" to "their."

Following these two amendments, the modifications to Article III.A were approved with 39 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

*This item will be brought to the General Faculty for a vote in April 2022 according to Article IV, Section 3 of the UWG *Policies and Procedures Manual*.*

The representative work of the Faculty Senate is vital to shared governance and collaboratively reviewing and recommending improvements to the curricula and other items of importance to the University. This includes work in committees, reviewing and discussing materials before meetings, and working during debate in Faculty Senate meetings. This work is dynamic and requires consistent engagement of the Faculty Senate members, for which faculty are to be commended. Following best practices, including those adopted by many institutions within the University System of Georgia, while proxy attendance may be supported, voting on items brought before the Faculty Senate should be reserved for those elected members of the Faculty Senate. Consequently, I reject this motion.

See [President Kelly's Response to the January 22, 2022 Faculty Senate Meeting Minutes](#) submitted on February 21, 2022.

UWG *Policies and Procedures*, pp 30, 34:

"Upon receipt of a recommendation from the Senate, the President within thirty (30) days, shall either approve or disapprove the recommendation. The recommendations will become official policy when approved by the President, except when approval by the Chancellor or Board of Regents is required. If a recommendation from the Senate to the President is not accepted, the President shall report in writing to the Senate his or her reasons for rejecting the recommendation and upon two-thirds vote of the Senate the matter shall be referred to the General Faculty for consideration and recommendation...All actions of the General Faculty shall be in the form of recommendations to the President of the University. Should the President approve these actions, he or she shall inform the General Faculty at the next regular or special meeting, of the actions taken to implement these recommendations. If any action of the General Faculty should be vetoed by the President, the President shall, no later than thirty (30) days after the action by the General Faculty, submit to the General Faculty in writing his or her reasons for rejecting the action. If the matter should be approved again by a two-thirds vote of the General Faculty, a quorum being present and voting, the issue shall be submitted by the President to the Chancellor's Office for consultative purposes." - *Policies and Procedures*, pp. 30, 34.